



**KINGS WATER  
ALLIANCE  
MANAGEMENT ZONE**

**Early Action Plan**

MARCH 8, 2021

PREPARED BY:



SUBMITTAL REPORT | March 8, 2021

# KINGS WATER ALLIANCE MANAGEMENT ZONE EARLY ACTION PLAN

## Attachment D

PREPARED FOR

KINGS WATER ALLIANCE



PREPARED BY

LUHDORFF & SCALMANINI, CONSULTING ENGINEERS

GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents.....	I
Appendices .....	III
List of Tables .....	III
List of Figures .....	IV
List of Acronyms.....	IV
Executive Summary.....	1
E.S. 1. Background.....	1
E.S. 2. Identification of Nitrate-Impacted Areas.....	1
E.S. 3. Identification of Potentially Affected Areas.....	4
E.S. 4. Community Outreach Program.....	5
E.S. 5. Interim Replacement Water .....	6
E.S. 6. Early Action Plan Implementation .....	6
1. Background .....	1
1.1. Regulatory Requirements.....	1
1.2. Community Outreach to Develop Early Action Plan .....	4
1.2.1. Community Outreach Activities.....	4
1.2.1.1. Community Outreach Meetings .....	4
1.2.1.2. Public Meeting Notices.....	5
1.2.1.3. Community Survey .....	6
1.2.1.4. Public Review Opportunities .....	6
1.3. Early Action Plan Implementation .....	7
2. Identification of Nitrate-impacted Areas.....	8
2.1. Groundwater Nitrate Assessment .....	8
2.2. Potentially Impacted Public Water Supply Wells.....	9
2.2.1. Public Water Supply Wells in the Management Zone .....	9
2.2.2. Delivered Water Treatment Status of Public Water System Wells .....	12
2.3. Potentially Impacted Public Water Systems .....	13
2.4. Potentially Impacted Domestic Wells and Local Small Water Systems .....	16
3. Identification of Potentially Affected Areas .....	25
3.1. Process to Identify Affected Residents.....	25

3.2. Process for Non-Compliant Public Water Systems .....	27
4. Community Outreach Program .....	27
4.1. Information Sharing .....	27
4.1.1. Management Zone Website .....	27
4.1.2. Materials Development & Distribution .....	28
4.2. Community Outreach Activities.....	28
4.2.1. General Community Outreach Meetings.....	28
4.2.2. Targeted Resident Outreach .....	30
4.3. Coordination with Non-Dischargers .....	31
5. Interim Replacement Water Program .....	32
5.1. Interim Replacement Water Program Options.....	32
5.1.1. Bottled Water Delivery Program .....	33
5.1.2. Point of Use Treatment System Program .....	33
5.1.3. Water Fill Station Program .....	36
5.1.3.1. Description .....	36
5.1.3.2. Siting and Use Criteria for Identifying a Water Fill Station Location .....	37
5.1.3.3. Implementation Approach .....	38
5.2. Participation in Bottled Water or POU System Programs.....	39
5.3. Residential Well Testing Program.....	40
5.3.1. Initial Well Test.....	41
5.3.2. Follow-up Well Test.....	43
5.4. Coordination with Other Related Safe Drinking Water Programs .....	44
6. Early Action Plan Implementation .....	44
6.1. Schedule/Milestones.....	44
6.1.1. Phase 1 Schedule/Milestones.....	45
6.1.2. Phase 2 Schedule/Milestones.....	45
6.2. Early Action Plan Funding Mechanism .....	46
6.3. EAP Program Evaluation.....	46
6.3.1. Monitoring Activities .....	46
6.3.2. Reporting and Adaptive Management .....	47
7. References .....	59

## APPENDICES

Appendix A	State Water Board Community Engagement Checklist
Appendix B	Early Action Plan Communication & Outreach Plan
Appendix C	Community Profile Data
Appendix D	Interim Replacement Water Program – Participation Request Form
Appendix E	Public Water System and Supply Well Nitrate Tables

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Classification of Drinking Water Systems by Constituency, Connections, and Duration of Service per Year (adapted from Boyle et al. 2012) .....	9
Table 2-2. Public Water Systems that are Currently Out of Compliance (as of January 2021) due to Nitrate or Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant, by System Name .....	14
Table 2-3. Summary of Domestic Wells and Population with Estimated Upper Zone Nitrate Area Categories.....	18
Table 4-1. Process to Conduct Community Outreach Meeting .....	30
Table 5-1. Process to Develop Water Fill Stations.....	39
Table 5-2 Process to Request Participation in Replacement Water Programs .....	41
Table 6-1. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Phase 1 EAP Implementation Schedule (see also Figure 6-2) .....	51
Table 6-2. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Phase 2 EAP Implementation Schedule (see also Figure 6-3) .....	55
Table A-1. Alignment Between Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Community Outreach Actions and State Water Board Guidance (Table adapted from State Water Board (2020), page 17).....	60
Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status .....	101
Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.....	119
Table E-3. Treatment of Water Systems with Nitrate-Impacted Wells (Shaded cells indicate past exceedance of treated source(s)), by MZ Area and DDW Number. ....	130
Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021) .....	137

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ES-1. Scale Showing Nitrate Safe and Unsafe Levels .....	1
Figure ES 2. Ambient Nitrate Conditions in the Upper Zone since 2000 .....	3
Figure 1-1. Priority 1 and 2 Groundwater Subbasins in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone.....	3
Figure 2-1. Ambient Nitrate Conditions in the Upper Zone Since 2000.....	19
Figure 2-2a. Potentially Impacted Public Water Supply Wells and All Domestic Wells .....	20
Figure 2-2b. Potentially Impacted Public Water Supply Wells and All Domestic Wells, Kings Subbasin Portion of the Management Zone .....	21
Figure 2-2c. Potentially Impacted Public Water Supply Wells and All Domestic Wells, Tulare Lake Subbasin Portion of the Management Zone .....	22
Figure 2-3. Treatment Status for Water Systems that have Wells with Nitrate-Impacted Samples .....	23
Figure 2-4. Domestic Wells Located Outside Public Water System Areas in the Kings/Turlock Lake Management Zone .....	24
Figure 5-1. Existing Water Fill Station Locations in Proposed Kings Water Alliance and Kaweah Management Zones Relative to Nitrate Concentrations in Groundwater in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone.....	35
Figure 6-1. Phasing of EAP Implementation in Relation to Notices to Comply (NTC) in Priority 1 and 2 Subbasins (Priority 2 NTC date is an estimate) .....	45
Figure 6-2. General Phase 1 EAP Implementation Schedule .....	49
Figure 6-3. General Phase 2 EAP Implementation Schedule .....	50

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

Acronym	Definition
AB	Public Water Supply Well Status, Abandoned
AR	Public Water Supply Well Status, Active Raw
AR DifferenceA-R	Difference Between Nitrogen Applied and Nitrogen Removed
AR RatioA/R	Ratio of Nitrogen Applied to Nitrogen Removed
AU	Public Water Supply Well Status Active Untreated

Acronym	Definition
Basin Plans	Water Quality Control Plans for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins and the Tulare Lake Basin
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Deman
BPA	Basin Plan Amendment
C	Public Water System Type, Community
CDP	Census Designated Place
Central Valley Water Board	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
CETHP	California Environmental Health Tracking Program
CIWQS	California Integrated Water Quality System
Coalition	Kings River Water Quality Coalition
CVDRMP	Central Valley Dairy Representative Monitoring Program
CVHM2	Central Valley Hydrologic Model 2.0
CV-SALTS	Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-term Sustainability
CVSC	Central Valley Salinity Coalition
CVWB	Central Valley Water Board
CSD	Community Services District
CWD	Community Water District
CWS	Community Water System
DAC	Disadvantaged Community
DDW	Division of Drinking Water
DS	Public Water Supply Well Status Destroyed
DUC	Disadvantaged Unincorporated Community
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
DWW	Drinking Water Watch
EC	Electrical Conductivity
EAP	Early Action Plan
ESJWQC	East San Joaquin Water Quality Coalition
FMZP	Final Management Zone Proposal
GAMA	Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment
GAR	Groundwater Quality Assessment Report
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
gpd	gallons per day
GQMP	Groundwater Quality Management Plan
GSA	Groundwater Sustainability Agency
GSP	Groundwater Sustainability Plan
HCM	Hydrologic Conceptual Model
ILRP	Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program
INMP	Irrigation and Nitrogen Management Plan
INMPSR	Irrigation and Nitrogen Management Plan Summary Report
IRWM	Integrated Regional Water Management

Acronym	Definition
IR	Public Supply Well Status Inactive Raw
IU	Public Supply Well Status Inactive Untreated
IX	Ion Exchange
KRCD	Kings River Conservation District
KWA	Kings Water Alliance
LSWS	Local Small Water System
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
mg/L	milligrams per liter
mg/L as N	milligrams per liter as nitrogen
MHI	Median Household Income
MPEP	Management Practice Evaluation Program
MZ	Management Zone
MZIP	Management Zone Implementation Plan
N	Nitrogen
NC	Public Water System Type, Non-Community
NMP	Nutrient Management Plan
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	Nitrate as Nitrogen
NOA	Notice of Applicability
NRCS	California Natural Resource Conservation Service
NTC	Notice to Comply
NTNC	Public Water System Type, Non-Transient Non-Community
NWIS	National Water Information System
OAL	Office of Administrative Law
OWTS	Onsite Waste Treatment System
PMZP	Preliminary Management Zone Proposal
PN	Public Supply Well Status Pending
POU	Point of Use
PWS	Public Water System
RO	Reverse Osmosis
SDAC	Severely Disadvantaged Communities
SDWIS	Safe Drinking Water Information System
SGMA	Sustainable Groundwater Management Act
SNMP	Salt and Nitrate Management Plan
sq. mi	square mile
SSWS	State Small Water System
State Water Board	State Water Resources Control Board
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
USGS	United States Geological Survey
WDR	Waste Discharge Requirements
WMP	Waste Management Plan
WWTF	Wastewater Treatment Facility




Acronym	Definition
WWTP	Wastewater Treatment Plant

# Executive Summary

## ES 1. Background

The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Water Board) has begun implementing a new Nitrate Control Program in the Central Valley that is designed to achieve three nitrate management goals.


### Nitrate Management Goals



**The Kings Water Alliance Management Zone is being established to achieve these three goals.**


The Kings Water Alliance Management Zone is being established to achieve these three goals. As required by the Nitrate Control Program, the Management Zone prepared this Early Action Plan (EAP), which identifies the initial actions that will be carried out to address drinking water being used by residences in the basin with unsafe nitrate levels (Figure ES-1). The key element of this EAP, which was developed in collaboration with the community, is the Interim Replacement Water Program. This Program provides immediate alternative sources of drinking water for residences that depend on groundwater that contains unsafe levels of nitrate used for drinking and cooking (water with more than 10 milligrams per liter nitrate as nitrogen (mg/L-N)).

**Figure ES-1. Scale Showing Nitrate Safe and Unsafe Levels**



## ES 2. Identification of Nitrate Impacted Areas

As described in more detail within the Preliminary Management Zone Proposal, nitrate groundwater data were requested, downloaded, and compiled using various publicly available sources and complemented by data requested from the Fresno and Tulare County Environmental Health departments<sup>1</sup>. These nitrate groundwater data compilations were categorized into depth zones, following previously-developed CV-SALTS best management practices. Wells constructed in the Upper Zone of the groundwater system and with nitrate data since the year 2000 were used to determine recent average ambient nitrate concentrations. The best readily available groundwater nitrate dataset compiled and analyzed included sample results for Upper Zone wells from January 2000 to August 2020. These nitrate data were temporally and spatially declustered for use in determining ambient nitrate conditions in the Upper Zone of the groundwater system for the Management Zone.



The Upper Zone average nitrate concentrations were used to produce a map showing the spatial interpolation (kriging using a search radius of 1.5 miles) of ambient nitrate conditions within the Management Zone for conditions between 2000 and 2020. Using this map, it is possible to locate several nitrate-impacted areas that occur within the Management Zone. These areas are defined by average recent nitrate concentrations in the Upper Zone that exceed the drinking water Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 10 milligrams per liter nitrate as nitrogen. As illustrated in Figure ES-2, the largest nitrate-impacted areas exist in the central and eastern portions of the Management Zone. The Management Zone recognizes that the map of ambient nitrate in the Upper Zone has inherent uncertainty and is adaptive in nature. As more Upper Zone nitrate data become available (through EAP implementation of well testing, or other monitoring programs associated with the Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program, Groundwater Sustainability Plans, or other entities), the ambient nitrate analysis will be repeated, and the ambient map will be updated (and potentially changed) prior to the Final Management Zone Proposal submittal date. The map of ambient Upper Zone nitrate is not intended to be a substitute for well testing or interim water replacement requirements.

In addition to the map that shows several areas potentially impacted by nitrate in groundwater in the Upper Zone, the groundwater nitrate data compilation also contains all available public water system supply well nitrate sample results. From the available records downloaded from the State Division of Drinking Water<sup>2</sup>, it appears that 158 public supply wells located within the Management Zone have exceeded the nitrate MCL at some time in their record. Only 82 of those wells were considered to have an “active” status, as listed by the Drinking Water Watch<sup>3</sup>, while the others had a status of “abandoned,” “destroyed,” “pending,” or “inactive.” Delving further into the compliance status of public water systems that have had nitrate exceedances in their raw, untreated well water, yields a total of eleven (11) public water systems that are currently (as of January 2021) out of compliance due to elevated nitrate conditions (nitrate only – 6 systems, or with a co-contaminant such as 1,2,3 TCP – 5 systems). Using the reported population served data from Drinking Water Watch for these public water systems, this translates to a total of 2,348 people served (due to nitrate alone) and 382 people served (due to nitrate plus a co-contaminant) that may be potentially impacted by elevated nitrate in their drinking water from public water systems in the Management Zone.

<sup>1</sup> Kings County was also contacted but did not have readily-available groundwater nitrate data not already contained in the public databases utilized.

<sup>2</sup> Public Supply Well nitrate data was acquired from the Division of Drinking Water ([https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/drinking\\_water/certlic/drinkingwater/EDTlibrary.html](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/drinking_water/certlic/drinkingwater/EDTlibrary.html)) accessed December 2020.

<sup>3</sup> Public Water System information was acquired from the State’s Safe Drinking Water Information System (SDWIS) Drinking Water Watch online database (<https://sdwis.waterboards.ca.gov/PDWW/>) accessed January 2021.

Continuing with this effort to identify nitrate-impacted areas, the spatial interpolation map of ambient nitrate conditions in the Upper Zone was overlain by known public water system boundaries and approximate domestic well locations. Only 14 domestic wells are plotted within known public water system boundaries. An estimate of over 6,400 domestic wells located outside of known public water system boundaries are located within mapped areas with estimated Upper Zone ambient nitrate above the safe drinking water standard (of 10 milligrams per liter nitrate as nitrogen). Using census block data from the 2010 U.S. Census, the estimated population of residents living outside known public water system boundaries and within mapped areas with potentially unsafe drinking water (estimated Upper Zone ambient nitrate above the MCL) is over 47,600.




Figure ES 2. Ambient Nitrate Conditions in the Upper Zone since 2000

## ES 3. Identification of Potentially Affected Areas

A key component of the EAP is identification of residents or other entities in the Management Zone that may be obtaining their drinking water from a well impacted by nitrate levels that exceed 10 mg/L-N. The Management Zone will target some of its outreach efforts specifically to those identified as being most likely impacted by elevated nitrate (nitrate levels > 7.5 mg/L as N). This **targeted outreach** will occur at the same time the Management Zone is implementing general community outreach activities to the entire Management Zone. The process to identify residents or other entities in potentially affected areas will begin immediately upon EAP implementation using the steps described. This effort will include collaborating with Public Water Systems in the area that are not in compliance with nitrate drinking water standards.



**Targeted Outreach**  
will occur to identify  
residents who may be  
impacted by elevated  
nitrate levels

## ES 4. Community Outreach Program

The Management Zone has and will continue to engage the community on the EAP and Interim Replacement Water Program with the overall objective to create a level of engagement and awareness with community residents and stakeholders that establishes trust and provides robust participation.

**The stated goals of the community outreach program are to:**

### Goal 1

Identify and cultivate relationships with key influential individuals and organizations in the communities to amplify information from the Management Zone.

### Goal 2

Provide channels for input and participation that connect with residents in a way that is effective and accessible.

### Goal 3


Provide accurate, easy-to-understand, timely information on the Early Action Plan development and implementation.

The goals of the community outreach program were the guide for outreach tactics during the development of the EAP. The Management Zone conducted a series of community outreach events beginning in November 2020 to obtain input on the development of this EAP. Webinars included opportunities to ask questions and provide comments to directly engage Management Zone staff. Webinar polling was conducted to solicit input on demographics, communications preferences, and drinking water solutions. Virtual office hours were open to the public to solicit input and answer questions on EAP development. Events were promoted using varying communications methods including placing flyers at key community locations, community organization distribution, email, and direct mail. A survey in both English and Spanish to solicit feedback on preferred drinking water solutions was conducted and distributed via email, website, and community organization outreach using digital platforms.

This EAP reflects the input received from the public. General community outreach will continue during EAP implementation through a variety of communication mediums including virtual and in-person community meetings, sharing information through the Management Zone’s website, sharing regular updates via email to the interested persons email list, direct mail pieces, and/or distributing through entities that are locally collaborating with the Management Zone’s efforts to provide safe drinking water. In addition to ongoing broad community outreach, this EAP includes a program to outreach directly to residences in areas most likely to have domestic wells contaminated by nitrate. A community survey to potentially impacted residents was conducted to obtain input. The Kings Water Alliance also presented at the AGUA meeting (February 8, 2021) on the subject of the Management Zone to an audience containing various community leaders.

## ES 5. Interim Replacement Water

The **Interim Replacement Water Program** provides an immediate solution for those currently experiencing unsafe levels of nitrate in their drinking water source. However, these solutions are only temporary and will eventually be replaced by long-term, permanent solutions.



If you do not know if your well water has unsafe nitrate levels, you may contact the Management Zone (<http://kingswateralliance.org>) to request that your well be sampled at no cost to you. Results from the nitrate test, which will be provided to you, will be used to determine the next steps. Most importantly, if your nitrate levels are unsafe, the Management Zone will work with you immediately to obtain a safe source of drinking water. If nitrate levels are high (> 8 mg/L-N) but safe the Management Zone will offer the opportunity to have your well tested again at no cost to you in the future.

Finally, the Management Zone may also install additional water fill stations in the Management Zone. Three are currently operational in the Dinuba, Kerman, and Hanford areas; all fill stations use a certified source of safe and free drinking water and are available to the entire community at no cost. Based on the needs of the community, additional fill station locations may be developed through the implementation of this EAP. Through this program, the community will be made aware of the existing fill stations and the availability of additional stations, if developed.

## ES 6. Early Action Plan Implementation

The Management Zone will begin implementation of the EAP by May 7, 2021. A community outreach meeting will be held in May 2021 to kick-off the implementation of the program and inform residents regarding how to participate in the Interim Replacement Water Program. The Management Zone will also continue in its outreach to the community regarding the need and/or approach for developing additional water fill stations.



**The Well Testing Program provides free well testing and immediate drinking water solutions if well water is unsafe to drink due to nitrate.**

## 1. BACKGROUND

### 1.1. Regulatory Requirements

The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Water Board) established a Nitrate Control Program for the Central Valley Region of California that became effective January 17, 2020. One of the key goals of this Program is to ensure that a safe drinking water supply is available to residents affected by high levels of nitrate in the groundwater that is the source of their drinking water.

Implementation of the Nitrate Control Program is prioritized by groundwater subbasin. The Central Valley Water Board sent out Notices to Comply with the Nitrate Control Program to permitted dischargers (e.g., growers, dairies, poultry farms, wastewater treatment and food processing facilities) in the Priority 1 Kings, Kaweah, and Tule Subbasins on May 29, 2020. Notices to Comply will go out to Priority 2 Subbasins, including Tulare Lake, at a later date, potentially as early as January 2022.

The priority for groundwater subbasins to comply with the Nitrate Control Program, and thus the schedules for program implementation, vary across the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone. Regardless, permitted dischargers within the boundary of the Kings River Water Quality Coalition have opted to work collectively together now to establish one Management Zone to comply with all Nitrate Control Program requirements. The proposed Kings Water Alliance Management Zone includes the Kings and Tulare Lake Subbasins, the northwestern portion of the Kaweah Subbasin and very small portions of the Madera, Delta-Mendota, Westside, Kern County, Tule and Pleasant Valley Subbasins (**Figure 1-1**).

The Management Zone is required to develop an Early Action Plan (EAP), which is defined in the Nitrate Control Program as: “a plan that identifies specific activities, and a schedule for implementing those activities, that will be undertaken to ensure immediate access to safe drinking water for those who are dependent on groundwater from wells that exceed the primary maximum contaminant level (MCL) for nitrate” (Central Valley Water Board, 2020). The MCL is 10 milligrams/liter nitrate as nitrogen (mg/L-N). The provisions to provide access to safe drinking under this EAP are considered temporary and will be replaced by permanent solutions through an approved Management Zone Implementation Plan (MZIP).

The Nitrate Control Program requires that the EAP include the following elements (Central Valley Water Board, 2020):

- A process to identify affected residents and the outreach utilized to ensure that impacted groundwater users are informed of and given the opportunity to participate in the development of proposed solutions;




- A process for coordinating with others that are not dischargers to address drinking water issues, which must include consideration of coordinating with impacted communities, domestic well users and their representatives, the State Water Resources Control Board's (State Water Board) Division of Drinking Water (DDW), Local Planning Departments, Local County Health Officials, Sustainable Groundwater Management Agencies (SGMA) and others as appropriate;
- Specific actions and a schedule of implementation that is as short as practicable to address the immediate drinking water needs of those initially identified within the management zone, or area of contribution for a Path A discharger, that are drinking groundwater that exceeds nitrate standards and that do not otherwise have interim replacement water that meets drinking water standards; and
- A funding mechanism for implementing the EAP, which may include seeking funding from Management Zone participants, and/or local, state and federal funds that are available for such purposes.

Because the Management Zone includes both Priority 1 and 2 areas that have different implementation schedules under the Nitrate Control Program, this Management Zone will have two phases of implementation:

- *Phase 1* - EAP implementation will occur first in the Priority 1 areas of the Management Zone that include all or part of the Kings, Kaweah, and Tule Subbasins and the very small adjacent Priority 2 areas in the Delta Mendota and Madera Subbasins.
- *Phase 2* - EAP implementation will be expanded to include the Priority 2 Tulare Lake Subbasin and very small adjacent Priority 2 areas in the Westside, Pleasant Valley and Kern County Subbasins.

This EAP was submitted to the Central Valley Water Board as an attachment to the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone's Preliminary Management Zone Proposal (PMZP), March 8, 2021. Phase 1 implementation will begin within 60 days of submittal, or by May 7, 2021, unless the Central Valley Water Board notifies the Kings Water Alliance that this EAP is incomplete. If deemed incomplete, the Management Zone will work with the Central Valley Water Board in a timely manner to address their concerns. Implementation of this EAP will later expand into Priority 2 areas of the Management Zone. Section 6.1 below provides the planned Phase 1 and Phase 2 schedules.



**Figure 1-1. Priority 1 and 2 Groundwater Subbasins in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone**

## 1.2. Community Outreach to Develop Early Action Plan

The Kings Water Alliance implemented a comprehensive effort to reach out to the community within the Management Zone, as described in Kings Water Alliance’s Early Action Plan Communication & Outreach Plan (**Appendix B**). Community engagement activities were conducted in a manner consistent with guidance prepared by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board, 2020) (see **Appendix A**). The following sections summarize outreach completed to date. Section 4 below describes the continued community outreach that will continue during EAP implementation.

### 1.2.1. Community Outreach Activities

The Kings Water Alliance implemented a variety of activities to involve the community in the development of this EAP. To facilitate outreach efforts, the Management Zone partnered with Self-Help Enterprises (SHE) during the EAP development process. SHE provided support in the following areas:

- Translation of Community Outreach Materials
- Well Assessment Data & Outreach

Key Management Zone outreach activities completed during the development of this EAP are described below (see **Appendix B** for additional documentation).

#### 1.2.1.1. Community Outreach Meetings

Due to state directives during the COVID pandemic all community outreach meetings were held online using a ZOOM Webinar platform with Spanish translation provided. This approach is consistent with state-provided guidance (State Water Board, 2020). Two community outreach meetings were held during EAP development:

- *November 19, 2020* – The meeting presentation information on the following key topics: (a) Why do we care about nitrate? (b) What is the new Nitrate Control Program? (c) Who needs to be involved? (d) Where is drinking water affected? In addition to answering these questions, the EAP was introduced to the community as the mechanism to implement early actions or short-term solutions to address areas where drinking water is impacted by nitrate contamination.
- *January 28, 2021* – This meeting presented: (a) general information to inform the public regarding nitrate concerns in the area; (b) updated information on nitrate water quality conditions in the Management Zone area and areas where domestic wells are most likely impacted by nitrate; (b) the draft interim replacement water program planned for implementation through the EAP, including bottled water delivery, Point-of-Use (POU)

treatment system installation and water fill stations; and (c) how the public may comment on the draft EAP that is available for public review and continue to participate in the program during EAP implementation.

- *February 10, 2021* – A virtual office hours was held to solicit feedback from the community and answer questions. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone staff were available during the hour.
- *February 16, 2021* – A second virtual office hours was held to solicit feedback from the community and answer questions. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone staff were available during the hour.

**Appendix B** provides the presentations delivered at each of these meetings and summarizes meeting participation.

### 1.2.1.2. Public Meeting Notices

The Kings Water Alliance conducted extensive outreach to encourage local participation in public meetings. This outreach includes community residents, non-dischargers, permitted dischargers and any other interested parties. The Management Zone maintains a contact list for all outreach (**Appendix B**).

For the first community outreach meeting held on November 19, 2020, the Management Zone publicly noticed the meeting through the following actions (see **Appendix B** for meeting notice examples):

- Over 6,000 direct mailers were sent to residents throughout the Management Zone
- Meeting notices in English and Spanish were posted at 16 key locations in the project area, including in the communities of Easton, Hanford, Armona, Cutler and Orosi.
- Directly inviting 11 local community leaders representing Armona, Cutler, Easton, Stratford, Orosi Public Utilities District, Sultana Community Services District, Raisin City, Monson, Zonneveld Diaries, Rolinda and East Orosi.
- Targeted outreach to the Environmental Justice Community, Fresno Bee, Fresno County and Kings County Farm Bureaus and the Tachi Yokut Tribe.

For the second community outreach meeting held on January 28, 2021, the Management Zone noticed the meeting through the following actions:

- Meeting notices in English and Spanish were posted at 52 key locations in 27 communities throughout the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone.
- Event notice on the Kings Water Alliance website.
- Targeted outreach via local Environmental Justice NGO email distribution lists to 17 local community organizations

- Targeted outreach to the Environmental Justice Community, Fresno Bee, and Fresno County Farm Bureau.
- Email outreach to the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone email lists.
- Outreach to KBIF 900AM Punjabi Radio, Radio Bilingue, and Hmong Radio.

Virtual Office Hours were promoted through the following actions:

- Promotion at the January 28, 2021 webinar.
- Events notices on the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone website.
- Email notices to the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone email lists.

### 1.2.1.3. Community Survey

The Kings Water Alliance Management Zone collaborated with the environmental justice organization Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability (LCJA) to develop a survey targeted to community residents. The survey solicited feedback on preferred drinking water solutions for community residents' family, neighbors, and community. The survey was available in both English and Spanish, and was distributed via the interested persons email list, and via LCJA's communications channels including email, text message, and community Facebook group. Survey participants were assessed on their willingness to participate in specific drinking water solutions and were asked to rank their preferences. Other general information was collected including whether the survey participant was on a public water system, what community is nearest to their home, and were given the option to sign up for email updates from the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Website

The Management Zone maintains a website: [www.kingswateralliance.org](http://www.kingswateralliance.org). The website includes information on the Nitrate Control Program and Early Action Plan, educational information on the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone, links to past outreach event materials and videos, an events page to promote upcoming outreach, and includes a Storymap that provides an easily understood summary of nitrate water quality concerns in the Management Zone and ongoing efforts to develop this EAP, along with an interactive map for residents to determine which Management Zone they are located within (<https://arcg.is/OHTrWK>)

### 1.2.1.4. Public Review Opportunities

The Kings Water Alliance provided stakeholders, including local community residents, the opportunity to review a public draft of this EAP. Stakeholders were notified that the public draft was available for review and comment on January 29, 2021; comments to the Management Zone were due by February 22, 2021 in order to be included in the submittal due to the CVWB by March 8<sup>th</sup>. Comments and KWA responses to comments are provided in Attachment C of the PMZP document. Comments received after February 22 were still accepted but not

incorporated in the final submittal. To notify residents of the opportunity to review this document, the following notification activities were conducted:

- The document content was presented during the Second Public Webinar on January 28, 2021 with information on how to view and comment on the public draft documents.
- Direct email of document link to list of interested parties;
- Posting of document links on the KWA website and other locations.

### **1.3. Early Action Plan Implementation**

This EAP will be implemented in phases with Phase 1 beginning implementation by May 7, 2021 unless the Kings Water Alliance receives notice from the Central Valley Water Board to delay implementation. Community outreach will occur on a regular basis during EAP implementation with the first meeting planned in May 2021. The first Phase 1 EAP tasks to be implemented include identification of:

- Date, time and location for a community outreach meeting to kickoff implementation of the EAP.
- Potentially affected residents, i.e., those in areas of the Management Zone where nitrate concentrations most likely exceed 7.5 mg/L-N. Once these residents are identified the Management Zone will begin outreach to advise residents of the opportunity to have their well tested for nitrate at no cost to them and interim replacement water options, including the opportunity to obtain bottled water, installation of a Point-of-Use (POU) treatment system (“POU System”) in their home or obtain water from fill stations including those already in place (in Kerman, Dinuba, and Hanford).
- With community input, begin identification of potential locations for new water fill stations in the area that would be available to all residents.
- Respond to requests to participate in the Interim Replacement Water Program and need for nitrate testing of wells.

The following sections provide detailed information about the above tasks as well as other activities that will be implemented through this EAP.

## 2. IDENTIFICATION OF NITRATE-IMPACTED AREAS

### 2.1. Groundwater Nitrate Assessment

To support the development of the Kings/Tulare Lake Preliminary Management Zone Proposal, nitrate groundwater data were requested, downloaded, and compiled using various publicly available sources, including the State Water Board’s Division of Drinking Water (DDW), GeoTracker Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment (GAMA) data, the Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program monitoring data, and the previously developed Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-term Sustainability (CV-SALTS) salt and nitrate database. These data were complemented by data requested from Fresno and Tulare County departments<sup>4</sup>. Groundwater data were meticulously vetted and categorized into depth zones, following previously-developed CV-SALTS best management practices, and wells completed in the Upper Zone<sup>5</sup> of the groundwater aquifer were used to determine recent average ambient nitrate concentrations for data since the year 2000. The best available groundwater nitrate dataset for Upper Zone wells consisted of publicly and non-public data between January 2000 and August 2020 for wells in the Management Zone and a three-mile buffer around the Management Zone. These nitrate data were temporally and spatially declustered for use in determining nitrate conditions in the Upper Zone for the Management Zone.

The Upper Zone average nitrate concentrations for wells in the Management Zone were used to produce a geospatial analysis of ambient conditions across the Management Zone. This methodology involves a technique called “kriging”, which utilizes known control point data and interpolates (or estimates) ambient nitrate conditions in between control points, using a search radius of 1.5 miles. **Figure 2-1** shows the Kings/Tulare Lake Management Zone with the estimated ambient nitrate conditions in the Upper Zone, representing average groundwater quality conditions since 2000. The Management Zone recognizes that the map of ambient nitrate in the Upper Zone has inherent uncertainty and is adaptive in nature. As more Upper Zone nitrate data become available (through EAP implementation of well testing, or other monitoring programs associated with the Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program, Groundwater Sustainability Agencies, or other entities), the ambient nitrate analysis will be repeated, and the ambient map will be updated (and potentially changed) prior to the Final Management Zone Proposal submittal date. The map of ambient Upper Zone nitrate is not intended to be a substitute for well testing or interim water replacement requirements. For this Management Zone, groundwater quality data for wells completed in the Upper Zone covered almost the entire area, with some small gap areas located in the west and a larger gap area in the south.

---

<sup>4</sup> Kings County was also contacted, but did not have readily-available groundwater nitrate data that are not already published via other sources previously listed.

<sup>5</sup> Upper Zone as defined by the Central Valley Water Board is, “the portion of the groundwater basins, subbasin or Management Zone from which most domestic wells draw water.”

The largest data gap area with unknown nitrate conditions in the Upper Zone occurs near the De-Designation Boundary in the southern portion of the Tulare Lake Subbasin<sup>6</sup>.

Using the available nitrate dataset, there are several nitrate-impacted areas that occur within the Management Zone; these are defined by average recent nitrate concentrations in the Upper Zone exceeding the drinking water Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 10 mg/L nitrate as N. **Figure 2-1** depicts these nitrate-impacted areas (see Section 3 of Chapters 2 and 3 of the Preliminary Management Zone Proposal for information regarding the development of Figure 2-1). The largest nitrate-impacted areas exist in the central and eastern portions of the Management Zone.

## 2.2. Potentially Impacted Public Water Supply Wells

### 2.2.1. Public Water Supply Wells in the Management Zone

Public Water Systems (PWS) are defined as systems that provide drinking water to: (1) 15 or more service connections; or (2) regularly serves at least 25 individuals daily for at least 60 days per year (**Table 2-1**). Non-Community systems include any facility that provides drinking water, such as churches, rest stops, stores, schools, businesses, etc.

Table 2-1. Classification of Drinking Water Systems by Constituency, Connections, and Duration of Service per Year (adapted from Boyle et al. 2012)									
Duration of Service	Connections:		< 5	5 +	< 15	15 +	< 200	200 +	
	Persons Served:		< 25			25 +			
N/A	Small Water System (SWS) <sup>1</sup>	Classification Defined By	Connections						
< 60 days/year	Local Small Water System		Connections & (persons, duration)						
< 60 days/year	State Small Water System			Connections & (persons, duration)					
>= 60 days/year	Community Public Water System (PWS) <sup>2</sup>					Connections or (persons, duration)			

<sup>1</sup>. Classification as a SWS does not preclude classification as any of the other types. SWS may be regulated by DDW or by Local Primary Agency county, but must have less than 200 connections.

<sup>6</sup> See the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Preliminary Management Zone Proposal (2021) for additional information.



- <sup>2</sup> A PWS is a system for the provision of water for human consumption that has 15 or more service connections OR regularly serves at least 25 individuals at least 60 days per year.

Community PWS, which are regulated by the State Water Board's DDW, are required to submit water samples of their raw and delivered water for a broad suite of regulated constituents on various schedules that depend on the constituent and the source water context. All PWS data on water quality, source locations, service areas, and historical data are publicly available on the State Water Board website<sup>7</sup>.

State Small Water Systems (SSWS) are defined as systems serving at least five but not more than 14 residential households. Mutual Water Companies are frequently classified as a SSWS. Typically, SSWS are regulated by county environmental health departments; regulatory oversight of these systems varies by county. Typically, counties require submission of water quality samples annually (at most) for a smaller set of constituents than monitored by a PWS.

SSWS data are public; however, most counties in the state do not have these data compiled in any easily accessible format. Many counties require a fee for data retrieval for these systems. Typically, the data available include sporadic water quality data for a few constituents, and the original permit for the system. The permit typically includes information on the construction of the water source (well) and the street where service is provided.

Local Small Water Systems (LSWS) include residential systems serving two to four households. Most counties regulate LSWS as if they were simply private wells – that is, they are unregulated except for the requirements associated with the drilling permit. Typically, no information is available to identify the difference between a single-household well and one used for a LSWS.

Elevated nitrate concentrations have been found in many PWS wells in the Kings/Tulare Lake Management Zone. The State Water Board's Drinking Water Source and Water Systems identification documentation was accessed via the internet<sup>8</sup> to provide water system information that complements water quality data from the DDW. Together, these two sources provide information on how many systems have active versus inactive wells that have nitrate (as N) exceeding the MCL. This documentation provides a status code for each well, as well as a population served and number of connections for each water system.

Wells with any measurement of raw untreated water having nitrate exceeding the MCL were extracted from the database to determine if the wells are considered to be actively providing water to the water system or have been abandoned, destroyed, or inactive. Based on DDW data (accessed December, 2020), 158 public supply wells in the Management Zone have exceeded the MCL for nitrate. Of those, 82 wells are considered "Active" (Active Raw, meaning the groundwater is sampled directly from the well; or Active Untreated, meaning the

---

<sup>7</sup> <https://data.ca.gov/dataset/drinking-water-public-water-system-information>, accessed October 2021

<sup>8</sup> <https://sdwis.waterboards.ca.gov/PDWW/> accessed January 2021.

groundwater is sampled at a point between the well and a treatment system); the remainder are either abandoned wells (three wells), destroyed wells (19 wells), pending a status assignment (three wells), or inactive (51 wells).

Active wells that have experienced nitrate concentrations exceeding the MCL are located throughout the Management Zone (**Figure 2-2a**), especially in the central and eastern Kings Subbasin portion (**Figure 2-2b**), and northern Tulare Lake Subbasin portion (**Figure 2-2c**).

California Department of Water Resources (DWR) provides approximate well locations for all Well Completion Reports (WCR) they have on record. These records include location information for domestic wells drilled across the state. **Figure 2-2a, b, and c** show the locations provided by DWR for the domestic drinking water wells in their WCR database, as well as the service area boundaries of PWSs available in the area. Publicly available PWS service area boundaries are compiled by the California Environmental Health Tracking Program (CEHTP).

Table E-1 in **Appendix E** lists the 158 public supply wells from the DDW database that have experienced nitrate concentrations that have exceeded the MCL one or more times in their period of record. This table provides:

(a) Summary of the nitrate data available for the individual well, including:

- a. Date range of measurements;
- b. Number of measurements;
- c. Range of nitrate measurements; and
- d. Date of the most recent nitrate exceedance.

(b) Well system characteristics, including:

- a. Well status (active, inactive, etc.);
- b. Water system the well provides water to;
- c. Water system type (community, non-community non-transient, etc.);
- d. Number of connections; and
- e. Population served by that water system.

Table E-2 in **Appendix E** provides the list of 85 unique public water supply systems that have had at least one well where nitrate concentrations have exceeded the MCL. This table provides:

- a) Water system number (as identified by DDW);
- b) Water system name;
- c) Water system type;
- d) Number of connections (which ranges from 1 to 135,693);
- e) Number of wells in each well status category that have exceeded the nitrate MCL;
- f) Population served by the PWS; and

- g) If the PWS has an active impacted well, the population of potentially affected people served by the PWS.

### **2.2.2. Delivered Water Treatment Status of Public Water System Wells**

Although there are some active wells that have been tested for nitrate with results indicating nitrate concentrations have exceeded the MCL of 10 mg/L nitrate as N, many PWSs have treatment facilities to remove nitrate or other contaminants prior to the water being delivered to consumers. Using the best information readily available, it is possible to find DDW sources of water for PWS that are categorized as “treated”. This includes the following potential DDW-defined well status categories:

- AT – Active Treated: An active source which is sampled after any treatment.
- CT – Combined Treated: Combined sources which are treated.
- DT – Distribution System Sample Point, Treated: Sample point within the distribution system after treatment.
- IT – Inactive Treated: A source which is not in service for periods of one year or greater and which provides treated water to a system.
- ST – Standby Treated: A source which is used less than 15 calendar days per year, with periods not to exceed five consecutive days and which provides raw water which is sampled after treatment.

Even when a water system has a documented treated source according to DDW, this does not ensure that the water system treats its water for nitrate (a treated source may mean chlorination prior to being distributed, or possible treatment for other contaminants such as arsenic, manganese, or organic chemicals). PWS typically treat elevated nitrate by using blending, reverse osmosis (RO; membrane technology), ion exchange (IX), granular activated carbon (GAC), or biological or chemical nitrate removal via denitrification (less common). Out of the 85 Public Water Systems located within any portion of the Management Zone, 44 of these systems have treatment capabilities as indicated by having a treated source in the DDW records. 20 of the 44 systems have some form of treatment that might treat nitrate (e.g., blending, reverse osmosis, granular activated carbon, ion exchange).

Table E-3 in **Appendix E** summarizes the water system treatment information that is available from DDW. **Figure 2-3** shows the Management Zone and the public supply wells that have exceeded the nitrate MCL; the circled water systems have treated water sources (according to well status data from DDW) that might treat for nitrate. The color of the circle indicates whether the water system has had a nitrate sample from a treated source that exceeds the MCL (greater than 10 mg/L as N).

### 2.3. Potentially Impacted Public Water Systems

Public supply wells impacted by nitrate have been identified, and information about treatment status has been summarized. Based on further investigation of public water systems with potential nitrate issues, it is possible to determine current compliance status. If a public water system is fully in-compliance with all Title 22 drinking water standards, these systems will not have any open violations filed with the State Water Board (accessible via Drinking Water Watch).

The Human Right to Water Data Portal (also through the State Water Board, [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/hr2w/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/hr2w/), accessed January 2021) provides a GIS point shapefile of public water systems and their compliance status (as of November 2020). The Human Right to Water Portal map file represents information available on community and non-transient non-community public drinking water systems that are regulated by the State Water Board or Local Primacy Agency (LPA). Public drinking water systems included in this dataset have had or are in exceedance of a federal/state primary or secondary drinking water standard between January 2012 to November 2020. The State Water Board's regulatory authority does not include water systems that are defined as "state small water systems", "local state small water systems", or private domestic wells.

The Human Right to Water Data Portal does not indicate specifics as to why public water systems may be out of compliance. This information is available, however, through individual investigation of each public water system through the Drinking Water Watch website. Using a combination of information gleaned from data summarized in Section 2.2, (public supply wells with nitrate data from the Division of Drinking Water), the Human Right to Water Data Portal, and the Drinking Water Watch website, the compilation of the compliance status of all public water systems in the KWA Management Zone can be seen in **Appendix E Table E-4**. This table illustrates that besides nitrate, there are several other chemicals that are causing Public Water Systems to be out of compliance (1,2,3 TCP, Uranium, Arsenic, etc.). The following summary table was developed that indicates compliance status lists Public Water Systems in the KWAMZ that are currently (as of January 2021) for each public water system in the Management Zone out of compliance due to nitrate or nitrate PLUS a co-contaminant (such as 1,2,3 TCP or Perchlorate) (**Table 2-2**).

If public water systems are out of compliance due to nitrate conditions that exceed the safe drinking water limit, they are indicated in the table, and the population served by these systems is listed. A total of six (6) public water systems are currently out of compliance (as of January 2021) due to nitrate issues alone; additionally, five (5) public water systems are currently out of compliance (as of January 2021) due to nitrate PLUS additional co-contaminants (such as 1,2,3 TCP or perchlorate). This translates to a total population served of 2,348 from public water systems currently out of compliance (as of January 2021) due to nitrate contamination alone; and a total population served of 382 from public water systems currently out of compliance (as of January 2021) due to nitrate PLUS additional co-contaminants.

**Table 2-2. Public Water Systems that are Currently Out of Compliance (as of January 2021) due to Nitrate or Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant, by System Name**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (Source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (Source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance		Non-MCL Violation	Pop. Served by Out-of-Compliance PWS due to Nitrate Only	Pop. Served by Out-of-Compliance PWS due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contam.
							Nitrate Only	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant			
CA5403211	BOOTH RANCHES LLC	3	150	MCL	Nitrate; 1,2,3 TCP	4/25/2017; 3/19/2018		X		0	150
CA5401003	EAST OROSI CSD	103	932	Reporting; Distribution System (Lead and Copper); MCL	Nitrate	10/26/2020; 8/9/2019; 11/9/2015	X		X	932	0
CA5403041	FAMILY TREE FARMS	3	30	MCL	Nitrate; 1,2,3 TCP	10/23/2020; 5/21/2018		X		0	30
CA5403215	FRESH SELECT, LLC	1	30	MCL	Nitrate	10/12/2018	X			30	0
CA5402047	GLEANINGS FOR THE HUNGRY	12	31	MCL	Nitrate; Perchlorate	5/3/2016; 5/3/2016		X		0	31
CA5401076	GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS CUTLER	3	46	MCL	1,2,3 TCP; Nitrate	4/13/2018; 11/6/2018		X		0	46
CA5402043	MONSON MARKET	2	30	MCL	Nitrate	10/23/2020	X			30	0

**Table 2-2. Public Water Systems that are Currently Out of Compliance (as of January 2021) due to Nitrate or Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant, by System Name**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (Source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (Source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance		Non-MCL Violation	Pop. Served by Out-of-Compliance PWS due to Nitrate Only	Pop. Served by Out-of-Compliance PWS due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contam.
							Nitrate Only	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant			
CA5400636	OROSI HIGH SCHOOL	8	1200	MCL	Nitrate	12/13/2017	X			1200	0
CA5403205	PENA'S DISPOSAL SERVICES	4	86	MCL	Nitrate	9/14/2016	X			86	0
CA5403081	PETERS FRUIT FARMS, INC	1	125	MCL	1,2,3 TCP; Nitrate	3/5/2018; 5/7/2019		X		0	125
CA5403046	VISALIA CITRUS PACKING GROUP-ORANGE COVE	7	70	MCL	Nitrate	5/17/2016	X			70	0
<b>Total:</b>										<b>2,348</b>	<b>382</b>

## 2.4. Potentially Impacted Domestic Wells and Local Small Water Systems

**Figures 2-2** and **2-4** illustrate the locations of potentially impacted domestic wells and areas of elevated nitrate (7.5 mg/L to 10 mg/L as N, and > 10 mg/L as N). These areas were used along with DWR's domestic well locations based on Well Completion Reports<sup>9</sup>. The approach to identify potentially impacted domestic wells and local small water systems utilizes Public Water System service area GIS map coverages, which are only available for larger systems. Of the 175 known Public Water Systems within the Management Zone, only 128 have mappable service areas (based on Public Water System service boundary GIS data from the California State Geoportal<sup>10</sup>). Public Water System boundaries are not the same as city limits, although most large cities do have their own Public Water System, with mapped service areas. Domestic wells located within the boundaries of a PWS were identified even though they may not be used for drinking water (**Figure 2-2**). The map of recent ambient Upper Zone nitrate was used to estimate the number of potentially impacted domestic wells in the Management Zone.

There are approximately 4,858 domestic wells located within the PWS residential service areas in the Upper Portion of the MZ and 216 domestic wells in PWS service areas in the Southern Portion of the MZ. It is unknown whether any of these wells are still being used even though they are potentially in a PWS area<sup>11</sup>. The number of domestic wells outside of PWS service areas far outweighs those of unknown use status within PWS service areas. Smaller Public Water Systems do not have a mappable service area associated with them, simply a physical address and number of connections. The domestic wells that may be located within these smaller PWS that do not have a documented service area mapped boundary readily available to the public are conservatively counted in the domestic well count in the category of domestic wells outside known PWS boundaries.

To estimate the number of wells potentially impacted by elevated nitrate, domestic wells were placed into six groups:

- Group 1 - Groundwater in the Upper Zone with nitrate as N at or below 2.5 mg/L;
- Group 2 - Groundwater in the Upper Zone with nitrate as N above 2.5 mg/L as N and at or below 5.0 mg/L;
- Group 3 - Groundwater in the Upper Zone with nitrate as N above 5.0 mg/L and at or below 7.5 mg/L;

---

<sup>9</sup> Many domestic well locations provided by DWR's Well Completion Report database may not be exact locations, but rather many wells are plotted in the center of a 1-square mile township/range-section area. Therefore, several domestic wells may plot at the same location, and their locations are accurate up to one mile.

<sup>10</sup> <https://gis.data.ca.gov/datasets/waterboards::california-drinking-water-system-area-boundaries-2>, accessed November, 2020.

<sup>11</sup> Outreach to individual PWS to request accounting data may help identify residents within a PWS boundary that rely on private domestic wells rather than compliant metered water.

- Group 4 - Groundwater in the Upper Zone with nitrate as N above 7.5 mg/L and at or below the MCL of 10 mg/L;
- Group 5 - Nitrate as N exceeding the MCL of 10 mg/L in the Upper Zone; and
- Group 6 - Unknown category because the domestic well(s) are located where insufficient nitrate data exist in the Upper Zone to perform the spatial interpolation of ambient nitrate conditions.

The total number of wells inside and outside PWS boundaries was compared to the number of wells in each elevated nitrate category to provide an estimate of the percent of domestic wells potentially impacted by elevated nitrate in the groundwater. **Table 2-3** summarizes the results of this analysis. This analysis has some inherent uncertainty associated with domestic well locations and the ambient nitrate map (which is adaptable and subject to change as additional Upper Zone groundwater nitrate data become available over time).

To estimate the population potentially impacted by residents relying on groundwater that may have elevated nitrate, 2010 census block data were mapped and joined with the ambient Upper Zone nitrate concentrations occurring outside of PWS boundaries. The population was summed for census blocks outside PWS boundaries and within the Management Zone for those areas with nitrate concentrations in the Upper Zone (using the six categories of nitrate concentrations described above). **Table 2-3** summarizes the results of this analysis.

The total estimated number of domestic wells located outside of PWS boundaries and the potential population associated with residents relying on groundwater that may have elevated nitrate concentrations are derived from two very different methodologies. Based on the estimated population in the potentially affected areas, it is likely that the estimated number of domestic wells located in those areas is underestimated based on information from DWR's WCR database.




Table 2-3. Summary of Domestic Wells and Population with Estimated Upper Zone Nitrate Area Categories										
Estimated Upper Zone Ambient Nitrate (2000-2020)**	DWR Domestic Wells Located Outside PWS Boundaries						DWR Dom. Wells Within PWS Boundaries	2010 Census Block Analysis (outside PWS service areas)		
	Kings Subbasin Portion of Domestic Wells Outside PWS Boundaries	% of Total Kings Domestic Wells Outside PWS	Tulare Lake Subbasin Portion of Domestic Wells Outside PWS Boundaries	% of Total TL Domestic Wells Outside PWS	Within De-Designation Boundary Areas	Total Domestic Wells in MZ Outside PWS	Total Domestic Wells in MZ Within PWS	Kings Subbasin Portion Population Outside PWS Boundaries	Tulare Lake Subbasin Portion Population Outside PWS Boundaries	Total MZ Population Outside PWS Boundaries
<b>Group 1: &lt;=2.5 mg/L as N</b>	<b>1,685</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>513</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2,198</b>	<b>870</b>	<b>12,257</b>	<b>21,633</b>	<b>33,890</b>
<b>Group 2: &gt;2.5 – 5.0 mg/L as N</b>	<b>1,611</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,830</b>	<b>1,203</b>	<b>12,555</b>	<b>2,886</b>	<b>15,441</b>
<b>Group 3: &gt;5.0 – 7.5 mg/L as N</b>	<b>1,748</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,904</b>	<b>765</b>	<b>11,873</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>12,637</b>
<b>Group 4: &gt;7.5 – 10.0 mg/L as N</b>	<b>1,598</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,686</b>	<b>736</b>	<b>9,688</b>	<b>823</b>	<b>10,511</b>
<b>Group 5: &gt;10.0 mg/L as N</b>	<b>5,491</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>935</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6,426</b>	<b>1,457</b>	<b>38,416</b>	<b>9,238</b>	<b>47,654</b>
<b>Group 6: Unknown*</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>893</b>	<b>1,562</b>
<b>Total (Outside PWS Boundaries)</b>	<b>12,289</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,996</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>14,285</b>	<b>5,074</b>	<b>85,458</b>	<b>36,236</b>	<b>121,695</b>


\*Domestic wells or Census Blocks are located in a “Gap Area” where insufficient Upper Zone nitrate data exist to do a spatial interpolation of ambient nitrate conditions.

\*\*Ambient nitrate levels are based on best available groundwater nitrate data meticulously vetted at the time of analysis and is based on Upper Zone nitrate data from January 2000 to August 2020. These mapped nitrate levels are subject to change and are therefore adaptable, as new data become available.


**Figure 2-1. Ambient Nitrate Conditions in the Upper Zone Since 2000**




**Figure 2-2a. Potentially Impacted Public Water Supply Wells and All Domestic Wells**




**Figure 2-2b. Potentially Impacted Public Water Supply Wells and All Domestic Wells, Kings Subbasin Portion of the Management Zone**




**Figure 2-2c. Potentially Impacted Public Water Supply Wells and All Domestic Wells, Tulare Lake Subbasin Portion of the Management Zone**



**Figure 2-3. Treatment Status for Water Systems that have Wells with Nitrate-Impacted Samples**



**Figure 2-4. Domestic Wells Located Outside Public Water System Areas in the Kings/Turlock Lake Management Zone**



### 3. IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIALLY AFFECTED AREAS

A key component of the EAP is identification of residents or other entities in the Management Zone that may be obtaining their drinking water from a well impacted by nitrate levels that exceed 10 mg/L-N. The Management Zone will target some of its outreach efforts specifically to those identified as being most likely impacted by elevated nitrate, i.e., in areas where nitrate is most likely to exceed 7.5 mg/L as N. This targeted outreach will occur at the same time the Management Zone is implementing general community outreach activities to the entire Management Zone. The process to identify residents or other entities in potentially affected areas will begin immediately upon EAP implementation using the steps described in the following subsections.

#### 3.1. Process to Identify Affected Residents

**Figure 2-1** identifies the portions of the Management Zone where nitrate conditions in the Upper Zone of the groundwater system likely exceed 7.5 mg/L-N (see orange and red-colored areas). Except for those areas which are served by a nitrate compliant PWS (e.g., around the City of Fresno), the residences in these orange and red-colored areas are most likely to be served by a domestic well that has unsafe nitrate levels. This EAP applies to the entire Management Zone; however, implementation of this EAP will include phased targeted outreach to residents in the orange and red-colored areas – first within the Phase 1 area and later within the Phase 2 area (see EAP schedule in Section 6.1). Regardless of this phasing, anyone within the Management Zone may contact the Kings Water Alliance at any time to discuss the opportunity to participate in the Interim Replacement Water Program.

The Management Zone will implement the following stepwise process to identify residences that may have a domestic well or may be connected to a PWS that is not compliant with the nitrate drinking water standard. The information developed to identify domestic wells and small water systems (see Section 2.4 above) in nitrate-impacted areas provides a starting point for the work described below. The outcome of this process will be information needed to target EAP outreach to those residents most likely served by a drinking water source that has high nitrate levels. As nitrate data are received from this EAP's well testing program, these data will be incorporated into the Management Zone's database and used to support periodic re-evaluations regarding whether targeted outreach should include additional areas.

#### **Step 1: Data Development – Identify PWS Boundaries and Obtain County Parcel Data**

Public databases that provide PWS boundary information are often not accurate. Therefore, in Step 1, the Management Zone will reach out to all PWS identified within the appropriate portion of the Management Zone boundary (e.g., as required Phases 1 or 2) (see Section 2.2) to request a list of Assessor Parcel Numbers (APN) and service addresses for their respective customers (no



personal customer information will be requested). The outcome will be an accurate depiction of PWS service boundaries and identification of parcels served by a nitrate compliant PWS. If a PWS is unwilling to share the requested data or is unable to provide the data in a timely manner, the Management Zone will rely on what is available from public databases.

To identify the parcels within the Management Zone, county assessor parcel GIS data will be requested. The GIS-based parcel data will be overlaid with the PWS data obtained above and groundwater nitrate water quality characterization data. The outcome will be a base map that identifies areas where nitrate is most likely > 7.5 mg/L-N and not served by a PWS.

### **Step 2: Remove Parcels Served by Nitrate Compliant PWS**

Each PWS will be evaluated to determine if it is compliant with the nitrate water quality standard (Note: An initial evaluation was completed during development of the PMZP; the findings from this effort will be updated as needed). Parcels located within nitrate compliant PWS boundaries will be removed from further evaluation. If it is unclear whether the PWS is in compliance with the nitrate requirements, the associated parcels will be retained. After Step 2, all remaining parcels should meet the following criteria:

- Located within the Management Zone;
- Not served by a nitrate compliant PWS or status of compliance of the associated PWS is unknown; and
- Located in an area where the Upper Zone of the relevant groundwater subbasin potentially has elevated nitrate levels > 7.5 mg/L-N.

### **Step 3: Establish List of Potentially Affected Residences**

GIS-based parcel information (APN or address) will be exported into an Excel spreadsheet. The resulting spreadsheet will be provided to a third-party vendor to generate a mailing list. The outcome will be a preliminary mailing list to be used for targeted resident outreach activities, as described below.

### **Step 4: Identify Targeted Residences Subject to Existing Well Testing Program**

The ILRP required that growers in the Tulare Lake Basin begin monitoring domestic (drinking water) wells on their enrolled parcels for nitrogen in 2020. The purpose of this monitoring is to identify drinking water wells that have nitrate concentrations exceeding 10 mg/L-N and notify well users of the potential for human health risks if the water is used for drinking or cooking.

Under Step 4, the preliminary mailing list created under Step 3 will be evaluated to determine if any residences included on the target list have already had their well tested under the existing well sampling program. For residences identified under this step: (a) if the well test result exceeded 10 mg/L-N, the Management Zone will include them on the targeted outreach mailing list to inform them of the EAP and interim replacement water options available to them

(if replacement water is still needed); or (b) if the test result is  $\leq 7.5$  mg/L, then they will not be targeted for direct outreach under the EAP. However, their well will continue to be re-tested as required under the ILRP (see also Section 5.3).

### **3.2. Process for Non-Compliant Public Water Systems**

The EAP is also intended to address situations where a PWS is non-compliant with the nitrate drinking water standard. Corona Environmental Consulting, LLC (2021) recently completed a review of PWSs within the Management Zone. The study identified five PWSs within the Kings Subbasin portion of the proposed Management Zone that potentially have unresolved nitrate compliance concerns. The Management Zone will collaborate with representatives of these PWSs to work with them on the development of potential solutions (temporary or permanent) to non-compliance with nitrate drinking water standards. If any other PWS is found to be non-compliant with nitrate standards during EAP implementation, the Management Zone will coordinate with them as needed.

## **4. COMMUNITY OUTREACH PROGRAM**

Section 1.2 above summarized the community outreach activities completed to support the development of this EAP. Community outreach will continue during EAP implementation to obtain additional community input. The outcome of this effort may result in modifications to this EAP in the future (See Section 6.4.2 and process to amend the EAP).

### **4.1. Information Sharing**

The Management Zone shares information with stakeholders, including community residents, with interests in the implementation of this EAP through several mechanisms, as described in the following subsections.

#### **4.1.1. Management Zone Website**

The Kings Water Alliance maintains a website: <http://kingswateralliance.org/>. This website serves two key purposes. It provides a: (a) mechanism for residents to notify the Management Zone that they would like to receive notifications of upcoming outreach events and mailouts of program information; and (b) place to post the following information:

- Planned community outreach-related activities and how to participate.
- Schedule for implementation of EAP's interim replacement water program.
- Information regarding how to have your well tested for nitrate at no cost to the resident.

- Interim Replacement Water Preplacement water program information, including, e.g., (1) how to receive bottled water deliveries at your home; (2) how to have a POU System installed in your home; and. (3) locations of and procedures to use the existing operational water fill stations and information on the development status of any new water fill stations in the area;
- Informational materials such as fact sheets, community flyers or other materials that can be used individually or shared with others.
- Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) regarding relevant Nitrate Control Program activities, e.g., phasing of EAP implementation and Management Zone development.

#### ***4.1.2. Materials Development & Distribution***

The Management Zone will prepare informational materials on an as needed basis to support implementation of this EAP (e.g., FAQs or “how to” instructions for topics such as how to have your well tested, how to request bottled water delivery or installation of a POU System, or how to access and operate a water fill station). These materials will be posted on the website and, as needed, provided to stakeholders within the Management Zone to facilitate information sharing. Any posted documents will include both English and Spanish translations when feasible. Other language translations will be developed, if the need is identified.

### **4.2. Community Outreach Activities**

The Management Zone will conduct periodic community outreach meetings to support EAP implementation (see Section 6.1 for implementation schedule). Upcoming meeting schedules will be shared with the community during outreach activities and through website postings.

#### ***4.2.1. General Community Outreach Meetings***

General community outreach meetings were held during development of this EAP (information will be provided in both English and Spanish to the maximum extent practicable; other language support will be provided if determined necessary). Opportunities to participate in these meetings will continue during EAP implementation. Unless restrictions still exist due to government directives related to the COVID pandemic, meetings will be held in-person. In time, meetings may vary between in-person and virtual depending on the need/purpose of the meeting. **Table 4-1** summarizes the activities that will be implemented by the Management Zone to conduct each meeting. The content of each meeting may vary, but the primary purpose of these meetings is to inform the community of the following:

- Phasing of EAP implementation across the Management Zone;
- Overall status of implementation of EAP activities under each phase;

- Opportunity for residents with a domestic well with nitrate at a concentration greater than 10 mg/L-N to participate in or access services from the interim replacement water programs;
- Obtain input from the community on how implementation of the EAP can be improved;
- Have discussions regarding potential long-term drinking water solutions as those planning efforts increase;
- Status of next steps in the Nitrate Control Program, e.g., development of Final Management Zone Proposal and Management Zone Implementation Plan; and
- Schedule for subsequent meetings and upcoming milestones.

The Management Zone will notify the public of EAP-related community outreach events (at a minimum in both English and Spanish) through the use of one or more of the following methods:

- Email to residents that have registered with the Kings Water Alliance to receive information.
- Postings on the Management Zone website and the websites of organizations that have partnered with the Management Zone to share information.
- Social media networks, e.g., Facebook or Nextdoor.
- Direct mail to Management Zone residents, using cost effective methods.
- Public announcements, e.g., through newspaper notices in local and regional media or radio advertisements in the local area.
- Requests to other entities to facilitate outreach efforts, e.g., civic organizations, school and community service districts or houses of worship.
- Others, as determined by the Management Zone.

Table 4-1. Process to Conduct Community Outreach Meeting

Task	Primary Activities
1. Address meeting logistics (if meeting is virtual, 1a will not be necessary)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Secure public venue for in-person meeting</li> <li>b. Prepare and send out “save the date” meeting notice at least 10 days in advance of the meeting date (English and Spanish); post same information on the website</li> <li>c. Send out follow-up meeting notice in English and Spanish within 3-4 days of the meeting date</li> <li>d. Send out meeting notice flyers to other supporting stakeholders to email their internal email list, post on bulletin boards or post on their websites</li> <li>e. Secure necessary translation services for meeting</li> </ul>
2. Prepare meeting materials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Prepare, as needed, meeting agenda, handouts, PowerPoint presentation materials specific to the purpose of the meeting</li> <li>b. Bring copies of any Management Zone informational materials for distribution at the meeting (if in-person)</li> </ul>
3. Post follow-up information as needed after outreach meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Post meeting presentation materials and handouts to Management Zone website (Note: If meeting was virtual, also post a recording of the meeting on the website)</li> </ul>
4. Follow-up directly with meeting participants after meeting, as needed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Follow-up on action items from the meeting</li> <li>b. Respond to post-meeting emails/inquiries</li> </ul>

#### 4.2.2. Targeted Resident Outreach

Section 2 identifies areas within the Management Zone where nitrate concentrations in the Upper Zone of the underlying groundwater are most likely to exceed 10 mg/L-N (e.g., see Figure 2-1). The Management Zone will conduct additional outreach (in addition regular, ongoing outreach to the entire Management Zone) to target residents in these areas that are not served by a PWS that is compliant with state and federal nitrate drinking water regulations. This targeting will occur in phases with Phase 1 areas being targeted first (see discussion of EAP implementation schedule in Section 6.1). Section 3.1 describes the process for identifying the

residents within Phase 1 and Phase 2 target areas for the purpose of developing a targeted mailing list for direct residential outreach.

The Management Zone will send the following information to each household on the targeted residential outreach mailing list (at a minimum, information will be provided in both English and Spanish):

- Cover letter that explains the EAP and how its implementation may apply to their residence.
- Educational materials regarding nitrate in drinking water as a potential health concern.
- Provide information about options available to obtain interim replacement water and, if needed, have their domestic well tested for nitrate (these materials will make clear that where well testing is needed it will be done at no cost to the resident).
- Information about opportunities to participate in EAP implementation and development of long-term drinking water solutions.
- Contact information for a representative of the Management Zone and website where the resident can obtain more information (Management Zone will have Spanish-speaking representative available, as needed). A Management Zone representative will be available to address questions during day and evening hours.

The Management Zone will conduct additional outreach to targeted residents that have not responded in some manner to the initial mailout of information. Additional outreach to non-respondents may include a second mailout of information (unless previous mailed information was returned as undeliverable). The Management Zone will also look for additional opportunities to share information at locations where people gather in the local area, e.g., local community centers, schools, houses of worship, or farm labor centers.

### 4.3. Coordination with Non-Dischargers

The Management Zone will coordinate with entities that are not dischargers subject to the requirements of the Nitrate Control Program but have a potential role in ensuring residents have access to safe drinking water. This collaboration can help the Management Zone:

- Identify potentially affected residents to target for outreach;
- Implement the interim replacement water program;
- Support outreach activities to all residents within the Management Zone;
- Prepare outreach materials tailored to the constituencies associated with non-dischargers;
- Inform other interested parties of EAP-related activities ongoing in the area, e.g., Fresno and Tulare County Boards of Supervisors, Fresno and Tulare County Public Health

Departments, other appropriate County departments, trade groups, local community organizations, etc.

- Keep the Central Valley Water Board and DDW informed (outside of regular EAP status reports) of any issues or concerns that may be developing through program implementation;
- Apply for grants that support not just implementation of the Nitrate Control Program but other area programs to ensure the community has safe drinking water; and
- Develop long-term solutions for providing safe drinking water to residents in the Management Zone.

**Appendix B** has a list of stakeholders including community residents that the Management Zone has been already coordinating with during EAP development. This list will be added to as other stakeholders are identified over time. During EAP implementation all entities on the interested parties list will continue to receive notices of EAP-related activities and will be invited to all community outreach meetings.

## 5. INTERIM REPLACEMENT WATER PROGRAM

This section describes the specific early actions the Management Zone will implement per the EAP schedule to provide interim replacement water for residents who are dependent on groundwater from wells that supply water that has a nitrate concentration of > 10 mg/L-N. These actions are considered temporary, but they will remain available until permanent sources of safe drinking water become available within the Management Zone.

### 5.1. Interim Replacement Water Program Options

The Kings Water Alliance will implement a replacement water program that has two key components that will be implemented in parallel:

- Replacement water options designed to meet individual household needs including: (a) . The emphasis of the first is on reaching as many residents as possible as quickly as possible. This part of the strategy relies on the use of water fill stations – those that are already operational coupled with the installation of additional fill stations. bottled water delivery; and (b) installation of a POU System in the home.
- Implementation of water fill stations to meet additional community needs.

The sections below describe each of these program components and how they will be implemented through the EAP. Section 6 provides the schedules for implementation of this program in the Priority 1 and Priority 2 areas within the Management Zone.

### **5.1.1. Bottled Water Delivery Program**

The Management Zone will offer a bottled water delivery program to meet household-specific water needs. Section 5.2 below describes how a residence can participate in this program. In general, residents participating in the program will:

- Receive regular deliveries of bottled water from the Management Zone’s bottled water vendor at no cost to the residents.
- Establish any necessary agreements and schedules with the Management Zone’s vendor(s) to implement service at their residence. It is anticipated the vendor providing the bottled water service will: (a) provide a 5-gallon water dispenser (leased or purchased); (b) deliver 5-gallon water bottles on a regular schedule; and (c) pick-up the empty bottles (Note: Smaller sized bottle options, e.g., 3-gallon, may also be available)..
- Receive an initial volume of 60 gallons/month of water at their home. Through coordination with the Management Zone, this initial volume may be increased or decreased based on the needs of each household.

As noted above, each resident is responsible for establishing any necessary agreements with the vendor and complying with the terms and conditions of any signed agreements. However, the Management Zone will assist residents as needed with any questions or issues that arise during the establishment of the agreement with the Management Zone’s vendor.

Finally, the Management Zone is considering the potential to implement a non-home delivery bottle water option to its Interim Replacement Water Program that would rely on a partnership with area vendors. Under this option a resident could visit an already established vendor (similar to a water fill station) that is providing safe water through the use of a pre-paid card provided by the Management Zone. This option, if implemented, would not in any way replace the home delivery program described above. It would simply provide another alternative for residents impacted by unsafe levels of nitrate in their well to obtain safe drinking water in the Management Zone.

### **5.1.2. Point of Use Treatment System Program**

The Management Zone will also offer a program to install and operate a POU System in a residence at no cost to the resident to meet household-specific water needs. In general, a residence participating in this program would have a POU System installed at an appropriate location in the residence to provide the household with water for drinking and cooking (e.g., under the kitchen sink). Section 5.2 below describes how a residence can participate in this program.



Every request for POU System installation will require careful evaluation to be sure the appropriate treatment system can be installed in the household to address nitrate concerns. In some cases, due to site-specific circumstances a POU System may not be a viable interim replacement water option for the residence. Reasons why installation of a POU System may not be a viable option include, but may not be limited to:


- Inadequate incoming pressure to the treatment system;
- High nitrate levels (typically > 20 mg/L as N) that limit the effectiveness of the POU System to treat the water to a safe level; and
- Presence of other contaminants besides nitrate that limit the effectiveness of the POU System and/or are not treatable through a POU System.

To support the POU System Program, the Management Zone will coordinate with DDW and the vendor(s) as needed to assist with POU System technical issues. If the technical problems are unresolvable, the residence may alternatively participate in the bottled water delivery program.

Where a POU System is a feasible interim replacement water option, the Management Zone's POU System vendor(s) will work with the resident to install the treatment system. If the resident is not the owner of the residence, the process to install and maintain the POU System will require written approval of the property owner.

Once approved, the resident will establish any necessary agreements (and schedule) with the Management Zone's vendor(s) to install and maintain a POU System at the residence. It is anticipated that services will include: (a) installation of the treatment device; (b) initial and follow-up water testing to ensure the device is removing nitrate down to safe levels as expected; and (c) periodic maintenance of the POU System (as required by the manufacturer). The cost of these services will be borne by the Management Zone as long as the EAP is effective or until an alternative option is provided to ensure the residence has drinking water safe from nitrates. If the resident does not allow required maintenance and monitoring of the POU System to take place (as per the vendor agreement), then the Management Zone has the discretion to modify the approved interim replacement water option from a POU System to bottled water delivery.

As noted above, each resident is responsible for establishing any necessary agreements with the vendor and complying with the terms and conditions of any signed agreements. However, the Management Zone will assist residents as needed with any questions or issues that arise during the establishment of an agreement with the Management Zone's vendor.



**Figure 5-1. Existing Water Fill Station Locations in Proposed Kings Water Alliance and Kaweah Management Zones Relative to Nitrate Concentrations in Groundwater in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone.**

### 5.1.3. Water Fill Station Program

#### 5.1.3.1. Description

A water fill station is an independent water-dispensing facility connected directly to a PWS that meets safe drinking water standards and is constructed and operated as required by state and federal regulations (i.e., as required to meet implementation of the California Safe Drinking Water Act as defined in the California Health & Safety Code and Titles 17 and 22 of the California Code of Regulations). Three water fill stations are currently operational within the Management Zone (**Figure 5-1**) (<https://kingsriverwqc.org/fillstation/>) :

- *Kerman, CA* – This station, is located in the northwest portion of the Priority 1 Kings Subbasin at the Kerman Community Center (15101 W Kearney Blvd, Kerman, CA 93630).
- *Dinuba, CA* – This station is located in the southeast portion of the Priority 1 Kings Subbasin at 150 S I St, Dinuba, CA 93618.
- *Hanford, CA* - This station is located in the northern portion of the Priority 2 Tulare Lake Subbasin at the KART Transit Center (504 W. 7th Street, Hanford, CA 93230).

In addition to the three stations above already located within the proposed Management Zone, two water fill stations are also operating within the boundary of the Kaweah Water Quality Coalition along the east/southeast side of the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone. One of these locations is only a few miles east of the Management Zone boundary, at the southwest corner of Avenue 229 and Road 48 in Okieville (see **Figure 5-1**). This water fill station is managed by the adjacent proposed Kaweah Management Zone (for more information regarding the use of this fill station, see <http://kawahwater.org/drinkingwater.html>).

The existing fill stations were developed in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone in response to the State Water Board’s Office of Enforcement’s Replacement Water Settlement Agreement (RWSA) with three water quality coalitions (Kings River Water Quality Coalition Authority, Kaweah Basin Water Quality Coalition and Tule Basin Water Quality Coalition) (State Water Board 2019). The RWSA required the coalitions to “install drinking water kiosks” to ensure safe drinking water for individuals who may be impacted by nitrate contamination from nearby drinking water wells. The settlement agreement required installation of three water fill stations within the Kings River Water Quality Coalition with each station expected to serve drinking water to up to 3,000 residents (State Water Board 2019).

The fill stations may be used by anyone to fill water bottles up to five gallons in size as often as necessary at no cost to the user. Water stations may not be the preferred solution for some residents to obtain drinking water; however, they do serve as a front-line solution to reach as many residents as possible while other solutions are implemented. Moreover, while fill stations

are being developed to address nitrate concerns, their presence in the community can provide other local benefits, including for example:

- Safe drinking water source for homeless;
- Source of water for farm labor contractors to fill up containers to provide a daily supply of safe water for field workers;
- Alternative water source for residents who are:
  - Reliant on wells that may dry up during significant periods of drought;
  - Already reliant on bottled water, but experience delivery cutbacks for whatever reason (e.g., as has occurred during periods of the COVID pandemic); and
  - Who, because of privacy concerns, do not respond to the Management Zone’s offers to provide bottled water delivery or POU System services.

Under the EAP, the Management Zone may establish additional fill stations that target areas with the following characteristics:

- Results of community outreach activities indicate the need and support for additional fill stations;
- Residents are not served by a nitrate compliant PWS;
- Nitrate concentrations in the underlying groundwater are most likely greater than 10 mg/L-N (e.g., see **Figure 2-1**); and
- Area is not already served by an existing water fill station (see **Figure 5-1**), including those in an adjacent Management Zone.

If additional fill stations are planned for development, the Kings Water Alliance will work with the community through its outreach process to identify the best areas to target for installation of the stations as needed, where it fits operational standards and requirements.

### 5.1.3.2. Siting and Use Criteria for Identifying a Water Fill Station Location

It is anticipated that any additional fill stations developed by the Kings Water Alliance will be new installations. However, if the opportunity becomes available in the Management Zone, the KWA will consider partnering with entities that already have an operational fill station. Where such a partnership can be established, KWA will work with the entity to make any modifications to the facility necessary to support the fill station requirements under this program and compensate the owner for water provided to residents under this EAP.

When identifying a new location to establish a water fill station, the Management Zone will look for locations that meet as many of the following criteria as possible:

- It is within an area that the community has indicated would benefit from a water fill station.

- Management Zone is able to obtain permission to install and operate a filling station on land or property owned by a third party.
- Fill station receives its water from an existing PWS that (a) complies with all regulatory requirements to provide safe drinking water; and (b) has sufficient capacity to dispense water at a reasonable rate to fill up multiple containers (up to five-gallons) within a short period of time. The minimum targeted rate is 1.5-2 gallons/minute, consistent with California regulations for faucets in new residential construction.
- The location is within an area where the public already goes to meet other family needs, e.g., at a governmental facility, shopping center, school or house of worship.
- Establishment of the fill station is not expected to create any safety issues for users, e.g., location is in a well-lit and well-trafficked area.
- Vehicle access/parking is available close to the fill station (to minimize distance a water bottle must be carried) and sufficient in area to not cause any unnecessary congestion.
- To the extent practicable, the location meets the goal to have a water fill station open 24 hours/7 days per week.
- Operation of the fill station does not create noise impacts to neighboring properties, especially during nighttime hours.

Through its existing program to establish water fill stations, the Kings River Water Quality Coalition has developed significant experience identifying and developing locations for these stations. This experience has shown there are challenges to finding viable locations that meet all of the above the criteria. Regardless, the Kings Water Alliance will work to identify sites that most closely meet these criteria.

### 5.1.3.3. Implementation Approach

**Table 5-1** summarizes the key steps/activities that the Management Zone will implement to install and operate a water fill station. Consistent with the implementation of existing fill stations, residents that use the fill station will need to provide their own bottles to fill at the facility. Information regarding how the user should clean and sanitize water bottles and store them is provided here: <https://kingsriverwqc.org/fillstation/>.

Table 5-1. Process to Develop Water Fill Stations	
Task	Primary Activities
1. Establish locations for installation of additional fill stations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Complete research to identify viable locations</li> <li>b. Conduct site visits; coordinate with land/property owners</li> <li>c. Make final selection of site location</li> </ul>
2. Establish agreements with land/property owner of selected site and water provider for the station	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Establish any necessary agreements to secure use of the site for installation and operation of a fill station</li> <li>b. Establish water usage agreements with water provider, as needed</li> </ul>
3. Complete site design and obtain necessary approvals and funding to install new fill station	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Prepare the station design (including operational signage) and construction-related documents</li> <li>b. Obtain any required approvals/permits to implement the project (as required by local or state regulations)</li> </ul>
4. Establish operational plans, as needed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Prepare sampling analysis plan for testing (or rely on existing plans used for other water fill stations)</li> <li>b. Prepare operation and maintenance (O&amp;M) procedures including cleaning procedures as needed to operate the station</li> </ul>
5. Construct the new filling station	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Complete construction of the facility (including installation of signage) and obtain any necessary approvals to open the facility to the public</li> </ul>
6. Conduct outreach to the local community to inform the public of the availability of the fill station	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Conduct outreach as described in Section 4</li> <li>b. Notice the community when the fill station is open</li> <li>c. Notify the Central Valley Water Board when the fill station is open</li> </ul>
7. Manage operating site, conducting necessary maintenance and gathering usage data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Gather data on usage</li> <li>b. Respond promptly to repair needs to minimize time when water not available</li> <li>c. Conduct routine maintenance</li> </ul>

## 5.2. Participation in Bottled Water or POU System Programs

**Table 5-2** summarizes the steps or activities to be carried out by the Management Zone to implement the bottled water and POU System programs. Residents may request participation in either of these interim replacement water options by submitting a Program Participation Request (see **Appendix D**) to the Management Zone. The Management Zone will confirm that the resident submitting the request meets the following three eligibility criteria:

1. Residence requesting services is within the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone and does not receive drinking water from a PWS where state- and/or county-mandated testing indicates the PWS complies with the nitrate water quality objective.
2. If the Management Zone contracts with a vendor(s) to provide the requested bottled water or POU System services and the vendor(s) requires the resident sign an agreement to receive these services, the resident must be willing to sign and meet the terms and conditions of the agreement.
3. Current drinking water source at the residence has a nitrate concentration that is above the safe drinking water level of 10 mg/L-N (see Section 5.3 below for information regarding how to have your well tested).

Residents participating in the bottled water or POU System programs will receive periodic check-ins (e.g., via email or telephone) from the Management Zone after services are initiated. These check-ins are provided to verify the Management Zone's approved vendor(s) are providing services as contracted. In addition, check-ins provide the opportunity for the Management Zone to (a) the answer questions from residents; (b) verify sufficient bottled-water is being delivered to the residence; and (c) evaluate if the POU System is receiving proper maintenance.

### 5.3. Residential Well Testing Program

The Kings Water Alliance is establishing a residential nitrate well testing program to support implementation of this EAP. Any resident in the Management Zone may request to have their well sampled for nitrate. Well testing will be provided to residents that live within the Management Zone boundary and are not currently receiving drinking water from a nitrate-compliant PWS. The Management Zone will only test the well that provides water to the residence. If the resident does not know the source of water to the household, e.g., whether the household receives nitrate-compliant water from a regulated PWS, Management Zone representatives will work with them to evaluate this question.

A well test is necessary to verify eligibility to receive bottled water delivery or installation of a POU System, as described in the previous section. To request a well test, the resident can (a) send in a completed Program Participation Request form (see **Appendix D**) which is available for download from the Management Zone website; (b) fill out a request online at the Management Zone's website; or (c) contact the Management Zone directly for assistance. The following sections describe the well-testing program planned implementation in the Management Zone.

### 5.3.1. Initial Well Test

If the nitrate concentration of the well water is unknown, the Management Zone will coordinate with the residence to have the water tested as soon as possible at no cost to the resident. If the resident is not the owner of the property, permission from the property owner is necessary to have the well tested (see Participation Request Form). The resident may also provide the results from a previous well test if the water sample was collected within the last five years using standard methods for well sampling, and the nitrate concentration was analyzed using an approved Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method by a laboratory certified under the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP).

Well sampling carried out by the Management Zone will be conducted using standard well sampling procedures consistent with sample methods used to implement other well testing programs in the area, e.g., as described in Central Valley Water Board’s ILRP Drinking Water Well Program FAQ guidance (Central Valley Water Board 2020). All samples will be analyzed for nitrate using EPA-approved methods at an ELAP certified laboratory.

Table 5-2 Process to Request Participation in Replacement Water Programs	
Task	Primary Activities
1. Establish agreements with vendor(s) to provide services to residents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Select vendor(s) to provide the following services: (a) bottled-water delivery; (b) POU System; and (c) well testing</li> <li>b. Establish procedures to (a) connect vendor(s) with residents (including understanding regarding agreements residents will need to establish with the vendor); and (b) process payments for services rendered</li> </ul>
2. Conduct targeted residential outreach in Management Zone (see Section 4.2.2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Using the mailing list developed under Section 3.2, send direct mailout to target areas (areas most likely to have nitrate concentrations in groundwater &gt; 10 mg/L-N) informing them of the availability of all replacement water programs active in the Management Zone and how to participate in any program</li> <li>b. Use other mechanisms described in Section 4 to notify the community at large of the availability of replacement water programs and how to participate in any program</li> </ul>
3. Verify residents requesting bottled-water delivery or POU System installation meet eligibility Criteria 1 and 2 (see Section 5.2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Verify the resident is located within the Management Zone</li> <li>b. Verify the resident is willing to establish any required agreements with the Management Zone’s vendor(s) providing the requested services</li> </ul>



Table 5-2 Process to Request Participation in Replacement Water Programs	
Task	Primary Activities
4. Unless acceptable nitrate data are already available (see Section 5.3.1), conduct well testing to verify eligibility with Criterion 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Obtain well water sample in coordination with the resident (and property owner, as needed) to test the drinking water source to the residence; notify resident of well test results</li> <li>b. If well test result indicates the nitrate concentration is &gt; 10 mg/L-N, the Management Zone will discuss options for replacement water with the resident, including the pros and cons of each approach. The Management Zone will connect the resident or property owner with the appropriate vendor (bottled water delivery or POU System) to initiate replacement water services if either of these replacement water options are selected.</li> <li>c. If well test result indicates the nitrate concentration is ≤ 10 mg/L-N the resident and property owner will be notified that (a) the bottled water delivery or POU System options are not available to them through the Management Zone at this time; and (b) a follow-up well test may be offered, if appropriate (see Section 5.3.2)</li> </ul>
5. Conduct follow-up with residents receiving bottled water deliveries	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Check-in with residents receiving services to verify: (a) monthly delivery volume is sufficient for household; modify as needed; and (b) service is being provided by vendor(s) as contracted. Check-ins will occur as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Within one month of initiation of service;</li> <li>ii. Approximately six months after initiation of service; and</li> <li>iii. Annually</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
6. Conduct follow-up with residents with POU System	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Check-in with residents receiving services to: (a) verify POU System is operating; (b) answer any questions regarding POU System O&amp;M; and (c) verify resident is having system maintained as required by agreement with the vendor(s). Check-ins will occur as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Within one month of initiation of service;</li> <li>ii. Approximately six months after initiation of service; and</li> <li>iii. Annually</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
7. Conduct follow-up outreach to residents or property owners with a nitrate test result that was ≤ 10 mg/L but ≥ 8.0 mg/L	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Provide opportunity for residents or property owners to have well re-tested per procedures provided in Section 5.3.2.</li> </ul>

Residents and property owners will be notified of the results from the well test following receipt of the results from the laboratory:

- If the results indicate nitrate levels exceed 10 mg/L-N, the resident and property owner will be contacted directly via telephone or email within 24 hours of the Management Zone receiving the test result. The Management Zone will discuss options for replacement water with the resident, including the pros and cons of each approach. If bottled water or POU System service is selected, the Management Zone will coordinate with the resident and property owner to initiate bottled-water or POU System service at the residence as quickly as possible. The telephone/email communication will be followed up with a mailed written summary of the well test findings to the resident and the property owner, as applicable, that includes: a copy of the laboratory report, documentation that the well water was only tested for nitrate, recommend that the resident consider having the well tested for other potential contaminants if seeking installation of a POU System (also see Section 5.4; if known, the Management Zone will provide information regarding other well testing programs that may be available in the area) and any recommended next steps. If any additional water testing is required by the vendor to support installation of a POU System, this testing will be coordinated with the vendor providing this service.
- If the results indicate nitrate levels are  $\leq 10$  mg/L-N, the resident and property owner will receive a written summary of the results, including a copy of the laboratory report. The written summary will indicate that: (a) the residence will not be able to participate in the Management Zone's bottled water or POU System replacement water programs; (b) the well water was only tested for nitrate and recommend that the resident have the well tested for other potential contaminants (also see Section 5.4) (if known, the Management Zone will provide information regarding other well testing programs that may be available in the area); and (c) advise the resident of opportunity to have their well tested again, if applicable (see Section 5.3.2).

### **5.3.2. Follow-up Well Test**

For any resident or property owner that has an initial nitrate well test result showing nitrate levels  $\leq 10.0$  mg/L but  $\geq 8.0$  mg/L, and the resident is not already having their well tested on a regular basis as required through the Central Valley Water Board's ILRP or the KRWQC groundwater trend monitoring program), the Management Zone will offer follow-up well testing. Within one year of the initial well test the Management Zone will contact the resident or property owner to offer the opportunity to retest the well at no cost. If the resident or property owner does not want their well re-tested, no additional follow-up will occur. If the resident or property owner agrees to have the well re-tested and the result remains between 8.0 and 10 mg/L, then the Management Zone will continue to reach out on an annual basis to provide the opportunity to have the well tested at no cost until the nitrate concentration is  $< 8.0$  mg/L.

## 5.4. Coordination with Other Related Safe Drinking Water Programs

The purpose of this EAP is to fulfill the safe drinking water requirements of the Nitrate Control Program as they pertain to nitrate levels in groundwater. It does not address other potential water quality concerns that may impact drinking water within the Management Zone area, e.g., arsenic, uranium or 1,2,3 Trichloropropane (TCP). However, other programs (e.g., Safe and Affordable Funding for Equity and Resilience [SAFER] under the Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund) may be able to support efforts to test for these other constituents of concern through the grant funding.

Through its ongoing community outreach program and coordination with SHE (either directly or through contract mechanisms established by other entities such as the Central Valley Salinity Coalition), the Management Zone will identify opportunities to collaboratively address these other contaminants of concern where appropriate. The intent of this collaboration is to implement as cost effective a program as possible that minimizes the potential for a residence to have its well tested multiple times, each time for different constituents. To this end, the Management Zone will coordinate with DDW, community-based organizations and other interested entities to identify opportunities to implement a complementary well testing program.

## 6. EARLY ACTION PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

### 6.1. Schedule/Milestones

The Kings Water Alliance will begin implementing this EAP by May 7, 2021 unless the Central Valley Water Board objects. EAP activities will be implemented in two phases:

- Phase 1 implementation of this EAP occurs only in the Priority 1 areas. This implementation begins by May 7, 2021 and focuses on the following Priority 1 areas within the Management Zone boundary: Kings Subbasin, Kaweah Subbasin and Tule Subbasin. The very small areas within the Priority 2 Madera and Delta-Mendota Subbasins within the Management Zone boundary are also included in Phase 1.
- Phase 2 targets EAP implementation in the rest of the Management Zone, e.g., Tulare Lake Subbasin. EAP implementation in these areas will not begin until within 60 days after the due dates for submittals under the Nitrate Control Program in Priority 2 areas. Some of the items implemented under Phase 1 will continue into Phase 2 as the area of implementation expands within the Management Zone.

**Figure 6-1** illustrates the anticipated timelines for implementation of EAP phases as related to the Nitrate Control Program requirements for Priority 1 and 2 areas (Central Valley Water

Board 2020) and current understandings of the Central Valley Water Board’s schedule for implementation of the program in Priority 2 areas (i.e., ~ January 2022)<sup>12</sup>.

### 6.1.1. Phase 1 Schedule/Milestones

**Figure 6-2** illustrates the general schedule and key milestones for implementation of the EAP during Phase 1 through 2023. **Table 6-1** provides a detailed schedule of activities associated with each of the key components of this EAP: General and Targeted Community Outreach, Interim Replacement Water Program and Monitoring and Reporting. The schedule assumes a startup date no later than May 7, 2021, or 60 days after submittal of the PMZP. Any proposed revisions to the schedule will be proposed in EAP status reports; in addition, the schedule beyond 2023 will be incorporated into the second annual report due near the end of the second quarter in 2023.

### 6.1.2. Phase 2 Schedule/Milestones

**Figure 6-3** illustrates the general schedule and key milestones currently planned for implementation during Phase 2. Note that with the exception of the installation of fill stations, the activities that occurred in Phase 1 will continue into Phase 2 (e.g., General Community Outreach, Bottled Water and POU System Programs and Monitoring and Reporting). **Table 6-2** provides more detailed information regarding EAP implementation in this portion of the Management Zone. However, the Management Zone may propose revisions to this EAP, including the schedule, prior to its implementation in the Phase 2 area based on (a) experience and knowledge gained from implementation of this EAP during Phase 1; and (b) additional input provided by local community residents and other stakeholders as part of the ongoing community outreach program implemented during Phase 1. As noted above, the actual startup date of Phase 2 is dependent on when the Central Valley Water Board sends out its notices to comply to Priority 2 Subbasins.

Priority 1 Notice to Comply June 2020	Year/Quarter											
	2021				2022				2023			
	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
	PMZP due March 8; EAP Phase 1 Startup May 7, 2021				Priority 2 NTC (January 2022)				EAP Phase 2 Startup (425 Days after NTC)			

**Figure 6-1. Phasing of EAP Implementation in Relation to Notices to Comply (NTC) in Priority 1 and 2 Subbasins (Priority 2 NTC date is an estimate)**

<sup>12</sup> Note the Central Valley Water Board has the discretion to begin implementation of the Nitrate Control Program in Priority 2 areas until as late as January 2024.

## 6.2. Early Action Plan Funding Mechanism

The Management Zone is governed by the Kings Water Alliance, a non-profit public benefit corporation that filed for non-profit status on November 17, 2020. **Attachment E** of the Preliminary Management Zone Proposal provides the Articles of Incorporation and by-laws of the Kings Water Alliance. The Board of Directors currently has seven seats that can be expanded up to 11 as needed; these Board members have worked collaboratively to develop an equitable cost allocation approach to fund the implementation of this EAP. As the cost allocation formula is being developed, the Board is contributing to initial program costs. When the cost allocation is finalized and approved by the Board of Directors, which is anticipated in the second quarter of 2021, the Kings Water Alliance will collect the required fees from the Management Zone participants for initial and ongoing costs. The Kings Water Alliance is prepared and fully committed to funding the activities associated with the EAP including implementation.

## 6.3. EAP Program Evaluation

The Management Zone will conduct monitoring to evaluate the effectiveness of its EAP program. This information will be used to (a) prepare EAP status reports; (b) adaptively manage the EAP over time while long-term drinking water solutions are in development; and (c) evaluate whether the program should be modified for the Phase 2 Priority 2 areas within the Management Zone.

### 6.3.1. Monitoring Activities

The Management Zone will implement the following record-keeping and data collection efforts during each EAP Phase:

- *Bottled-water Delivery Program* - The Management Zone will maintain records that include the following information:
  - Requests for participation in this program;
  - Wells tested as a result of requests for participation and the well test results;
  - Communications with each resident regarding well test results and eligibility to participate in bottled water program; and
  - Communications with residents and status of participation in program (e.g., follow-up check-ins to verify water needs are being met and contracted services are being provided).
- *POU System Program* - The Management Zone will maintain records that include the following information:

- Requests for participation in this program;
  - Wells tested as a result of requests for participation and the well test results;
  - Communications with each resident and property owner (as needed) regarding well test results and eligibility to participate in POU System program;
  - Status of participation of residents that had a POU System installed (e.g., verify vendor is able to provide maintenance and conduct monitoring as required for each system); and
  - Communications with residents and status of participation in program (e.g., follow-up check-ins to verify contracted services are being provided).
- *Water Fill Station* – For any stations operational, the Management Zone will collect usage data, including volume of water dispensed and days and times fill stations are most often used. These data will provide (a) insight on patterns of usage at each facility; and (b) if needed, a basis for compensating the owner of the facility providing water to the fill station. Fill station usage data will also allow the Management Zone to evaluate whether additional fill station capacity is needed in the Management Zone. If periods of high usage are identified at any station, additional site monitoring may be temporarily conducted to determine the degree to which lines may be forming causing significant delays in obtaining water or congestion at the site.

The Management Zone will also conduct the following additional record-keeping activities to support its effort to evaluate EAP implementation:

- Residences that have been targeted for outreach to participate in the replacement water program, but have not responded or have indicated no interest in participating in the program.
- Documentation of any residents that were approved for bottled-water delivery or POU System installation, but did not activate the services with the Management Zone’s vendor(s).
- Documentation of how situations were resolved where the resident requested a POU System but due to technical issues had to rely on bottled water delivery instead.
- Contacts with residents to provide an opportunity for a re-test of their domestic well and the outcome of those efforts.

### **6.3.2. Reporting and Adaptive Management**

The Management Zone will submit EAP progress reports to the Central Valley Water Board per the schedules in **Tables 6-1** and **6-2**. As the EAP expands activities into the Phase 2 area, the content and coverage of the progress reports will expand as well. Each report will address the following:

- Status of implementation of each EAP element in **Tables 6-1** and/or **6-2**. Where a program element has not been completed per the schedule, the progress report will document the reason for the delay and provide a revised schedule to complete the activity.
- Summary of public outreach efforts that have occurred since last reporting period.
- Summary of findings from ongoing monitoring activities as described in Section 6.4.1.
- Requests to modify the EAP. The basis for a request to modify the EAP will be based on knowledge gained during implementation and may include, but not be limited to, one of the following drivers:
  - Evaluation of available program monitoring data (see Section 6.4.1);
  - Evaluation of well testing data, e.g., as new well data become available, the areas targeted for direct outreach may be modified;
  - Outcomes from ongoing community outreach activities;
  - Input from Management Zone participants, including permitted dischargers and non-dischargers within the Management Zone area; and
  - Identification of other replacement water program options to supplement the overall EAP program or replace an existing program option.

Phase 1 and Phase 2 progress reports will be sent to the Central Valley Water Board per the EAP schedule. The Management Zone will submit any recommended revisions to the EAP as part of the status report submittal to the Executive Officer of the Central Valley Water Board. Unless the Executive Officer objects to the proposed revisions to this EAP, the Management Zone will begin implementation of the revised EAP within 60 days of submittal. If the Central Valley Water Board objects to the proposed revisions, the Management Zone will work with the Central Valley Water Board to address their concerns to the extent possible. If the proposed revisions are not approved, then the EAP will continue to be implemented as written.

Kings Water Alliance Management Zone  
Early Action Plan

Task	Subtasks	2021			2022				2023			
		QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4
General Community Outreach	General community outreach activities (website, flyers, other communications)	[Bar spanning Q2 2021 to Q4 2022]										
	Conduct public community & stakeholder meetings	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	Phase 1 expected to transition into Phase 2 in 2023 - see text			
Phase 1 Targeted Community Outreach	Establish mailing list of targeted residents in Phase 1 area	[Bar]										
	Mailout Replacement Water Program information		◆									
	Conduct follow-up outreach (as needed)		[Bar]									
Phase 1 Replacement Water: Bottled Water & POU Systems	Secure services of vendors	[Bar]										
	Process requests for services (well-testing, eligibility verification, initiate services)	[Bar spanning Q2 2021 to Q4 2022]										
	Resident follow-up to verify services being provided and conduct follow-up well testing	[Bar spanning Q2 2021 to Q4 2022]										
Phase 1 Replacement Water: Fill Stations	Identify locations and need for additional stations in collaboration with the community	[Bar]										
	New Fill Station No. 1 (if implemented): Project development				[Bar]							
	New Fill Station No. 1 (if implemented): Installation					[Bar]						
	New Fill Station No. 2 (if implemented): Project development					[Bar]						
	New Fill Station No. 2 (if implemented): Installation						[Bar]					
	Notify Central Valley Water Board and community of operational station						◆	◆				
	Operate and maintain existing and new water fill stations								[Bar spanning Q2 2022 to Q4 2022]			
Phase 1 Monitoring and Reporting	Gather monitoring data and maintain records from all program activities	[Bar spanning Q2 2021 to Q4 2022]										
	Prepare EAP status reports			◆		◆				◆		

Figure 6-2. General Phase 1 EAP Implementation Schedule



Kings Water Alliance Management Zone  
Early Action Plan

Task	Subtasks	2023*			2024				2025				
		QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	
General Community Outreach	General community outreach activities (website, flyers, other communications)	[Solid blue bar spanning QTR 2 2023 to QTR 4 2025]											
	Conduct public community & stakeholder meetings	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	2025 meeting schedule to be determined				
Phase 2 Targeted Community Outreach	Establish mailing list of targeted residents in Phase 2 area	[Solid blue bar]											
	Mailout Replacement Water Program information		◆										
	Conduct follow-up outreach (as needed)		[Solid blue bar]										
Phase 2 Replacement Water: Bottled Water & POU Systems	Expand vendor services to Phase 2 area	[Solid blue bar]											
	Process requests for services (well-testing, eligibility verification, initiate services) from Phase 1 or Phase 2 residents	[Solid blue bar spanning QTR 2 2023 to QTR 4 2025]											
	Resident follow-up to verify services being provided and conduct follow-up well testing	[Solid blue bar spanning QTR 2 2023 to QTR 4 2025]											
Phase 2 Replacement Water: Fill Stations	Identify locations and need for additional fill station in collaboration with the community	[Solid blue bar]											
	New Fill Station No. 3 (if implemented): Project development				[Solid blue bar]								
	New Fill Station No. 3 (if implemented): Installation					[Solid blue bar]							
	Notify Central Valley Water Board and community of operational station						◆						
	Operate and maintain existing and new water fill stations in all Management Zone areas	[Solid blue bar spanning QTR 2 2023 to QTR 4 2025]											
Monitoring and Reporting	Gather monitoring data and maintain records from all program activities	[Solid blue bar spanning QTR 2 2023 to QTR 4 2025]											
	Prepare EAP status reports in coordination with Phase 1 area	◆		◆		◆				◆			

\* See text for explanation of estimated Phase 2 start-up date

Figure 6-3. General Phase 2 EAP Implementation Schedule

Table 6-1. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Phase 1 EAP Implementation Schedule (see also Figure 6-2)

Task	Subtasks	Schedule (Assumes EAP Start Date: May 7, 2021)
<b>General Community Outreach</b>	Maintain Management Zone website	Ongoing
	Maintain existing and develop additional mechanisms to provide notice to the public of EAP implementation activities	Ongoing
	As needed, prepare materials to support community outreach activities (e.g., flyers for upcoming meetings, FAQs, etc.)	Ongoing
	Send out public notice of upcoming community meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Save the Date” public meeting notice – send within 10 business days prior to scheduled meeting</li> <li>• Final meeting notice – send within 3 business days of meeting date (include Zoom link if meeting will be virtual)</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct public community meetings to provide:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– EAP status update;</li> <li>– Information on replacement water program options;</li> <li>– Implementation schedule;</li> <li>– Well-testing opportunity; and</li> <li>– Other topics as needed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initial EAP implementation kickoff meeting – May 2021</li> <li>• Additional meetings – periodic community outreach meetings will be held in 2021 and 2022 as needed to best accomplish the goals of Phase 1 EAP implementation.</li> <li>• Meetings will continue in 2023 and following as Phase 2 begins implementation (see Table 6-2)</li> </ul> <p>Note: Meetings will be virtual until the State of California lifts restrictions on public in-person meetings. Once restrictions lifted, the Management Zone will hold in-person meetings initially, but may balance in-person vs. virtual meetings over the long-term.</p>

Table 6-1. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Phase 1 EAP Implementation Schedule (see also Figure 6-2)

Task	Subtasks		Schedule (Assumes EAP Start Date: May 7, 2021)
<b>Phase 1 Targeted Community Outreach</b>	Establish mailing list for targeted residents in the Phase 1 area (residents with domestic well in areas most likely impacted by nitrate at concentrations > 7.5 mg/L-N – see orange and red areas in Figure 2-1)		Complete by June 15, 2021
	Mailout Replacement Water Program information to residents on mailing list of targeted residents		Complete initial mailing by July 1, 2021
	Conduct follow-up outreach to residents that did not respond to initial contact or had mailed information returned as undeliverable		As needed, but complete by August 15, 2021
<b>Replacement Water: Bottled Water or POU System Programs</b>	Establish third-party agreements with vendors to supply bottled water or install a POU treatment system		Prior to initial mailout of outreach packet to targeted residences (see above)
	Acknowledge receipt of service request from resident and initiate eligibility evaluation		Within 3 business days of receipt of request to receive services
	If well test required to verify eligibility of residents requesting services, schedule and conduct well test		Schedule well testing as quickly as possible in coordination with resident (and property owner if the resident is not the owner)
	Advise residents (and property owner, as needed) of initial nitrate well test results	Result is > 10 mg/L-N	Within 24 hours of receipt of test results, contact resident or property owner via telephone or email to discuss replacement water options and initiate bottled water or POU System services as requested by the resident; follow-up with written information within 3 business days (see Section 5.3.1 regarding information to be communicated)
Result is ≤ 10 mg/L-N		Within 3 business days of receipt of test results, send written notice to the resident or property owner of ineligibility to participate in bottled water or POU System programs (see Section 5.3.1 regarding information to be communicated)	

Table 6-1. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Phase 1 EAP Implementation Schedule (see also Figure 6-2)

Task	Subtasks		Schedule (Assumes EAP Start Date: May 7, 2021)
	Follow-up well testing if initial well test is $\geq 8.0$ mg/L but $\leq 10$ mg/L-N	Initial well test is $\geq 8.0$ mg/L but $\leq 10$ mg/L-N	<p>Within one year offer resident or property owner the opportunity to retest the well at no cost. If the resident or property owner:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not want their well re-tested, no additional follow-up is required</li> <li>Agrees to have the well re-tested and the result remains between 8.0 and 10 mg/L, then the Management Zone will continue to reach out to the resident or property owner on an annual basis to provide the opportunity to have the well tested at no cost until the nitrate concentration is <math>&lt; 8.0</math> mg/L</li> </ul>
	Follow-up with residents participating in bottled water/POU program to verify: (a) services are being received as contracted; and (b) bottled water recipients have sufficient water being delivered		Conduct first check-in with each resident within 30 days after confirming eligibility to receive bottled water/POU System services; conduct second check-in within 90 days after first check-in
<b>Phase 1 Replacement Water: Fill Stations</b>	Identify locations for up to two new water fill stations in the Phase 1 area		If water fill stations supported by the community, identify locations by end of 2021
	Establish final agreements with land/property owner/fill station owner to operate/maintain filling station (as needed)		As needed
	New Water Fill Station No. 1 (if implemented)	Complete design/specifications and obtain necessary permits or approvals to install facility	Within 120 days of confirmation of site location and establishment of agreement with land/property owner
		Complete installation	Within 60 days of obtaining necessary permits/approvals
	New Water Fill Station No. 2 (if implemented)	Complete design/specifications and obtain necessary permits or approvals to install facility	Within 90 days after Water Fill Station No. 1 is operational

Table 6-1. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Phase 1 EAP Implementation Schedule (see also Figure 6-2)

Task	Subtasks	Schedule (Assumes EAP Start Date: May 7, 2021)
	Complete installation	Within 60 days of obtaining necessary permits/approvals
	Notify Central Valley Board and community	Within 14 days of a water fill station becoming operational
	Operate and Maintain Water Fill Stations	Ongoing at existing fill stations and initiated at new fill stations when they come on line
<b>Monitoring and Reporting</b>	Collect monitoring data/maintain records as described in Section 6.4.1	Ongoing at existing fill stations and initiated at new fill stations when they become operational
	Submit progress reports to the Central Valley Water Board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Within 30 days after the first six months of EAP implementation or by December 7, 2021</li> <li>• Within 30 days after the first year of EAP implementation is completed or by June 7, 2022</li> <li>• Within 30 days after the second year of EAP implementation is completed or by June 7, 2023 (Note this report may include some Phase 2 activity – see Table 6-2)</li> <li>• Subsequent reports as discussed in the Table 6-2</li> </ul>

Table 6-2. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Phase 2 EAP Implementation Schedule (see also Figure 6-3)

Task	Subtasks	Schedule (assumes Priority 2 startup by January 31, 2022 and required implementation of EAP by April 1, 2023 – see Figures 6-1 and 6-3 and text)
<b>General Community Outreach</b>	Maintain Management Zone website	Ongoing
	Maintain existing and develop additional mechanisms to provide notice to the public of EAP implementation activities	Ongoing
	As needed, prepare materials to support community outreach activities (e.g., flyers for upcoming meetings, FAQs, etc.)	Ongoing
	Send out public notice of upcoming community meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Save the Date” public meeting notice – send within 10 business days prior to scheduled meeting</li> <li>• Final meeting notice – send within 3 business days of meeting date (include Zoom link if meeting will be virtual)</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct public community meetings to provide:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– EAP status update;</li> <li>– Information on replacement water program options;</li> <li>– Implementation schedule;</li> <li>– Well-testing opportunity; and</li> <li>– Other topics as needed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initial EAP implementation kickoff meeting in the Phase 2 area – May 2023</li> <li>• Additional meetings – periodic community outreach meetings will be held in 2021 and 2022 as needed to best accomplish the goals of Phase 1 EAP implementation.</li> <li>• 2025 schedule to be determined before the end of 2024</li> </ul> <p>Note: Meetings will be virtual until the State of California lifts restrictions on public in-person meetings. Once restrictions lifted, the Management Zone will hold in-person meetings initially, but may balance in-person vs. virtual meetings over the long-term.</p>

Table 6-2. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Phase 2 EAP Implementation Schedule (see also Figure 6-3)

Task	Subtasks	Schedule (assumes Priority 2 startup by January 31, 2022 and required implementation of EAP by April 1, 2023 – see Figures 6-1 and 6-3 and text)
<b>Phase 2 Targeted Community Outreach</b>	Establish mailing list for targeted residents in the Phase 2 area (residents with domestic well in areas most likely impacted by nitrate at concentrations > 7.5 mg/L-N – see red and orange areas in Figure 2-1)	Complete by June 15, 2023
	Mailout Replacement Water Program information to residents on mailing list of targeted residents	Complete initial mailing by July 1, 2023
	Conduct follow-up outreach to residents that did not respond to initial contact or had mailed information returned as undeliverable	As needed, but complete by August 15, 2023
<b>Phase 2 Replacement Water: Bottled Water or POU System Programs</b>	Extend third-party agreements with vendors as needed to supply bottled water or install a POU treatment system in Phase 2 area	Prior to initial mailout of outreach packet to targeted residences (see above)
	Acknowledge receipt of service request from Phase 1 or Phase 2 residents and initiate eligibility evaluation	Within 3 business days of receipt of request to receive services
	If well test required to verify eligibility of residents requesting services, schedule and conduct well test	Schedule well testing as quickly as possible in coordination with resident (and property owner if the resident is not the owner)
	Advise residents (or property owner as needed) of initial	Result is > 10 mg/L-N

Table 6-2. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Phase 2 EAP Implementation Schedule (see also Figure 6-3)

Task	Subtasks		Schedule (assumes Priority 2 startup by January 31, 2022 and required implementation of EAP by April 1, 2023 – see Figures 6-1 and 6-3 and text)
	nitrate well test results	Result is $\leq 10$ mg/L-N	Within 3 business days of receipt of test results, send written notice to the resident or property owner of ineligibility to participate in bottled water or POU System programs (see Section 5.3.1 regarding information to be communicated)
	Follow-up well testing if initial well test is $\geq 8.0$ mg/L but $\leq 10$ mg/L-N	Initial well test is $\geq 8.0$ mg/L but $\leq 10$ mg/L-N	Within one year offer resident or property owner the opportunity to retest the well at no cost. If the resident or property owner: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does not want their well re-tested, no additional follow-up is required</li> <li>• Agrees to have the well re-tested and the result remains between 8.0 and 10 mg/L, then the Management Zone will continue to reach out to the resident or property owner on an annual basis to provide the opportunity to have the well tested at no cost until the nitrate concentration is <math>&lt; 8.0</math> mg/L</li> </ul>
	Follow-up with residents participating in bottled water/POU program to verify: (a) services are being received as contracted; and (b) bottled water recipients have sufficient water being delivered		Conduct first check-in with each resident within 30 days after confirming eligibility to receive bottled water/POU System services; conduct second check-in within 90 days after first check-in
<b>Phase 2 Replacement Water: Fill Stations</b>	In coordination with the community, identify locations for up to one new water fill station in the Phase 2 area		If water fill stations supported by the community, identify locations by end of 2023
	Establish final agreements with land/property owner/fill station owner to operate/maintain filling station (as needed)		As needed



Table 6-2. Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Phase 2 EAP Implementation Schedule (see also Figure 6-3)

Task	Subtasks		Schedule (assumes Priority 2 startup by January 31, 2022 and required implementation of EAP by April 1, 2023 – see Figures 6-1 and 6-3 and text)
	New Water Fill Station No. 1 (if implemented)	Complete design/specifications and obtain necessary permits or approvals to install facility	Within 120 days of confirmation of site location and establishment of agreement with land/property owner
		Complete installation	Within 60 days of obtaining necessary permits/approvals
	Notify Central Valley Board and community		Within 14 days of a water fill station becoming operational
	Operate and Maintain Water Fill Stations		Ongoing at existing fill stations in the Management Zone and initiated at new fill station when it comes on line
<b>Monitoring and Reporting</b>	Collect monitoring data/maintain records as described in Section 6.4.1		Ongoing at existing fill stations and initiated at new fill station when it comes on line
	Submit Phase 1 and Phase 2 progress reports to the Central Valley Water Board. (Note: Management Zone annual reports will still be due by June 7 of each year regardless of startup date of Phase 2)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Within 30 days after the first six months of Phase 2 implementation or by about November 7, 2023, submit interim progress report to summarize status of implementation only in the Phase 2 area</li> <li>• By June 7 of each year submit annual progress report (beginning in 2024, report will include both Phases 1 and 2)</li> </ul>

## 7. REFERENCES

Central Valley Water Board. 2018. *Amendments to the Water Quality Control Plans for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins and Tulare Lake Basin to Incorporate a Central Valley-wide Salt and Nitrate Control Program*. Draft Staff Report. May 2018.

Central Valley Water Board. 2020. *Drinking Water Well Monitoring, Frequently Asked Questions*. Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program. March 2020.

Corona Environmental Consulting, LLC. 2021. *Developing Equitable and Effective Early Action Plans: The Cost of Interim Drinking Water Solutions and Public Outreach for Nitrate Contaminated Drinking Water, Analysis for Kings Basin, Kaweah Basin, Tule Basin, Turlock Basin, Modesto Basin, Chowchilla Basin and Tulare Lake Basin – San Joaquin Valley, CA*. Report prepared on behalf of Community Water Center. January 1, 2021.

Department of Water Resources Groundwater Elevation Contour GIS coverage, SGMA Data Viewer, <https://sgma.water.ca.gov/webgis/?appid=SGMADataViewer#gwlevels>, accessed October 2020.

Department of Water Resources Well Completion Reports (<https://data.ca.gov/dataset/well-completion-reports>), accessed October 2020.

Division of Drinking Water (Public Supply Well nitrate data) ([https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/drinking\\_water/certlic/drinkingwater/EDTlibrary.html](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/drinking_water/certlic/drinkingwater/EDTlibrary.html)) accessed December 2020.

Kings River East GSA, 2019, Groundwater Sustainability Plan, Adopted December 13, 2019 (Figure 3-27).

Public Water System Area Boundaries (<https://gis.data.ca.gov/datasets/waterboards::california-drinking-water-system-area-boundaries-2>), accessed November, 2020

Safe Drinking Water Information System (SDWIS) Drinking Water Watch online database (<https://sdwis.waterboards.ca.gov/PDWW/>) (<https://data.ca.gov/dataset/drinking-water-public-water-system-information>) accessed January 2021.

State Water Board. 2019. *Central Valley Agricultural Water Coalitions Agree To Provide Safe Drinking Water To Those Dependent on Contaminated Wells*. Enforcement News. January 29, 2019.

State Water Board. 2020. *Guidance for Engaging Communities During Development of Early Action Plans, Central Valley Nitrate Control Program*. Prepared by the State Water Board's Office of Public Participation. June 2020.

## APPENDIX A STATE WATER BOARD COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT CHECKLIST

State Water Board (2020) provides a table that summarizes the guidance found in its community engagement document. **Table A-1** below demonstrates how the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone’s community outreach program aligns with the guidance.

Table A-1. Alignment Between Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Community Outreach Actions and State Water Board Guidance (Table adapted from State Water Board (2020), page 17)		
Community Engagement Element	Potential Actions to Implement Element	Implementation During EAP Development
Communicate Effectively	Communicate with affected communities remotely during the COVID-19 crisis	<p>Four virtual events were hosted by the Kings Water Alliance during the EAP development period. Participants had the opportunity to use their digital devices or telephone to call in to the events.</p> <p>A dedicated phone line managed by Kings Water Alliance was established in October 2020 and promoted.</p> <p>A direct mail piece was sent to all potentially impacted residents in the Kings Water Alliance to raise awareness and promote the first webinar.</p> <p>Digital communications were employed including the development of a website, YouTube account, email updates to the Kings Water Alliance distribution lists.</p> <p>Because in-person interaction was not possible, flyers were left at key locations across the Kings Water Alliance to promote webinars and raise awareness. See Table 8 in Appendix B for a full list of locations.</p>

Table A-1. Alignment Between Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Community Outreach Actions and State Water Board Guidance (Table adapted from State Water Board (2020), page 17)		
Community Engagement Element	Potential Actions to Implement Element	Implementation During EAP Development
		A comprehensive report of communications is included in Appendix B, Communications & Outreach Plan.
	Translate materials into Spanish and other language(s) identified	All flyers and direct mail were distributed in English and Spanish. Webinars included live Spanish translation; recordings were posted on YouTube and the Kings Water Alliance website with Spanish subtitles. All live polling conducted during the webinars included both English and Spanish translated text. Webinar registration and sign-up information was provided in both English and Spanish. The new website <a href="http://www.kingswateralliance.org">www.kingswateralliance.org</a> includes a translation feature at the bottom left of the page that can translate content into Spanish, Hmong, and Punjabi. A drinking water survey was conducted via SurveyMonkey and made available in English and Spanish.
	Provide a point of contact who speaks residents' primary language	A designated Kings Water Alliance staff member speaks Spanish, and attended all outreach events and is available to answer the dedicated Kings Water Alliance phone line should Spanish interpretation be needed.
	Ensure planned one-on-one communications have personnel fluent in the primary language	A designated Kings Water Alliance staff member speaks Spanish, and attended all outreach events and is available to answer the dedicated Kings Water Alliance phone line should Spanish interpretation be needed.
	Offer live interpretation at community meetings	A designated Kings Water Alliance staff member speaks Spanish, and attended all outreach events and is available to answer the

Table A-1. Alignment Between Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Community Outreach Actions and State Water Board Guidance (Table adapted from State Water Board (2020), page 17)		
Community Engagement Element	Potential Actions to Implement Element	Implementation During EAP Development
		dedicated Kings Water Alliance phone line should Spanish interpretation be needed.
	Provide written materials in plain language	All materials intentionally included simple language to ensure understanding across all audiences. Technical language was avoided whenever possible.  Flyers, the direct mail piece, and the drinking water survey were reviewed by NGOs familiar with Spanish interpretation and the needs of community residents. Adjustments were made as needed based on the recommendations of the NGOs.
Communicate Effectively (ctd)	Speak in plain language	Outreach events provided an opportunity to learn the key components of the Early Action Plan and why it is relevant to residents. The information verbally communicated was simplified while still providing enough to explain the purpose of the EAP.  Ample time for Question and Answer was left at webinars to ensure understanding from the audience. Polling was also conducted as a means to gauge the audience and distill the key points of the material presented. Virtual office hours were offered as another opportunity to provide answers or clarification if the material was not understood.
	Consult community groups if your materials are understandable to the community	Flyers, the direct mail piece, and the drinking water survey were reviewed by NGOs familiar with Spanish interpretation and the needs of community residents. Adjustments were made as needed based on the recommendations of the NGOs.

Table A-1. Alignment Between Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Community Outreach Actions and State Water Board Guidance (Table adapted from State Water Board (2020), page 17)		
Community Engagement Element	Potential Actions to Implement Element	Implementation During EAP Development
	Ask participants if materials are understandable	Ample time for Question and Answer was left at webinars to ensure understanding from the audience. Polling was also conducted to gauge the audience and distill the key points of the material presented. Virtual office hours were offered as another opportunity to provide answers or clarification if the material was not understood.
	Present information without bias	Information was comprehensively provided at the webinars hosted by the Kings Water Alliance. All considered drinking water solutions and their associated requirements were presented to the attendees. All potential solutions were also included in the drinking water survey conducted with impacted residents.
	Know and communicate your constraints	Information was comprehensively provided at the webinars hosted by the Kings Water Alliance. All considered solutions and their associated requirements were presented to the attendees.
	Be transparent with decision-making processes	Residents and stakeholders have been routinely engaged on development of the Early Action Plan. Engagement has occurred via website updates, outreach events, and periodic email updates and reminders on deadlines and processes to EAP development and submittal.
Protect Personal Information	Mitigate concerns about information collection	Personal information was never required to be collected. Poll responses were not published tied to the respondent. Attendees were notified that their responses would be kept private. Webinar registration was encouraged but not required to attend, and the registration form for those who chose to register was kept short and simple. The drinking water survey collected some information to

Table A-1. Alignment Between Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Community Outreach Actions and State Water Board Guidance (Table adapted from State Water Board (2020), page 17)		
Community Engagement Element	Potential Actions to Implement Element	Implementation During EAP Development
		assess where attendees were from, including zip code, but it was clearly indicated as an optional question.
	Minimize collection of personal information	Personal information was never required to be collected. Poll responses were not published tied to the respondent. Attendees were notified that their responses would be kept private. Webinar registration was encouraged but not required to attend, and the registration form for those who chose to register was kept short and simple. The drinking water survey collected some information to assess where attendees were from, including zip code, but it was clearly indicated as an optional question.
Acknowledge Diverse Interests	Be inclusive of all groups within a community	<p>Outreach was conducted to all potentially impacted residents via a direct mail piece.</p> <p>A direct invitation to community leaders across the Kings Water Alliance was sent via email. A direct invitation to engage was sent to a Tachi Yokut Tribe representative.</p> <p>A stakeholder committee representing diverse interests will be established to help guide EAP implementation. For a full list of stakeholder groups, reference Table 6 in Appendix B.</p>
	Provide “balanced access” to groups you are engaging with	A stakeholder committee representing diverse interests will be established to help guide EAP implementation. For a full list of stakeholder groups, reference Table 6 in Appendix B.

Table A-1. Alignment Between Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Community Outreach Actions and State Water Board Guidance (Table adapted from State Water Board (2020), page 17)		
Community Engagement Element	Potential Actions to Implement Element	Implementation During EAP Development
Evaluate and Revise	Evaluate your community engagement strategy	Evaluation methodologies are included in Appendix B. It is the full intent of the Kings Water Alliance to continually evaluate and track outreach efforts and adjust if/when needed.
	Make modifications	The addition of virtual office hours was incorporated into outreach efforts for EAP development to offer additional dedicated opportunities to engage. Modifications to outreach also included intentional branding strategy to deliberately migrate from the Kings River Water Quality Coalition to the Kings Water Alliance; this included the development of a logo, color scheme, website, and email template for interested person communications.
Learning About the Community	Develop a Community Profile	Community Profile documentation is provided in <b>Appendix C</b> .
	Develop a Contact List	The Kings Water Alliance maintains an interested persons contact list. Anyone can sign up to receive email updates. The KWA also maintains a list of community leaders, and NGOs who are familiar with community needs.
Educating the Community	Develop educational materials	The following materials were developed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Flyer – 11/19/20</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Webinar presentation slides – 11/19/20</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Webinar recording – 11/19/20</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Digital Story Map</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Flyer – 1/28/21</a></li> </ul>



Table A-1. Alignment Between Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Community Outreach Actions and State Water Board Guidance (Table adapted from State Water Board (2020), page 17)		
Community Engagement Element	Potential Actions to Implement Element	Implementation During EAP Development
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Webinar presentation slides – 1/28/2021</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Webinar recording – 1/28/21</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Virtual Nitrate Control Program timeline webpage</a></li> </ul>
	Establish contact(s)	The Kings Water Alliance maintains an interested persons contact list. Anyone can sign up to receive email updates. The KWA also maintains a list of community leaders, and NGOs who are familiar with community needs.
	Establish locations where information is publicly accessible	The Kings Water Alliance did extensive outreach at key locations in communities across the service area. For a list of locations, refer to Table 8 in Appendix B.
	Distribute materials using multiple communication platforms	Appendix B identifies an integrated communications strategy as key to effective outreach. Outreach was conducted via diverse channels including digital, print, and radio. For a comprehensive identification of distribution methods, see Appendix B.
Educating the Community	Hold community meetings to educate the community	Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, in-person community meetings were not feasible. The Kings Water Alliance hosted four outreach events, including two webinars with a videoconference or telephone line option and two virtual office hours events.

Table A-1. Alignment Between Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Community Outreach Actions and State Water Board Guidance (Table adapted from State Water Board (2020), page 17)		
Community Engagement Element	Potential Actions to Implement Element	Implementation During EAP Development
Collaborating with the Community	Create a process for collaborative decision-making	The Kings Water Alliance made a concerted effort to solicit feedback and hear from impacted residents. Efforts include conducting polls during the two webinars the KWA hosted, as well as conducting a drinking water survey. The results were shared with the technical consultants and staff to better understand the needs of impacted residents.
Maintaining Involvement	Provide updates	The Kings Water Alliance maintains an email interested persons list for timely updates on EAP development. Those on the list were clearly notified of EAP development milestones including public meetings to educate and receive input, EAP draft availability for public review and comment, and reminders to submit comments and ask questions. These milestones were also posted on the KWA website and clearly identified at public outreach meetings.
	Maintain contact and process for responding to community inquiries	<p>The Kings Water Alliance has a dedicated phone line established to answer any questions or field comments from the public. An email has also been set up for similar purpose at <a href="mailto:info@kingswateralliance.org">info@kingswateralliance.org</a>. The email forwards to the Kings Water Alliance staff who coordinate to ensure the best response possible.</p> <p>A highly visible “Contact Us” button is included on the website header menu and visible from all webpages. The button leads to a contact form and uses encouraging language: “This site is designed to provide permitted dischargers, residents, and other interested stakeholders with a space to engage, giving you the opportunity to submit comments or questions, 24-hours a day, 7-days a week”. The</p>

Table A-1. Alignment Between Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Community Outreach Actions and State Water Board Guidance (Table adapted from State Water Board (2020), page 17)		
Community Engagement Element	Potential Actions to Implement Element	Implementation During EAP Development
		page also lists the phone number and email address. Form submissions forward to Kings Water Alliance staff members.

## **APPENDIX B      EARLY ACTION PLAN COMMUNICATION & OUTREACH PLAN**

### **Overview**

The newly adopted Nitrate Control Program requires Early Action Plans (EAPs) to identify short-term and long-term replacement drinking water. To identify the best solutions, the Kings Water Alliance (KWA) has developed a strategy outlining goals and tactics used to outreach and engage with impacted residents within the KWA service area during EAP development and implementation. The development and implementation of the EAP requires ongoing engagement with impacted residents to allow public input and response during various stages. The core objective and goals of the strategy will guide ongoing efforts to engage the public. The strategy is intended to guide the Kings Water Alliance’s stakeholder outreach efforts for the most effective engagement throughout the EAP process.

The processes and tactics in the strategy are intended to be iterative, and it is expected certain processes or tactics may adapt to better reflect the needs of impacted residents. The strategy is intended to be flexible and adaptive to reflect resident needs and best practices for public involvement.

Engagement with residents will occur in the following phases:

1. Development of the Early Action Plan
2. Implementation of Early Action Plan

An internal strategy for phase 1 Development of the Early Action Plan was developed and is included for reference in Appendix B-1.

### **Strategic Overview**

The guiding components to the outreach and engagement strategy includes:

1. Objective
2. Goals
3. Tactics
-

## Objective

The public outreach and engagement strategy’s overarching objective is to create a level of engagement and awareness with community residents that establishes trust and provides robust participation in the development and implementation of the EAP.

Critical to achieving the objective are a set of goals that employ integrated communications tactics, using various channels and communications mediums to reach impacted residents effectively while giving all an opportunity for engagement.

## Goals

*The goals set to achieve the objective are as follows:*

1. Identify and cultivate relationships with key influential individuals and organizations in the communities to amplify information from the Kings Water Alliance
2. Provide channels for input and participation that connect with residents in a way that is effective and accessible
3. Provide accurate, easy-to-understand, timely information on the Early Action Plan development and implementation

## Tactics

*The integrated communications tactics for EAP development are as follows:*

**Table 1: EAP Development Outreach Tactics**

EAP DEVELOPMENT OUTREACH & ENGAGEMENT TACTICS		
TACTIC	AUDIENCE	TIMEFRAME
Identify and cultivate community influencers to disseminate information	Community residents	September 2020
Conduct Community Profiles	All	September 2020
Consult local NGOs on materials and outreach methods	Community residents	Ongoing
Develop Early Action Plan webpage to educate and inform with translation feature	All	October 2020
Develop bi-lingual 1-page information sheet on Early Action Plan	All	March 2021
Develop bi-lingual flyer to promote events	Community residents	October 2020, January 2021
Send direct mail piece to all potentially impacted residents	Community residents	November 2020

Promote sign-ups to Interested Persons Email List as a means for staying informed	Community residents	November 2020, January 2021
Host webinars and virtual office hours for impacted residents and interested stakeholders with live Spanish interpretation	All	November 2020; January 2021; February 2021
Develop and conduct online survey in English/Spanish to gather feedback on drinking water solutions	All	January – February 2021
Set up dedicated phone line for interested persons and residents to access for information and questions	All	September 2020
Identify and directly engage community organization leaders to solicit feedback	Community organizations and NGOs	Ongoing
Send outreach letter inviting the Tachi Yokut Tribe to engage	Tribes	November 2020
Informational flyer and webinar promotion posted at fill stations and key locations within affected communities	Community residents	November 2020; January 2021
Employ text messaging communications feature via NGO to conduct a survey to gauge opinions on drinking water solutions	Community residents	January 2021
Employ text messaging communications feature via NGO to promote upcoming outreach	Community residents	January 2021
Develop contact database for email communications, notices, and information on EAP development and implementation	All	Ongoing
Develop Kings Water Alliance website with educational resources and engagement opportunities	All	February 2021
Radio spots in residents' primary language	Community residents	January 2021
Disseminate EAP information and notices via NGOs to network of stakeholders and community residents	Community residents	January 2021
Disseminate EAP information and notices via dischargers to network of staff and colleagues	Employees of farming and industrial operations; community residents	January 2021

The tactics listed above are intended to be iterative, and it is expected certain tactics may adapt to better reflect the needs of impacted residents and best practices for public involvement. These tactics will serve as a guide for outreach tactics moving into EAP implementation phase, during which similar methods of integrated communications via diverse channels will be used to engage impacted residents. It should be noted the strategy and tactics for EAP development outreach and engagement operates within the limitations of the COVID-19 pandemic and includes a concentrated volume of digital communications. It expected that as restrictions relating to the COVID-19 pandemic lift, in-person avenues may be employed to reach audiences, for example with door-to-door outreach or meetings within a community.

### **Stakeholder (Audience) Identification**

In compliance with the Nitrate Control Program’s requirements as well as outreach and engagement best practices, impacted residents (residents potentially impacted by nitrate-contaminated drinking water), community organizations and NGOs, Native American Tribes, in addition to other interested stakeholders and members of the public, will be fully engaged in the development and implementation of the EAP.

The primary existing and potential engaged publics engaged to achieve the stated goals of this strategy include:

1. Impacted Residents
2. Community Leaders
3. Community Organizations / NGOs
4. Native American Tribes
5. Interested Stakeholders

### ***Impacted Residents***

In compliance with the Nitrate Control Program’s requirements impacted residents, residents impacted by nitrate-contaminated drinking water, are fully engaged in the process and development of the Early Action Plan.

An initial assessment of potential nitrate impacted areas were identified utilizing readily available existing data from the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-term Sustainability (CV-SALTS) and the State Water Resources Control Board Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment Program (GAMA) for the analysis. After impacted areas were identified, the most recent parcel data from Fresno, Kings, and Tulare counties were utilized to identify impacted residents. Further analysis of land improvements was performed utilizing satellite imagery. Parcels with physical site addresses were mailed outreach materials. If a parcel did not


have a physical site address, the property owner was mailed outreach materials. The identified residents are generally located within the following Disadvantaged Communities (DACs) and rural communities within the KWA:

**Table 2: DACs and Rural Communities with Impacted Residents**

Communities with Impacted Residents	
Rolinda	Monmouth
Double L Mobile Ranch Park	Community 235
Double L Neighborhood	Community 236
Community 168	Hardwick
West Park	Grangeville
Beran Way	Armona
Britten	Stratford
Easton	Sultana
William Hopkins Water System	Lopez Labor Camp
Easton Estates Water Company	Monson
Del Rey	Orisi
Perry Colony	Cutler
Raisin City	Yettem
Kamm Ranch Company	Seville
Community 2489	



Figure 1: Nitrate-affected Communities within the Kings Water Alliance



Impacted residents within the DACs and rural communities identified are the primary target audience of outreach and engagement efforts during EAP development and implementation. Impacted residents have been engaged and will continue to be engaged via diverse channels to ensure a transparent process.

### Community Leaders

Community leaders serve as two-way information gatekeepers to potentially impacted residents, and therefore have been invited to engage on EAP development. These leaders will continue to be engaged throughout the process of EAP development and through implementation. Direct outreach to leaders from the following communities has been conducted:

**Table 3: List of Communities with Targeted Community Leader Outreach and Engagement**

Community Leader Outreach
Armona
Cutler
Easton
Stratford
Orosi Public Utilities District
Sultana Community Services District
Raisin City
Monson
Zonneveld Dairies
Rolinda
East Oroshi

The list of leaders from the communities listed is not exhaustive or conclusive and will continue to develop as further outreach is conducted.

### ***Community Organizations / NGOs***

Community Organizations and NGOs serve as two-way information gatekeepers to potentially impacted residents. These organizations and NGOs often bring a knowledge expertise about residents in DACs and rural communities and are an invaluable resource in effectively reaching and communicating to impacted residents and other interested stakeholders.

Individuals from the following Community Organizations and NGOs have been actively outreached to and engaged in the development of the EAP and in the development of communications tactics and outreach materials:

**Table 4: Community Organizations and NGOs actively participating in EAP development**

Community Organizations and NGOs	
Fresnoland (Fresno Bee)	Self-Help Enterprises
Fresno County Farm Bureau	Community Water Center
Kings County Farm Bureau	Leadership for Justice and Accountability

Community Organizations and NGOs listed in Table 4 were effective in disseminating a drinking water survey to their network of community organizations, further extending the reach of the

Kings Water Alliance. The network of organizations included in the dissemination of a survey is listed in Table 5 below.

**Table 4: Community Organizations and NGOs Reached via Actively Participating NGOs**

<b>Community Organizations and NGOs Reached via Actively Participating</b>
Central California Environmental Justice Network
Centro Binacional
Sierra Club Tehipite Chapter
Self-Help Enterprises
California Rural Legal Assistance
California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation
Friend of Calwa
Dolores Huerta Foundation
Fresnoland
Lideres Campesinas
Central Valley Partnership
United Farm Workers Foundation
Mi Familia Vota
Centro La Familia
Pesticide Reform
Radio Bilingue

This audience segment will continually be engaged to amplify information and engagement opportunities, and to better understand the needs of impacted residents. Efforts will be made to continue to engage those listed (Tables 4 and 5) in addition to others willing to participate. NGOs will continue to be solicited for feedback on outreach methods during EAP development and implementation, and to date have offered important feedback, including a recommendation to simplify language on outreach flyers and review of a drinking water survey to ensure simple language and user-friendly questions.

### ***Native American Tribes***

A single tribe was identified within the KWA service area. The Tachi Yokut Tribe has been engaged via letter inviting members of the Tribe to participate in EAP development and implementation (Appendix B-2). Efforts will continue to engage and inform the Tribe in EAP development and implementation.

### ***Interested Stakeholders***

Other interested stakeholders may include local and regional governmental entities and agencies, community organizations, and other interested members of the public who wish to

participate in the development and implementation of the EAP. This may include but is not limited to agricultural producers, municipalities, counties, public water systems, local land-use planning agencies, environmental interests, federal agencies, irrigation districts, and groundwater sustainability agencies (GSAs).

These interested stakeholders have been and will continue to be invited to participate in the activities of EAP development and implementation.

## Key Messages

The KWA has incorporated and will continue to incorporate key messages in all its communications and engagement activities to help foster clear and accurate communication. This will ensure a level of consistency across all outreach and engagement efforts, instill trust, and provide the opportunity for all KWA staff to engage and communicate a common message. Messages will continue to be developed as EAP development and implementation progresses.

*The key messages for EAP development are:*

- The new Nitrate Control Program is part of a long-term strategy for addressing nitrate pollution in the Central Valley’s groundwater.
- The Nitrate Control Program has three goals:
  - Provide safe drinking water supplies
  - Reduce nitrate impacts to water supplies
  - Restore groundwater quality, where reasonable and feasible
- Many small communities in the Central Valley rely on groundwater for drinking water. Some communities cannot safely use groundwater for drinking water as nitrate levels present a potential for human health impacts.
- Safe drinking water solutions are being developed in local communities. We need your input to develop and implement solutions.
- Drinking water solutions should be flexible and locally driven.
- The Kings Water Alliance encourages participation and input from stakeholders.
- The Kings Water Alliance seeks to incorporate public input received in decisions.
- The Kings Water Alliance is committed to considering all stakeholder comments.

Future messaging will be developed for EAP implementation.

## Transparency and Accountability

Transparency and accountability are integral to the effectiveness of EAP outreach and engagement. Being open and involving stakeholders at key points during the development and implementation of the EAP creates a democratic process that will produce a positive and well-received solution.

### *Best Practices for Transparency and Accountability*

The KWA has adhered and will continue to adhere to the following practices to help ensure accountability and transparency:

- Advanced notification of public meeting times, locations, and agendas
- Website posting of materials and resources
- Solicitation of input from identified stakeholders and a good faith effort to incorporate stakeholder interests in decisions

### Key Decisions and Engagement Events Timeline

Figure 2: Early Action Plan Development Timeline





Figure 3: Kings Water Alliance Outreach Events Timeline



The timeline shown in Figure 3 is not conclusive. Additional public opportunities for engagement may be considered and deployed to best meet the needs of impacted residents and other interested stakeholders, including joining and presenting at the AGUA Coalition, a regional grassroots coalition convened by Community Water Center largely made up of impacted community members and leaders residing in the Central Valley.

An internal detailed timeline for all integrated communications tactics and associated tasks was created for EAP development. The tasks on the timeline are intended to be flexible, adapting to meet the needs of impacted residents and other stakeholders engaging in the EAP development process. Timing and specific tactics and tasks are subject to change to better meet the goals and objective of this strategy. If a change occurs, the intended result of the tactics and tasks will still be achieved, but through more efficient and/or effective methods.

### Stakeholder Committee Formation

With the support and guidance of KWA staff and Board of Directors, the Stakeholder Committee is an important venue to provide a means in which interested parties may participate in the process of EAP implementation. The Stakeholder Committee contributes to the process of ensuring impacted groundwater users are informed of and given the opportunity to participate in the development of proposed solutions. The Committee is a formal venue for coordinating with others that are not dischargers to address drinking water issues, including affected communities, domestic well users and their representatives, the State Water Board's Division of Drinking Water, Local Planning Departments, Local County Health Officials,

Groundwater Management Agencies, and others as appropriate. Table 6 below identifies potential participants in the Stakeholder Committee.

The Stakeholder Committee will meet regularly to work with the KWA staff and Board to identify short and long-term solutions for providing safe drinking water to residents impacted by nitrates in the KWA service area, to engage impacted residents and other interested parties, and to provide input to the Board. Preliminary Stakeholder Committee formation documents are included for reference in Appendix B-3.

**Table 6: Stakeholder Committee Representatives**

Stakeholder	Identified Participant
Impacted Residents	Rolinda resident Armona resident Stratford resident Easton resident Sultana resident Monson resident Raisin City resident Orosi resident Cutler resident
Irrigated Agriculture	Kings River Water Quality Coalition Fresno County Farm Bureau Kings County Farm Bureau Tulare County Farm Bureau
Groundwater Sustainability Agencies	North Kings GSA McMullin Area GSA Kings River East GSA North Fork Kings GSA Central Kings GSA South Kings GSA Mid-Kings GSA South Fork Kings GSA Tri-County GSA Southwest Kings GSA El Rico GSA
Community Based Organization	Self-Help Enterprises Leadership Counsel for Justice & Accountability Community Water Center
Municipal	County of Tulare County of Kings County of Fresno City of Dinuba City of Kerman

Stakeholder	Identified Participant
Dairy	Dairy CARES California Milk Producers Council
Industry/Other	Kings Water Alliance Board Liaison Almond Board American Pistachio Growers The Wine Group Wonderful Zonneveld Dairies

## Communication Methods

The outreach and engagement specific to EAP development and implementation relies on integrated communications methods, in which multiple communications channels and mediums are used to inform, educate, and engage stakeholders. It should be noted the strategy and tactics for EAP development outreach and engagement operates within the limitations of the COVID-19 pandemic and includes a concentrated volume of digital communications. To offset any disadvantage digital formats may create, print communications methods have been also employed to reach all potentially impacted residents. This includes direct mail to impacted residents homes and community flyering at key locations in communities. It is expected once restrictions on in-person gatherings are lifted, in-person formats, like workshops held in communities, will be an option for EAP implementation outreach and engagement.

To meet the objectives of the strategy, the KWA will engage with stakeholders in both existing and new channels and venues.

### *Tactics for Engagement*

The preparation of EAPs requires community outreach and engagement to help develop interim drinking water solutions. The KWA provided and will continue to provide opportunities for potentially impacted residents and other interested stakeholders to participate in the development and implementation process of the EAP. Some of the broad tactics for engagement include briefings, one-on-one meetings, community meetings, industry/association briefings, newsletters, email updates, community webinars/workshops, and community call-ins.

Translation of materials and live interpretation will be offered whenever feasible to best engage with impacted residents and other interested stakeholders.



### **Stakeholder Committee**

The Stakeholder Committee is an important venue for public participation. Meetings with representatives of stakeholder groups (Table 6) will be held regularly and provide the opportunity for members of the public and representatives from NGOs and other local agencies to participate by providing input and/or voicing concerns. Meeting information will be distributed via email and on an easily accessible webpage at [www.kingswateralliance.org](http://www.kingswateralliance.org).

While COVID-19 restrictions are in place, meetings will be held via Zoom. Alternative meeting locations easily accessible to stakeholders and members of the public will be considered once restrictions are lifted.

### **Community Public Outreach Meetings**

Public outreach meetings and events provide an important venue to educate, inform, and solicit feedback from impacted residents and other interested stakeholders. Four public outreach events are anticipated to be held before the final EAP is adopted and submitted to the State Board. Spanish translation was available at all public outreach meetings and events listed in Table 7.

**Table 7: Community Public Meetings and Workshops**

<b>Community Public Meetings/Workshops</b>				
<b>Date</b>	<b>Meeting Type</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Attendance</b>	<b>Topics</b>
11/19/2020	EAP Community Drinking Water Webinar	Online (Zoom)	32 public; 9 staff	Nitrogen Control Program; EAP 101; drinking water solutions
1/28/2021	EAP Community Drinking Water Webinar #2	Online (Zoom)	28 public; 9 staff	Nitrate Control Program; Impacted Resident Identification; Drinking Water Solutions; Staying Involved
2/10/2021	Community Outreach Virtual "Office Hours"	Online, telephone (Zoom)	4 public; 4 staff	Ealy Action Plan draft

2/8/21	AGUA Coalition Call	Online (Zoom)	35 residents, management zone staff	Management Zones in the Central Valley; feedback on drinking water & outreach
2/16/21	Community Outreach Virtual "Office Hours"	Online, telephone (Zoom)	1 public; 3 staff	Early Action Plan draft

Any feedback and input solicited from the public during public outreach meetings will be considered by the KWA staff, technical consultants, and Board.

Public outreach meeting materials will be available to the public, posted on the relevant webpage and emailed to the interested persons list.

#### *Webinars and Virtual Office Hours*

An important venue for outreach during the COVID-19 pandemic, the KWA will host two community outreach webinars to educate, inform, and solicit feedback from impacted residents and other interested stakeholders. Spanish translation of materials and live interpretation will be provided whenever feasible during EAP development and implementation to better engage with impacted residents and other interested stakeholders.

Extensive outreach was conducted to promote the November 19<sup>th</sup> webinar, including a direct mail piece in English/Spanish to over 6,000 potentially impacted residents, email notices to interested persons, and English/Spanish flyer distribution at 16 key locations in communities covering the northern, eastern, and southern KWA areas (Table 8). The webinar registration was accessible in English and Spanish, and details listed on the drinking water webpage on the Kings River Quality Coalition website can be translated on command. A list of webinar attendees is included in Appendix B-4. The November 19<sup>th</sup> webinar included live Spanish interpretation.

Extensive outreach was conducted to promote the January 28<sup>th</sup> webinar, including meeting notices in English/Spanish posted at 52 key locations in 27 communities throughout the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone (Table 8), event notice on the Kings Water Alliance website ([www.kingswateralliance.org](http://www.kingswateralliance.org)), targeted outreach via local Environmental Justice NGO email distribution lists to 17 local community organizations, targeted outreach to the Environmental Justice Community, Fresno Bee, and Fresno County Farm Bureau, email outreach to the Kings Water Alliance email lists, outreach to KBIF 900AM Punjabi Radio, Radio Bilingue, and Hmong Radio. The January 28<sup>th</sup> webinar included live Spanish interpretation.

Webinars will continue to be a key venue for effective outreach and engagement.

### *Live Polling*

Live polling during outreach workshops and webinars is an important tactic to better understand the audience and solicit feedback on key issues and decisions. Live polling will be employed as often as is feasible during outreach events.

A live poll was conducted at critical points throughout the November 19th to better understand the audience and solicit feedback on potential drinking water solutions, and possible limitations on proposed solutions. The was offered in both English and Spanish. The results of the live poll are included in Appendix B-5 (name of respondents has been omitted to the respect the privacy of participants). Questions asks during the live polling session at the November 19<sup>th</sup> webinar include:

1. What stakeholder category best describes you? (¿Qué categoría de partes interesadas lo describe mejor?)
  - a. Domestic well owner (Dueño de pozo doméstico)
  - b. Community resident (Residente de la comunidad)
  - c. Management Zone stakeholder (Parte interesada de la Zona de Gestión)
  - d. Agency representative (Representante de agencia)
2. How did you hear about this webinar? (¿Cómo se enteró de este seminario web?)
  - a. Email notice (Aviso por correo electrónico)
  - b. Flyer mailed to my house (Un folleto enviado por correo a mi casa)
  - c. Friend/Colleague (Amigo / Colega)
  - d. Other (Otro)
3. Do you obtain your drinking water from a public water system or private well? (¿De donde obtiene el agua potable, de un sistema público de agua o de un pozo privado?)
  - a. Private well (Pozo privado)
  - b. Public water system (Sistema de agua público)
4. Including yourself, how many in your household need access to safe drinking water? (Incluyéndose a usted mismo, ¿cuántos miembros de su hogar necesitan acceso a agua potable?)
  - a. 1
  - b. 2-4
  - c. 5-7
  - d. 8-9
  - e. More than 9

5. If offered the opportunity to have your well tested for nitrates at no cost to you, would you participate in that program? (Si se le ofreciera la oportunidad de realizar una prueba de nitratos sin costo para usted, ¿participaría en ese programa?)
  - a. Yes (Si)
  - b. No (No)
  - c. Not sure (No estoy seguro)
6. If you are unable to go to a fill station, which other options do you prefer? (Si no puede ir a una estación de servicio, ¿qué otra opción prefiere?)
  - a. Point of use treatment system (Sistema de tratamiento en el punto de uso)
  - b. Bottled water delivery (Entrega de agua embotellada)
  - c. Other (Otro)
7. Assume a fill station is within 10 miles of your home. If you are unable to access the fill station what would be the primary reason?/Suponga que hay una estación de servicio a 10 millas de su hogar. Si no puede acceder a la estación de llenado, ¿por que?
  - a. I do not have reliable transportation/car (No tengo transporte / carro confinable)
  - b. I do not have time (No tengo tiempo)
  - c. Too far from where I live (Demasiado lejos de donde vivo)
  - d. I have a disability that would make access difficult (Tengo una discapacidad que dificulta el acceso)
  - e. Other (Otro)

Live polling was also conducted at the January 28<sup>th</sup> webinar. Poll questions focused on assessing who was in the audience and their preference for meeting times and communication. Attendees were also asked to identify how they heard about the webinar.

1. How did you hear about this webinar? (¿Cómo se enteró de este seminario web?)
  - a. Email notice (Aviso por correo electrónico)
  - b. Flyer in my community (Folleto en mi comunidad)
  - c. Text message notice (Aviso de mensaje de texto)
  - d. Work colleague / Employer (Compañero de trabajo / empleador)
  - e. Friend / Family member (Amigo / Miembro de la familia)
  - f. Other (Otro)
2. What stakeholder category best describes you? (¿Qué categoría de partes interesadas lo describe mejor?)
  - a. Domestic well owner (Dueño de pozo doméstico)

- b. Community resident (Residente de la comunidad)
  - c. Management Zone stakeholder (Parte interesada de la Zona de Gestión)
  - d. Tribal representative (Representante tribal)
  - e. Agency representative (Representante de agencia)
3. What is your preferred method of communication with the Kings Water Alliance? /  
¿Cuál es su método preferido de comunicación con Kings Water Alliance?
- a. Regular notices and information sent to my email (Avisos e información periódicos enviados a mi correo electrónico)
  - b. Regular meetings in or near my community (Reuniones periódicas en mi comunidad o cerca de ella)
  - c. A dedicated website updated regularly (Un sitio web dedicado que se actualiza periódicamente)
  - d. Regular notices and information sent via text message (Avisos e información regulares enviados por mensaje de texto a mi celular)
  - e. A local phone number I can call for information (Un número de teléfono local al que podría llamar para obtener información.)
  - f. Stories in my local newspaper or radio station (Historias en mi periódico o estación de radio local)
  - g. Information provided through my membership in community organizations (churches, clubs, etc.) (Información proporcionada a través de mi membresía en organizaciones comunitarias (iglesias, clubes, etc.)
4. What time of day do you prefer to attend outreach events, like this webinar? (¿A qué hora del día prefiere asistir a eventos de divulgación, como este seminario web?)
- a. Morning (Mañana)
  - b. Afternoon (Tarde)
  - c. Evening (Noche)
  - d. No preference (Sin preferencias)

#### *Office Hours*

Another opportunity to engage the public in a more informal venue, two virtual office hours will be offered prior to EAP adoption and submittal. Attendees have the option to virtually chat or call in to engage with technical consultants and KWA staff to ask questions, provide input, and/or express concerns relative to EAP development. Spanish interpretation will be offered to participants.

The public was encouraged to join two virtual office hours held in February through promotion at the January 28<sup>th</sup> webinar, event notices on the Kings Water Alliance website, and email

notices to the Kings Water Alliance email lists. Spanish translation services were available at Office Hours.

The timing of the office hours events was intentionally held after the release of the draft EAP and PMZP, allowing the public to ask questions and provide input on its content.

### *Community Meetings*

The Kings Water Alliance will work to integrate communications and outreach with existing venues. Attending routine meetings of community organizations involving impacted residents is a streamlined opportunity to engage and develop awareness while receiving feedback.

One example is the AGUA Coalition call attended by the Kings Water Alliance among other Central Valley management zones (provided in **Appendix B**). Hosted by the Community Water Center, the AGUA Coalition, or “Asociación de Gente Unida por el Agua/Association of People United for Water” is a regional grassroots coalition largely made up of impacted community members and leaders who reside in the Central Valley, and are dedicated to securing safe, clean, and affordable drinking water for San Joaquin Valley communities. The Kings Water Alliance attended and provided a short presentation on its service area and purpose in engaging with residents. Feedback from residents on preferred drinking water solutions, potential barriers to access, and outreach recommendations was recorded and will continue to be considered as EAP implementation occurs.

Community meetings through existing venues will continue to be a part of the Kings Water Alliance outreach and engagement strategy.

### *In-Person Meetings*

Once restrictions relating to the COVID-19 pandemic are lifted, in-person meetings will be held both for formal and informal workshops, briefings, and gatherings targeted to impacted residents and other interested stakeholders to share information, educate, build relationships, provide EAP updates, and solicit input. The KWA will make use of existing venues where community residents and other interested stakeholders typically meet as well as new venues as needed.

### **Key Locations Outreach**

When effective to encourage and promote attendance at outreach events, disseminating flyers at key locations within communities will be conducted to reach impacted residents. Key locations may include but are not limited to, grocery stores and markets, gas stations, churches, community centers, postal stores, and additional relevant locations.

*To date, flyers in English and Spanish have been posted at the following key locations in the communities:*

**Table 8: Flyer Distribution at Key Locations**

<b>November 29, 2020 Webinar Promotion</b>	
<b>Community</b>	<b>Key Location</b>
Easton	K&C Donuts and Bakery Easton Market Easton Presbyterian Church Crossover Community Church
Hanford	KART Transit Center Fill Station Royal Food Armona Seventh-Day Adventist Church ATM (Allstar Mini Mart) USPS
Cutler	Cutler Food Mart La Fiesta Foods Rubalcaba Grocery St. Mary's Catholic Church
Orosi	Orosi Mart and Deli Napa Auto Parts ATM (Super 7)
<b>January 28, 2021 Webinar Promotion</b>	
<b>Community</b>	<b>Key Location</b>
Rolinda	Coronado Mexican Food Rolinda Liquor Store
Double L Mobile Ranch Park	USPS boxes
Double L Neighborhood	SW corner of Church and Floyd Ave
West Park	Saber's Market Valentine Market Hopewell Missionary Baptist
Beran Way	West Park Market
Britten	Cherry Market
Easton	K & C Donuts and Bakery Easton Market Jack's Gas Shop-N-Quick Easton Presbyterian Church Crossover Community Church
Caruthers	Valero
Del Rey	Better Buy Market Del Rey Park Del Rey Supermarket Community Notice Board

	Jessica Restaurant
Perry Colony/Raisin City	Orange Crush Bee's Market Community Notice Board
Hardwick	KART Bus Stop
Grangeville	Grangeville Market
Armona	KART Transit Center Fill Station (Hanford) Royal Food Armona Seventh-Day Adventist Church ATM (Allstar Mini Mart)
Stratford	A&M Market El Mochomo Hardin's Grocery
Sultana	Conerstone Market Amigos Produce Sinclair
Monson	Monson Market
Orosi	Orosi Mart and Deli Napa Auto Parts ATM (Super 7)
Cutler	Cutler Food Mart La Fiesta Foods Rubalcaba Grocery St. Mary's Catholic Church
Yettem	Magnolia Market No. 2 Saint Mary Armenian Apostolic Church Texaco Visalia

Depending on the location, stacks of flyers were left for distribution or a flyer was taped to an easily accessible and visible window at the entrance of the locations. Some locations used a “campus style” flyer, with tear-offs included webinar details and contact information for interested stakeholders to take with them. Flyer examples are included for reference in Appendix B-6.

### ***Influencer Outreach***

Communications and event promotions will be noticed to community leaders, community-based organizations, and NGOs. Whenever possible, it will be requested that communications be disseminated to the networks of the leaders and individuals within the organizations to better amplify messages and notices to the public.



Partnering with these groups is an important piece of effectively reaching impacted residents, as they understand, have established relationships with, and can comfortably communicate with residents in DACs and rural communities.

Other influencers that may be considered to disseminate information and relevant announcements include industry and commodity groups, governmental agencies, municipalities, public utilities, agricultural producers, and nitrate dischargers. Distributing information to the networks of these groups can bring effective awareness and engagement.

*To date, EAP outreach has been distributed to an expanded network of the following entities:*

- Kings River Conservation District
- Fresno County Farm Bureau
- Self-Help Enterprises
- Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability

### **Direct Mail**

When pertinent and timely, the KWA will utilize direct mail to reach all potentially impacted residents within the KWA service area. Communication pieces developed will include messaging that communicates information about the KWA and updates stakeholders on activities. KWA contact information and website information will be included on all direct mail pieces. When feasible, translation of direct mail communications pieces will be available. Communications may include newsletters, postcards, flyers, or additional direct mail formats appropriate for outreach and engagement goals.

*To date, one direct mail piece has been sent (Appendix B-7):*

**Table 9: Direct Mail Pieces Sent**

Direct Mail				
Date	Mailer Topic	Audience	Language	Quantity
11/5/2020	Drinking Water Solutions: Ways to get involved in EAP development (webinar promotion)	Potentially impacted residents	English/Spanish	6,014

### **Outreach Content and Materials**

The KWA will develop and disseminate outreach materials that meet the needs of impacted residents and other interested stakeholders depending on their preferred method of receiving information. The KWA is committed to developing clear, consistent, and timely informational

materials to help develop public understanding of the KWA, communicate information about EAP contents and implementation and how they relate to impacted residents and other stakeholders, inform the public on how to get involved, and motivate stakeholders to contribute to EAP development and implantation. Outreach content and materials will be easy to understand, using plain language to communicate important information, in addition to being visually appealing.

Based on the specific outreach and engagement purpose, written materials may include fact sheets, educational handouts, FAQs, presentations, maps, and graphics. Outreach materials will be available in print and website/digital formats and will be posted to the appropriate webpage, emailed, and distributed at meetings, workshops, and events.

*Materials developed to date include:*

- [Flyer](#) – 11/19/20
- [Webinar presentation slides – 11/19/20](#)
- Webinar recording – 11/19/20
- [Digital Story Map](#)
- Flyer – 1/28/21
- Webinar presentation slides – 1/28/21
- Webinar recording – 1/28/21
- Virtual Nitrate Control Program timeline webpage

## **Digital Communication**

### *Website*

The KWA was previously using the Kings River Water Quality Coalition website ([www.kingsriverwqc.org](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org)) but now has its own website (<http://kingswateralliance.org/>) to host Kings Water Alliance information and outreach materials. A dedicated EAP drinking water solutions webpage was developed that includes information and education on the Nitrate Control Program, the Kings Water Alliance, CV-SALTS program and links, and clear steps to engage in the drinking water solutions process. The webpage also includes information on past and upcoming community engagement opportunities. A Google translation tool is available on the webpage with translation capabilities into three additional languages: Spanish, Hmong, and Punjabi. The webpage is available at this link: <http://kingswateralliance.org/>. The webpage will continue to be updated regularly with pertinent information, resources, and relevant documents.

A KWA specific website will be launched in the first quarter of 2021. The KWA will ensure its new website remains up-to-date and contains timely information about:

- Status of the EAP
- Notices of meetings and outreach events
- Public opportunities to learn more and provide input
- Links to resources and documents

### *Email Distribution (Interested Persons List)*

One of the fastest and easiest ways to stay up to date on KWA activities is by joining the interested persons email distribution list. An important method for keeping impacted residents and other interested stakeholders informed is via email updates using Constant Contact as a tool for distribution and email list management. The list is used to notify and encourage public involvement in meetings and events. To support transparency, emails detailing important decisions and upcoming events will continue to be sent to a growing list of interested persons.

There are two separate email lists for targeted communications:

- Nitrate dischargers (152 recipients)
- Impacted residents and other interested stakeholders (63 recipients)

*To date, the following email updates have been sent to dischargers, impacted residents, and other interested parties:*

**Table 10: Email Updates to Interested Persons**

Email Updates to Interested Persons				
Date	Email Topic	# of Recipients	Open Rate	Click-through rate
8/7/2020	Next Steps: Nitrate Control Program and Kings Management Zone	104	49%	32%
8/14/2020	Kings Management Zone- August Meeting	105	64%	26%
8/26/2020	Kings Management Zone- August Meeting	106	59%	53%
9/18/2020	Kings Management Zone- October Meeting	109	57%	55%
11/10/2020	Upcoming Webinar: EAP to address safe drinking water	115	55%	59%
11/19/2020	Reminder! Webinar tonight on EAP	138	45%	39%
1/6/2021	<u>Webinar #2: Community Drinking Water Solutions &amp; Nov webinar resources</u>	152	57%	40%
1/11/2021	<u>Your survey response is requested</u>	46	59%	56%
1/11/2021	<u>Help us reach more impacted residents- Distribute flyer and infor to your colleagues and staff</u>	129	44%	23%
1/15/2021	<u>Reminder: Your survey response is requested// today is last day to complete the drinking water survey!</u>	50	44%	32%
1/18/2021	<u>Help Us Drive Clean Drinking Water Solutions: WEBINAR #2</u>	53	40%	38%
1/22/2021	<u>Zoom Link: Safe Drinking Water Webinar #2</u>	158	48%	24%
1/28/2021	<u>TODAY @ 6! 💧 Safe Drinking Water Webinar #2 💧</u>	164	34%	33%
2/1/2021	<u>Helpful Resources &amp; January 28 Webinar Recording</u>	62	44%	33%
2/9/2021	<u>Have your safe drinking water questions answered</u>	63	49%	16%
2/10/2021	<u>Join us anytime from now through 2:00 PM to have your questions answered</u>	185	23%	39%
2/16/2021	<u>Virtual Office Hours is now LIVE</u>	185	32%	12%
2/22/2021	<u>Last Call for Comments! Share Your Input on the Early Action Plan and PMZP</u>	185	38%	26%

An example of an email notice sent to the impacted residents and interested stakeholders list is included in Appendix B-8.

### YouTube

A YouTube account for the Kings Water Alliance has been established. The account will serve as an outreach tool to share multimedia content. Videos will work to educate the public while providing a catalogue of past webinars and outreach events for public reference.

The initial November 19, 2021 webinar video recording was posted on the Kings River Water Quality Coalition YouTube account and has received 23 views. The January 28, 2021 webinar video recording was posted on the new Kings Water Alliance YouTube account and has received 17 views. Videos will be migrated and housed on the Kings Water Alliance account as a library for the public to access.

### ***Non-digital communication***

#### *Phone line*

In October 2020, the KWA’s dedicated phone line became available for impacted residents and other interested stakeholders to contact with questions or comments on EAP development and implementation. Spanish interpretation is available on the phone line when and if needed. The phone number (559) 549-6747 is included on all outreach materials and on the webpage.

#### *Physical Address*

The KWA currently shares a physical address with the Kings River Water Quality Coalition. A PO Box is provided on all communications materials and on the webpage if impacted residents and other interested stakeholders prefer to communicate via direct mail.

### ***Media Coverage – Print, Digital, Radio***

The KWA will identify preferred media outlets to provide information regarding outreach and engagement. This may include press releases, newspaper articles, and media briefings. Media outreach will seek to promote public engagement and understanding. The KWA will maintain a list of regional media including radio, television, newspapers, and organizational newsletters along with state and specialized media. An example of coverage from the Fresno County Farm Bureau is included in Appendix B-9.

*To date, individuals from the following media outlets have been actively engaged:*

**Table 11: Media outlets engaged**

<b>News/Media</b>
Fresno County Farm Bureau Newsletter
Fresnoland
Cutler/Orosi News

### ***Drinking Water Survey***

To better understand the priorities of impacted residents, the KWA conducted a drinking water survey. The survey provides an opportunity for impacted residents and other interested

stakeholders to identify their solutions preferences and identify challenges to varying drinking water solutions. The Kings Water Alliance collaborated with the environmental justice organization Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability (LCJA) to develop and distribute the survey. The survey was disseminated via a diverse set of communications channels including the Kings Water Alliance interested persons email list, LCJA's email and text message lists, including through 16 additional community organizations and NGOs, and LCJA's community Facebook group to ensure wide distribution to the relevant stakeholder groups. The survey was offered in both English and Spanish. Data received from the results were distributed to the KWA staff, technical consultants, and Board for review and consideration. The survey results are attached in Appendix B-10.

## Outreach and Engagement Evaluation

### *Tracking Sheet*

To effectively measure outreach and engagement tactics against the goals and objective outlined in this strategy, a tracking document has been established for use across KWA staff in Google Sheets. The tracking sheet will ensure effective outreach and engagement reporting to the KWA Board, the public, State Board. Upon evaluation, the tracking sheet may assist KWA staff in pivoting efforts to increase clarity and efficiency of achieving the goals and objectives of this outreach strategy.

Measuring the success requires tracking the following metrics:

- Awareness and Reach Metrics:
  - Quantify the number of channels utilized to communicate
  - Quantify output of materials/touchpoints across communications channel
  - Quantify the number of individuals receiving communications across channels
- Engagement Metrics:
  - Website analytics
  - Email open rate and click through rate
  - Workshop and meeting attendance
  - Phone calls received
- Impact Metrics:
  - Track key topics and questions posed by public
  - Increase in engagement over time
  - Level of support and cooperation expressed by stakeholders

The KWA staff will assess metrics on a quarterly basis, and pivot tactics on an as needed basis to ensure effective and efficient communication.

**Reports to the Board and Stakeholder Committee**

KWA staff will provide outreach and engagement activities reports to the Board and Stakeholder Committee as needed. This will give an opportunity for the Board, representatives of diverse stakeholder groups, and members of the public to provide comment and recommendations to KWA staff on ongoing outreach and engagement activities during EAP development and implementation. An example of a report to the Board is included for reference in Appendix B-11.

# EAP COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

## EARLY ACTION PLAN DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY

*Developed sept 2020*

### GOALS

#### ***What steps do we take to achieve the objective?***

1. Identify and cultivate relationships with key influential individuals and organizations in the communities to amplify information from the KRWQC.
2. Provide input and participation channels that connect with them in a way that is effective for them.
3. Provide accurate, easy-to-understand, timely information on the EAP development

### CENTRAL PROBLEM

The newly adopted Nitrate Control Program requires Early Action Plans to identify short-term and long-term replacement drinking water. To identify the best solutions, the KRWQC needs community input.

### OBJECTIVE

Create a level of engagement and awareness with community residents that establishes trust and provides a robust participation in the development of the Early Action Plan.

### IMPLEMENTATION TIMEFRAME

Oct – Feb 2020



## SITUATION ANALYSIS

### Background

In May 2018, the Central Valley Water Board approved new Salt and Nitrate Control Programs. The Nitrate Control Program establishes a long-term management strategy for addressing nitrate pollution in the Central Valley's drinking water aquifers, with priority given to areas where nitrate is affecting drinking water supplies.

Under the Nitrate Control Program, providing replacement drinking water to affected communities is the highest and most immediate priority. The Central Valley Water Board requires that all efforts to provide interim replacement drinking water be described in Early Action Plans, which permittees must submit to the Central Valley Water Board according to timelines set by the Nitrate Control Program. The preparation of Early Action Plans requires community outreach and engagement to help develop interim drinking water replacement alternatives.

### Central Problem

The newly adopted Nitrate Control Program requires Early Action Plans to identify short-term and long-term replacement drinking water. To identify the best solutions, the KRWQC needs community input.

## OBJECTIVE

*What is our overarching objective?*

Create a level of engagement and awareness with community residents that establishes trust and provides a robust participation in the development of the Early Action Plan.

## GOALS

*What are the goals we can work toward to accomplish our objective?*

1. Identify and cultivate relationships with key influential individuals and organizations in the communities to amplify information from the KRWQC.
2. Provide input and participation channels that connect with them in a way that is effective for them.
3. Provide accurate, easy-to-understand, timely information on the EAP development

## AUDIENCE

*Who are the relevant existing and potential engaged publics we must reach to achieve our goals?*

1. Community Residents
2. Community organizations, information gatekeepers
3. Native American Tribes

## MESSAGING


1. The new Nitrate Control program is part of a long-term strategy for addressing nitrate pollution in the Central Valley's groundwater.
2. The program The Nitrate Control Program has three goals: 1. Provide [safe drinking water](#) supplies. 2. Reduce nitrate impacts to water supplies. 3. Restore groundwater quality, where reasonable and feasible.
3. Many small communities in the Central Valley rely on groundwater for drinking water. Some communities can't safely use groundwater for drinking water as nitrate levels present a potential for human health impacts.
4. The Kings River Water Quality Coalition encourages participation and input from stakeholders.
5. The Kings River Water Quality Coalition seeks to incorporate public input received in decisions.

6. The Kings River Water Quality Coalition is committed to considering all stakeholder comments.

## TACTICS

TACTIC	AUDIENCE	LEAD	DEADLINE
Identify and cultivate community influencers to disseminate information	Community Residents	Cristel	Sept 22 <sup>nd</sup>
Conduct Community Profiles	All	Cristel	Sept 22 <sup>nd</sup>
Consult SHE on materials and outreach methods	Community Residents	Cristel	Sept 22 <sup>nd</sup>
Develop EAP webpage Include link to CV-SALTS video	All	Becca	Oct 16 <sup>th</sup>
Develop 1-page informational sheet on EAP (English/Spanish)	All	Cristel	Oct 16 <sup>th</sup>
Develop 1-page flyer to promote events	Community Residents	Becca	Oct 16 <sup>th</sup>
Send direct mail piece	Community Residents	Becca	Internal draft Oct 14 <sup>th</sup> – in-homes Oct 29 <sup>th</sup>
Host webinar – partner with SHE	Community Residents	Becca	Nov 12 <sup>th</sup>
Online survey for feedback Educational video series	All	Becca	Sept 25 <sup>th</sup> – survey close Nov 30 <sup>th</sup>
Dedicated phone line	All	Cristel	Sept 30 <sup>th</sup>
Identify and directly engage community organization leaders to solicit feedback	Community Organizations	Cristel	Nov 30 <sup>th</sup>
Tribal outreach – GIS and letter	Tribes	Cristel	Oct 29 <sup>th</sup>
EAP Outreach Plan	-	Becca	?
Informational flyer @ fill stations and key locations	Community residents	Becca	Oct 29 <sup>th</sup>

# TIMELINE



Tribal Chairman Leo Sisco  
Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe  
16835 Alkali Dr.  
P.O. Box 8  
Lemoore, CA 93245

Dear Chairman Sisco,

Many communities in the Central Valley rely on groundwater as their primary source of drinking water. Some communities cannot safely use groundwater for drinking water as nitrate levels above government safe drinking water standards present a potential for human health impacts. Local industry and community representatives have joined to form an entirely new organization, the Kings Water Alliance, to develop a plan that will identify short and long-term safe drinking water solutions to impacted residents in the region.

The intent of this letter is to invite the Tachi Yokut Tribe to participate in these efforts. Your involvement in identifying drinking water solutions is important. The Kings Water Alliance, a nonprofit agency forming to implement the State required Nitrate Control Program Early Action Plan, invites your Tribe to participate on the Stakeholder Advisory Committee. The Committee would work with the Kings Water Alliance Board to identify short and long-term solutions for providing safe drinking water to those residents impacted by nitrates in the Kings and Tulare Lake Subbasins.

We know the Tachi Yokut Tribe has a robust sustainability plan for your water resources. Even if you are unable to participate on the Advisory Committee, your input will be invaluable as we implement drinking water solutions. We hope that the Tribe will consider working with us on Early Action Plan implementation.

For more information, on this water quality program and the Kings Water Alliance, visit [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater). We appreciate your consideration of joining the effort to provide safe drinking water to our region. If you have any questions or would like to further discuss this effort, please feel free to contact me at (559) 906-2952 or at [ctufenkjian@krcd.org](mailto:ctufenkjian@krcd.org).


Respectfully,

Cristel Tufenkjian  
Kings Water Alliance Representative

cc: Leland McGee, Tribal Administrator

## Q1 How far are you willing to travel to a fill station?


Answered: 11 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
1-2 miles	36.36% 4
2-5 miles	27.27% 3
5-10 miles	36.36% 4
10-15 miles	0.00% 0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>11</b>

Q2 To obtain a Point of Use System for your home are you willing to provide the following information: First and Last Name Address including City, State, and Zip Code Phone number Email (optional) Number of people in household Source of tap water in household Recent water quality test results within last 2 years from a certified laboratory (if you do not know, or have never had your well tested, testing may be provided at no cost) Sign an agreement with a Point of Use System provider


Answered: 11 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
YES		72.73%	8
NO		27.27%	3
TOTAL			11

Q3 To have bottled water delivered to your home are you willing to provide the following information: First and Last Name Address including City State and Zip Code Phone number Email (optional) Number of people in household Source of tap water in household Recent water quality test results within last 2 years from a certified laboratory (if you do not know, or have never had your water tested, testing may be provided at no cost)


Answered: 11 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
YES	72.73%	8
NO	27.27%	3
TOTAL		11

Q4 When you consider the three possible drinking water solutions for your household, how would you prioritize the options? Please rank all three solutions below from 1 to 3 with #1 being your most preferred option and #3 least preferred.

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0




	1	2	3	TOTAL	SCORE
Fill Station	9.09% 1	27.27% 3	63.64% 7	11	1.45
Point-of-Use System	72.73% 8	18.18% 2	9.09% 1	11	2.64
Bottled Water	18.18% 2	54.55% 6	27.27% 3	11	1.91



### Q5 Do you obtain your water from a private well or public water system?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Private well	45.45% 5
Public water system	54.55% 6
Not sure	0.00% 0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>11</b>

**Q6 If you selected public water system, please write the name in the box below:**

Answered: 5 Skipped: 6

# Q7 What community is nearest to where you live?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0







ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Armona	0.00%	0
Biola	9.09%	1
Bowles	0.00%	0
Calwa	0.00%	0
Caruthers	0.00%	0
Centerville	0.00%	0
Clovis	0.00%	0
Corcoran	0.00%	0
Cutler	0.00%	0
Del Rey	0.00%	0
Delft Colony	0.00%	0
Dinuba	0.00%	0
East Orosi	0.00%	0
Easton	0.00%	0
Fort Washington	0.00%	0
Fowler	0.00%	0
Fresno	45.45%	5
Friant	0.00%	0
Grangeville	0.00%	0
Hanford	18.18%	2
Hardwick	0.00%	0
Home Garden	0.00%	0
Kerman	0.00%	0
Kettleman City	0.00%	0
Kingsburg	0.00%	0
Lanare	0.00%	0
Laton	0.00%	0
Lemoore	0.00%	0
London	0.00%	0
Malaga	0.00%	0
Mayfair	0.00%	0
Minkler	0.00%	0

Monmouth	0.00%	0
Monson	0.00%	0
Old Fig Garden	0.00%	0
Orange Cove	0.00%	0
Orosi	0.00%	0
Parlier	0.00%	0
Raisin City	0.00%	0
Reedley	9.09%	1
Riverdale	0.00%	0
San Joaquin	0.00%	0
Sanger	0.00%	0
Selma	9.09%	1
Seville	0.00%	0
Stratford	0.00%	0
Sultana	9.09%	1
Sunnyside	0.00%	0
Tarpey Village	0.00%	0
Traver	0.00%	0
West Park	0.00%	0
Yetttem	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>11</b>

Q8 To understand the needs of your community, please provide your zip code (optional question):

Answered: 8 Skipped: 3




**Q9 If you would like to receive email updates from the Kings Water Alliance about drinking water near you, including updates on solutions and community workshops, type your email address in the box below:**

Answered: 7 Skipped: 4

# Q1 ¿Hasta dónde estás dispuesto a viajar a una máquina de agua?


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
1-2 millas	100.00%	2
2-5 millas	0.00%	0
5-10 millas	0.00%	0
10-15 millas	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>2</b>

Q2 Para obtener un sistema de punto de uso para su hogar, está dispuesto a proporcionar la siguiente información: Nombre y apellido Dirección que incluye ciudad, estado y código postal Número de teléfono Email (Opcional) Número de personas en el hogar Fuente de agua en el hogar Resultados recientes de pruebas de calidad del agua (en los últimos 2 años de un laboratorio certificado. Si no lo sabe o nunca ha analizado el agua, es posible que se le proporcionen pruebas sin costo alguno.) Firmar un acuerdo con un proveedor de Sistemas de Punto de Uso.


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
SI	100.00%	2
NO	0.00%	0
TOTAL		2

Q3 Para recibir agua embotellada en su hogar, está dispuesto a proporcionar la siguiente información: Nombre y apellido Dirección que incluye ciudad, estado y código postal Número de teléfono Email (Opcional) Número de personas en el hogar Fuente de agua en el hogar Resultados recientes de pruebas de calidad del agua (en los últimos 2 años de un laboratorio certificado. Si no lo sabe o nunca ha analizado el agua, es posible que se le proporcionen pruebas sin costo alguno.)


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
SI	100.00%	2
NO	0.00%	0
TOTAL		2

Q4 Cuando considere las tres posibles soluciones de agua potable para su hogar, ¿cómo priorizaría las opciones? Priorice las tres soluciones a continuación de 1 a 3, siendo la #1 su opción preferida y la #3 la menos preferida.


Answered: 2 Skipped: 0



	1	2	3	TOTAL	SCORE
Máquina de Agua	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	2	2.00
Sistemas de Punto de Uso	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	2	2.00
Agua Embotellada	50.00% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 1	2	2.00

## Q5 ¿Obtiene el agua de un sistema público de agua o de un pozo privado?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Pozo	0.00% 0
Sistema Público	100.00% 2
No estoy seguro	0.00% 0
TOTAL	2

**Q6 Si conoce el nombre de su sistema público, escriba el nombre en el cuadro a continuación:**

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0

### Q7 ¿Qué comunidad está más cerca de donde vives?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0





Hanford										
Hardwick										
Home Garden										
Kerman										
Kettleman City										
Kingsburg										
Lanare										
Laton										
Lemoore										
London										
Malaga										
Mayfair										
Minkler										
Monmouth										
Monson										
Old Fig Garden										
Orange Cove										
Orosi										
Parlier										
Raisin City										



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Armona	0.00% 0
Biola	0.00% 0
Bowles	0.00% 0
Calwa	50.00% 1
Caruthers	0.00% 0
Centerville	0.00% 0
Clovis	0.00% 0
Corcoran	0.00% 0
Cutler	0.00% 0
Del Rey	0.00% 0
Delft Colony	0.00% 0
Dinuba	0.00% 0
East Orosi	0.00% 0
Easton	0.00% 0
Fort Washington	0.00% 0
Fowler	0.00% 0
Fresno	50.00% 1
Friant	0.00% 0
Grangeville	0.00% 0
Hanford	0.00% 0
Hardwick	0.00% 0
Home Garden	0.00% 0
Kerman	0.00% 0
Kettleman City	0.00% 0
Kingsburg	0.00% 0
Lanare	0.00% 0
Laton	0.00% 0
Lemoore	0.00% 0
London	0.00% 0
Malaga	0.00% 0
Mayfair	0.00% 0
Minkler	0.00% 0

Monmouth	0.00%	0
Monson	0.00%	0
Old Fig Garden	0.00%	0
Orange Cove	0.00%	0
Orosi	0.00%	0
Parlier	0.00%	0
Raisin City	0.00%	0
Reedley	0.00%	0
Riverdale	0.00%	0
San Joaquin	0.00%	0
Sanger	0.00%	0
Selma	0.00%	0
Seville	0.00%	0
Stratford	0.00%	0
Sultana	0.00%	0
Sunnyside	0.00%	0
Tarpey Village	0.00%	0
Traver	0.00%	0
West Park	0.00%	0
Yetttem	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>2</b>

**Q8 Para comprender las necesidades de su comunidad, proporcione su código postal (PREGUNTA OPCIONAL):**

Answered: 2 Skipped: 0

Q9 Si desea recibir alertas de la Alianza de agua Reyes sobre el agua potable cerca de usted, incluyendo cambios en las soluciones y talleres comunitarios, escriba su dirección de correo electrónico en la siguiente casilla:

Answered: 1 Skipped: 1

# EAP Stakeholder Advisory Committee

## Discussion Paper

---

Forming a EAP Stakeholder Advisory Committee will provide a means in which interested parties may participate in the process of identifying and implementing short-term solutions and long-term drinking solutions and supporting outreach and community engagement and providing input Kings Water Alliance. The following are key excerpts from the Basin Plan Amendments regarding stakeholder involvement.

A Preliminary Management Zone Proposal must include all of the following unless otherwise approved by the Central Valley Water Board’s Executive Officer:

*.....(viii.) Documentation of process utilized to identify affected residents and the outreach utilized to ensure that they are given the opportunity to participate in development of an Early Action Plan;*

An Early Action Plan must include the following, unless otherwise approved by the Central Valley Water Board’s Executive Officer:

- (i.) A process to identify affected residents and the outreach utilized to ensure that impacted groundwater users are informed of and given the opportunity to participate in the development of proposed solutions;*
- (ii.) A process for coordinating with others that are not dischargers to address drinking water issues, which must include consideration of coordinating with affected communities, domestic well users and their representatives, the State Water Board’s Division of Drinking Water, Local Planning Departments, Local County Health Officials, Sustainable Groundwater Management Agencies and others as appropriate;*

A Management Zone Implementation Plan is the equivalent of an Alternative Compliance Project. Management Zone Implementation Plans shall:

*.... (iv.) Document collaboration with the community and/or users benefitting from any proposed short/long-term activities to provide safe drinking water;*

### Stakeholder Advisory Committee Considerations

- Role/purpose
- Composition and Selection of Members
- Governance

Stakeholder	Identified Participant
Impacted Residents	Rolinda Resident Armona Resident Stratford Resident Easton Resident

	<p>Sultana Resident  Monson Resident  Raisin City Resident  Orosi Resident  Cutler Resident</p>
Irrigated Agriculture	<p>Kings River Water Quality Coalition  Fresno County Farm Bureau  Kings County Farm Bureau  Tulare County Farm Bureau</p>
Groundwater Sustainability Agencies	<p>North Kings GSA  McMullin Area GSA  Kings River East GSA  North Fork Kings GSA  Central Kings GSA  South Kings GSA  Mid-Kings GSA  South Fork Kings GSA  Tri-County GSA  Southwest Kings GSA  El Rico GSA</p>
Community Based Organization	<p>Self-Help Enterprises  Leadership Counsel for Justice &amp; Accountability  Community Water Center</p>
Municipal	<p>County of Tulare  County of Kings  County of Fresno  City of Dinuba  City of Kerman</p>
Dairy	<p>Dairy CARES  California Milk Producers Council</p>
Industry/Other	<p>Kings Water Alliance Board Liaison  Almond Board  American Pistachio Growers  Wonderful  Zonneveld Dairies</p>





<b>Nov 19 Webinar Attendee List</b>
Leslie Martinez
J.P. Cativiela
Charlie
joe alvarez
Karen Petryna
Sarah Rutherford
David Belt
Charles Gardiner
Jonathan Nelson (CWC)
Clare McGarvin
kburns
sharoncrabtree
Gabby Nikolich
Joey Giordano
Dennis Yee
Daniel Roos
Laura Ramos
mike erwin
Donald Ikemiya
Morgan Campbell
corya
Janet Cangemi
Lisha Merritt
Roger Hitchcock
Mariah Thompson
Monica Vaughan
Stephen Fletcher
Mimi Koligian
Sarah Lee
Jason.Crosswell
Mike Beerends
Mark McKean


## November 19, 2020 Webinar Polling Results

Please note, if an answer was not selected, it does not appear in the results


### POLLING SESSION 1



POLLING SESSION 2




POLLING SESSION 3




POLLING SESSION 4


If offered the opportunity to have your well tested for nitrates at no cost to you, would you participate in that program? (Si se le ofreciera la oportunidad de realizar una prueba de nitratos sin costo para usted, ¿participaría en ese programa?)



If you are unable to go to a fill station, which other options do you prefer? (Si no puede ir a una estación de servicio, ¿qué otra opción prefiere?)



Assume a fill station is within 10 miles of your home. If you are unable to access the fill station what would be the primary reason?/Suponga que hay una estación de servicio a 10 millas de su hogar. Si no puede acceder a la estación de llenado, ¿por que?



# SOLUTIONS ARE COMING SAFE DRINKING WATER



## Safe drinking water solutions are being developed in local communities

A new groundwater quality initiative, the Nitrate Control Program under CV-SALTS, is part of a strategy to address nitrate pollution in the Central Valley's groundwater. **The Kings Water Alliance is developing solutions in your area to provide safe drinking water supplies for local communities impacted by nitrates.**

## Join us to learn more about the Early Action Plan for drinking water solutions

Drinking water solutions should be flexible and locally driven. Help us drive solutions! **Join us for a webinar to learn more about the Nitrate Control Program and proposed drinking water solutions. You will have an opportunity to provide input and ask questions.**



## Webinar

November 19, 6:00-7:30 PM

REGISTER ONLINE: [WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER](http://WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER)

## HOW YOU CAN GET INVOLVED



**Sign up to receive email updates** on webinar details and opportunities to provide input on drinking water solutions [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)



**Attend the upcoming webinar** on November 19th. Register online at [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)



**Find information** on CV-SALTS (Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability) and the Nitrate Control Program at [www.cvsalts.info](http://www.cvsalts.info)



# SE ACERCAN SOLUCIONES AGUA POTABLE SEGURA



## Se están desarrollando soluciones de agua potable segura en las comunidades locales

Una nueva iniciativa de calidad de las aguas subterráneas, el Programa de Control de Nitratos bajo CV-SALTS, es parte de una estrategia para abordar la contaminación por nitratos en las aguas subterráneas del Valle Central. **Kings Water Alliance está desarrollando soluciones en su área para proporcionar suministros de agua potable segura para las comunidades locales afectadas por nitratos.**

## Acompáñenos para obtener más información sobre el Plan de Acción Temprana para soluciones de agua potable

Las soluciones de agua potable deben ser flexibles y dirigidas localmente. ¡Ayúdanos a impulsar soluciones! **Acompáñenos para un seminario web para obtener más información sobre el Programa de Control de Nitratos y las propuestas soluciones de agua potable. Usted tendrá la oportunidad de proporcionar información y hacer preguntas.**



## Seminario Web 19 de noviembre, 6:00-7:30

REGISTRARSE: [WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER](http://WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER)

### CÓMO PUEDE INVOLUCRARSE



**Regístrese para recibir actualizaciones por correo electrónico** sobre los detalles del seminario web y las oportunidades para proporcionar información sobre las soluciones de agua potable [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)



Asista al próximo seminario web el 19 de noviembre. Regístrese en línea en [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)



**Encuentre información** sobre CV-SALTS (Alternativas de Salinidad para la Sostenibilidad a Largo Plazo del Valle Central, CV-SALTS por su sigla en inglés) y el Programa de Control de Nitratos en [www.cvsalts.info](http://www.cvsalts.info)



# SOLUTIONS ARE COMING SAFE DRINKING WATER



## Safe drinking water solutions are being developed in local communities

A new groundwater quality initiative, the Nitrate Control Program under CV-SALTS, is part of a strategy to address nitrate pollution in the Central Valley's groundwater. **The Kings Water Alliance is developing solutions in your area to provide safe drinking water supplies for local communities impacted by nitrates.**

## Join us to learn more about the Early Action Plan for drinking water solutions

Drinking water solutions should be flexible and locally driven. Help us drive solutions! **Join us for a webinar to learn more about the Nitrate Control Program and proposed drinking water solutions. You will have an opportunity to provide input and ask questions.**



## Webinar

# November 19, 6:00-7:30 PM

REGISTER ONLINE: [WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER](http://WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER)

## HOW YOU CAN GET INVOLVED



**Sign up to receive email updates** on webinar details and opportunities to provide input on drinking water solutions [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)



**Attend the upcoming webinar** on November 19th. Register online at [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater) or call us at (559) 549-6747



**Find information** on CV-SALTS (Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability) and the Nitrate Control Program at [www.cvsalts.info](http://www.cvsalts.info)

Webinar 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Webinar 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Webinar 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Webinar 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Webinar 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Webinar 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Webinar 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Webinar 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Webinar 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747



# SE ACERCAN SOLUCIONES AGUA POTABLE SEGURA



## Se están desarrollando soluciones de agua potable segura en las comunidades locales

Una nueva iniciativa de calidad de las aguas subterráneas, el Programa de Control de Nitratos bajo CV-SALTS, es parte de una estrategia para abordar la contaminación por nitratos en las aguas subterráneas del Valle Central. Kings Water Alliance está desarrollando soluciones en su área para proporcionar suministros de agua potable segura para las comunidades locales afectadas por nitratos.

## Acompáñenos para obtener más información sobre el Plan de Acción Temprana para soluciones de agua potable

Las soluciones de agua potable deben ser flexibles y dirigidas localmente. ¡Ayúdanos a impulsar soluciones! Acompáñenos para un seminario web para obtener más información sobre el Programa de Control de Nitratos y las propuestas soluciones de agua potable. Usted tendrá la oportunidad de proporcionar información y hacer preguntas.



## Seminario Web 19 de noviembre, 6:00-7:30

REGISTRARSE: [WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER](http://WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER)

### CÓMO PUEDE INVOLUCRARSE



Regístrese para recibir actualizaciones por correo electrónico sobre los detalles del seminario web y las oportunidades para proporcionar información sobre las soluciones de agua potable [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)



Asista al próximo seminario web el 19 de noviembre. Regístrese en línea en [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)



Encuentre información sobre CV-SALTS (Alternativas de Salinidad para la Sostenibilidad a Largo Plazo del Valle Central, CV-SALTS por su sigla en inglés) y el Programa de Control de Nitratos en [www.cvsalts.info](http://www.cvsalts.info)

Seminario web 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Seminario web 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Seminario web 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Seminario web 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Seminario web 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Seminario web 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Seminario web 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

Seminario web 11/19/2020  
[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)  
(559) 549-6747

# SAFE DRINKING WATER SOLUTIONS ARE COMING

## SE ACERCAN SOLUCIONES PARA AGUA POTABLE SEGURA



### Safe drinking water solutions are being developed in local communities

A new groundwater quality initiative, the Nitrate Control Program under CV-SALTS, is part of a strategy to address nitrate pollution in the Central Valley's groundwater. **The Kings Water Alliance is developing solutions in your area to provide safe drinking water supplies for local communities impacted by nitrates.**

### Join us to learn more about the Early Action Plan for drinking water solutions

Drinking water solutions should be flexible and locally driven. Help us drive solutions! **Join us for a webinar to learn more about the Nitrate Control Program and proposed drinking water solutions. You will have an opportunity to provide input and ask questions.**



VISIT [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater) to register for the webinar, sign up for email updates, and learn more about the Nitrate Control Program.

## Webinar

November 19, 6:00-7:30 PM

REGISTER: [WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER](http://WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER)

## Seminario Web

19 de noviembre, 6:00-7:30

REGISTRARSE: [WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER](http://WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER)

### Se están desarrollando soluciones de agua potable segura en las comunidades locales

Una nueva iniciativa de calidad de las aguas subterráneas, el Programa de Control de Nitratos bajo CV-SALTS, es parte de una estrategia para abordar la contaminación por nitratos en las aguas subterráneas del Valle Central. **Kings Water Alliance está desarrollando soluciones en su área para proporcionar suministros de agua potable segura para las comunidades locales afectadas por nitratos.**



VISITE [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater) para registrarse al seminario web, suscribirse a actualizaciones por correo electrónico y obtener más información sobre el Programa de Control de Nitratos.

### Acompáñenos para obtener más información sobre el Plan de Acción Temprana para soluciones de agua potable

Las soluciones de agua potable deben ser flexibles y dirigidas localmente. ¡Ayúdanos a impulsar soluciones! **Acompáñenos para un seminario web para obtener más información sobre el Programa de Control de Nitratos y las propuestas soluciones de agua potable. Usted tendrá la oportunidad de proporcionar información y hacer preguntas.**



P.O. Box 8259, Fresno, CA 93747 | (559) 549-6747 | [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)

# SAFE DRINKING WATER SOLUTIONS ARE COMING

-  **Sign up to receive email updates** on webinar details and opportunities to provide input on drinking water solutions [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)
-  **Attend the upcoming webinar** on November 19th. Register online at [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)
-  **Find information** on CV-SALTS (Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability) and the Nitrate Control Program at [www.cvsalts.info](http://www.cvsalts.info)



P.O. Box 8259  
Fresno, CA 93747




RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

????????

GROUNDWATER IN YOUR AREA MAY EXCEED SAFE DRINKING WATER STANDARDS. BE A PART OF THE SAFE DRINKING WATER SOLUTION: [WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER](http://WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER)

LA AGUA SUBTERRÁNEA EN SU ÁREA PUEDE EXCEDER LOS ESTÁNDARES DE AGUA POTABLE SEGURA. SEA PARTE DE LA SOLUCIÓN DE AGUA POTABLE SEGURA: [WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER](http://WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER)

# SE ACERCAN SOLUCIONES PARA AGUA POTABLE SEGURA

-  **Regístrese para recibir actualizaciones por correo electrónico** sobre los detalles del seminario web y las oportunidades para proporcionar información sobre las soluciones de agua potable [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)
-  **Asista al próximo seminario web** el 19 de noviembre. Regístrese en línea en [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)
-  **Encuentre información** sobre CV-SALTS (Alternativas de Salinidad para la Sostenibilidad a Largo Plazo del Valle Central, CV-SALTS por su sigla en inglés) y el Programa de Control de Nitratos en [www.cvsalts.info](http://www.cvsalts.info)



**KINGS RIVER**  
WATER QUALITY COALITION

## REMINDER: WEBINAR



## Early Action Plan to address safe drinking water

**TONIGHT! Thursday, November 19, 2020**

**6:00 - 7:30 PM**

**Via Zoom Webinar.**

[Join Webinar](#)

Or click the link below to join the webinar:

<https://zoom.us/j/91835976227>

Or iPhone one-tap :

US: +16699006833,,91835976227# or +13462487799,,91835976227#

Or Telephone:

Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 669 900 6833 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 312 626  
6799 or +1 929 205 6099 or +1 301 715 8592

Webinar ID: 918 3597 6227

### Zoom Details

We recommend joining 5-10 minutes early to get comfortable with the Zoom webinar platform.

All participants will automatically have their video and microphone turned off for the duration of the webinar, unless unmuted to ask a question.

If you are experiencing technical difficulties, call (559) 549-6747. The webinar chat will also be available for technical issues and questions.

**Know  
someone who  
should join  
the webinar?**

Forward this email to a friend, family member, or colleague. No registration required to join the webinar via the link provided.

---

This webinar will engage the community on Early Action Plan development, part of a strategy to address nitrate pollution in the Central Valley's groundwater. Community residents and domestic well owners whose groundwater is potentially impacted by nitrates have been invited to participate. Other stakeholders are encouraged to attend. Participants will have the opportunity to provide input on proposed drinking water solutions.

A webpage has been developed for community residents and domestic well owners with educational information on the Nitrate Control Program and Early Action Plan. **Visit the webpage at [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater).**

---

Kings River Water Quality Coalition

P.O. Box 8259, Fresno, CA 93747

559-365-7958

[info@kingsriverwqc.org](mailto:info@kingsriverwqc.org)



**Rebecca Quist**

---

**From:** Fresno County Farm Bureau <info@fcb.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 10, 2020 2:24 PM  
**To:** Rebecca Quist  
**Subject:** FCFB Ag Wire: November 10, 2020



**Recognizing Veteran's Day**



**Support FCFB**

[Become a Member](#)

[Renew Membership](#)

*Friends of the Family Farm*

**SAPPHIRE**

**FUTURE FORD OF CLOVIS**



**Nationwide®**  
is on your side

**Simplot**  
GROWER SOLUTIONS

**TheZenith**  
Agribusiness Solutions

**DIAMOND**



# Trainings & Seminars



## Continuing Education Hours Scheduled

FCFB, in partnership with the Fresno County Department of Agriculture, will be offering continuing education hours virtually for PAC, QAC/QAL and PCA license holders.



The session will be held on Thursday, November 12, from 8:30 a.m.-noon and will provide three hours of "Laws and Regulations."



The cost is \$15 to attend, and participants will be given a Zoom link closer to the date. If you have technological issues, accommodations can be made. Please contact the office at 559-237-0263.



For more information, or to register, click [here](#).



## Supervisor Training

FCFB will be hosting a supervisor training on Tuesday, December 3, from 10 a.m. - noon (English) and 1-3 p.m. (Spanish). The cost is \$75.



The training is designed specifically for supervisors and foremen that hold the responsibility of managing projects and employees. Individuals that hold such titles should possess effective verbal and written communication skills in order to appropriately motivate and discipline employees. This session will address these critical skills and provide participants with resources to put their best management foot forward.



The training will be done in-person. Due to COVID-19 regulations, we cannot accommodate more than 15 participants in each class. COVID-19 precautions,



including social distancing and mask wearing, will be in place.

For more information, or to register, click [here](#).

The training will be provided by Gilbert Altamirano of FELS. For other training and compliance needs, contact Gilbert at 559-908-9489 or [galtamirano@cfbf.com](mailto:galtamirano@cfbf.com)

### **Sexual Harassment Prevention Webinars**

AgSafe will be hosting 2-hour and 1-hour Sexual Harassment Prevention webinars which meet the requirements of



SB1343, AB 1825, AB2053 and SB396 and includes the following topics:

- Information and practical guidance regarding federal and state regulations
- Prohibition, prevention, correction and remedies of sexual harassment and abusive conduct in employment.
- Illegality of: Retaliation, gender identity, and abusive conduct.
- How supervisors should respond in a variety of situations involving sexual harassment and abusive conduct. (\*only included in 2-hour webinar)
- Discuss the requirements of an anti-sexual harassment and abusive conduct policy. (\*only included in 2-hour webinar)

Employees: 1-Hour Sexual Harassment Prevention Webinar English/ Spanish

[November 13](#)

[December 4](#)

Supervisors and FLC's: 2-Hour Sexual Harassment Prevention Webinar English/ Spanish

[November 20](#)

[December 11](#)

### **PG&E Webinars**



#### **GOLD**

- Aera Energy LLC
- Avakian Insurance Services
- Baker, Manock & Jensen PC
- Baloian Farms
- Brandt Consolidated, Inc.
- Cal West Rain
- Deerpoint Group, Inc.
- Demera Demera
- Cameron LLP
- DiBudo & DeFendis Insurance Brokers
- Efird Ag Enterprises
- El Dorado Almonds
- Famous Software
- Fresno/Clovis
- Convention & Visitors Bureau
- Fresno Equipment Company
- GAR Bennett, LLC
- Hedrick's Chevrolet
- Hoppe Law
- Horizon Nut Company
- JMLord, Inc.
- McKean Farms
- Meridian
- Growers LLC
- Meyers Farms
- Panoche Creek Packing
- Pickett Solar
- P-R Farms, Inc./ENZO's Table
- Reedley College
- Rodriguez Ag Enterprises, Inc.
- Salmonson Ag Resources
- Schultz Ranch, Inc.
- Sorensen Harvesting, LLC
- Sutton Hague Law Corporation
- United States Cold Storage
- Wanger Jones Helsley PC
- Wildwood Express



PG&E will be hosting four, no cost webinars during the month of November.



PG&E Big Room Talks: Water & Wastewater Webinar

November 16: 9 a.m. - 3 p.m.

Industry experts will be sharing their expertise related to the future of water and wastewater, megatrends, new technologies, regulatory and legislative changes, financing, and sustainable solutions. For more information, or to register, click [here](#).

Response, Recovery & Lessons Learned from PSPS Events

November 17: 10 a.m. – 12 p.m.

This webinar will address some of the challenges of maintaining operations during a PSPS event including generator maintenance, fuel resupply and staffing. Hosted by the EPA. For more information, or to register, click [here](#).

Reinventing Generation Management Through Demand Response

November 18: 10 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.

learn about demand response and how load flexibility at your facility can translate into financial incentives. Also, learn about available Incentives that can help offset eligible costs that may come from installation of control technologies. For more information, or to register, click [here](#).

From the Pipes to Your Process: Navigating the Noncore Natural Gas Landscape

November 19: 10 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.

This webinar for noncore natural gas shares the tariff, applicability and behind the meter gas management. The webinar also includes enhanced safety perspectives and gas energy efficiency. For more information, or to register, click [here](#).

SQF Edition 9: Changes & Updates to the Standard

Learn about the changes and updates to the new SQF standards and prepare for May 2021 implementation. Radojka Barycki, SCS's Training Technical Manager will lead this



**Wonderful Orchards  
Woolf Farming &  
Processing**

**SILVER**

- Advanced Ag Realty & Appraisal
- Alert-O-Lite
- Bank of the West
- Belmont Nursery, Inc.
- Booth Ranches
- Britz Farming Corporation
- Congressman Jim Costa
- Crop Vitality
- D&D Agricultural Lab
- Denham Resources
- Fresno First Bank
- Fresno Madera Farm Credit
- Fruit Harvest Inc.
- Gill Ranch Storage
- Hall Management Corp.
- Harlan Ranch Company
- Harris Farms
- High Desert Ag Inc.
- HMC Farms
- Irritec USA
- JD Food
- James G. Parker
- Insurance Associates
- Jeff Roberts, Granville Homes
- Jerry Baird
- Agency, Baird Crop Insurance
- Jim & Lucy Petty
- K Coe Isom
- Kaweah Pump, Inc.
- Maddox Farms
- Melvin Lubisich,
- Pearson Realty
- Michael Gragnani
- Moss Adams LLP
- Myovich Trucking, Inc.
- National Raisin Co.
- Noble Credit Union
- Pacheco Dairy, Inc.
- Pikalok Farming
- Private Wealth Advisors
- Rain and Hail Insurance Services, LLC
- Rollin Valley Farms
- Seiberts' Oil Co., Inc.
- Sunnyside Packing Co.
- unWired Broadband
- Valley Pacific Petroleum Services
- Wawona Frozen Foods
- WORM Ag, Inc.



**DIRECTORS &  
STAFF**

**President**  
Daniel Hartwig

interactive webinar to provide an overview of the changes and information on a seamless transition to the new Standard edition.

This webinar will be held November 19 from 9-10 a.m. For more information, or to register, click [here](#).



**DFEH Provides Limited Guidance on Employer Obligations under SB 973**

*By: Trevor White*

SB 973 requires California employers with 100 or more employees to submit an annual pay data report by March 31, 2021 to the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (“DFEH”). We previously reported on SB 973 [here](#).



The DFEH recently published [Frequently Asked Questions](#) (“FAQs”) with guidance on how employers must comply with the new reporting requirements.

Questions remain regarding employers’ obligations under SB 973. For instance, the FAQs do not address whether California will require an employer to file information only relating to its California employees or if it must file information relating to its broader workforce. Also, the FAQs do not address obligations of employers who are headquartered outside of California, but have employees within the state. We expect the DFEH to publish a form for compliance with this reporting requirement in the coming months.

**COUNSEL TO MANAGEMENT:**

If you have questions regarding SB 973 or any of the new California laws that go into effect on January 1, 2021, contact the experts at The Saqui Law Group.

**Vice President**  
Mark Thompson

**Treasurer**  
Ryan Indart

**Secretary**  
Nick Rocca

**Directors**  
Lucy Areias  
John Chandler  
Bailey DeGraw  
Matthew Efird  
Bob Felker  
Melissa Fries  
Laura Gulley  
Earl Hall  
Jeremy Lane  
Karen Musson  
Navi Pooni  
George Porter  
Jerry Prieto  
Jon Reelhorn  
Pat Ricchiuti  
Lorna Roush  
Alfreda Sebasto  
Mark Sorensen  
Rick Stark  
Jace White

**CFBF Director**  
Donny Rollin

**CEO**  
Ryan Jacobsen

**Operations Manager**  
Kayleena Speakman

**Member Relations Manager**  
Jennifer Smith

# CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION **NEWS**

## CFBF Annual Meeting

Registration is now open for CFBF's 102nd annual meeting. The conference, which will be 100 percent virtual, will take place from December 6-9 and registration is free for Farm Bureau members.



**California Farm Bureau**  
ANNUAL 2020 MEETING  
REGISTRATION IS NOW OPEN!  
To register, go to [CFBF.com/register](https://www.cfbf.com/register)  
Join us virtually  
for our 102<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting  
December 6-9, 2020  
#STILLFARMING

You will be able to hear from the professionals working on your behalf in Sacramento, Washington and in the courtrooms throughout the land.

Though we have faced many challenges this year, you will hear from industry experts on how California Farm Bureau and it's partners are working to protect California's diverse farming and ranching legacy, enabling the whole agriculture community to thrive

For more information, or to register, click [here](#).  
To view the agenda, click [here](#).

---

## **Around Valley Ag**

### Early Action Plan to Address Safe Drinking Water

# SOLUTIONS ARE COMING SAFE DRINKING WATER



## Safe drinking water solutions are being developed in local communities

A new groundwater quality initiative, the Nitrate Control Program under CV-SALTS, is part of a strategy to address nitrate pollution in the Central Valley's groundwater. **The Kings Water Alliance is developing solutions in your area to provide safe drinking water supplies for local communities impacted by nitrates.**

## Join us to learn more about the Early Action Plan for drinking water solutions

Drinking water solutions should be flexible and locally driven. Help us drive solutions! **Join us for a webinar to learn more about the Nitrate Control Program and proposed drinking water solutions. You will have an opportunity to provide input and ask questions.**



## Webinar

November 19, 6:00-7:30 PM

REGISTER ONLINE: [WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER](http://WWW.KINGSRIVERWQC.ORG/DRINKINGWATER)

## HOW YOU CAN GET INVOLVED

-  **Sign up to receive email updates** on webinar details and opportunities to provide input on drinking water solutions [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)
-  **Attend the upcoming webinar** on November 19th. Register online at [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)
-  **Find information** on CV-SALTS (Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability) and the Nitrate Control Program at [www.cvsalts.info](http://www.cvsalts.info)



P.O. Box 8259, Fresno, CA 93747 | (559) 549-6747 | [www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)

For more information, or to register, click [here](#).

**Save the Date: California Rural Community Prosperity Virtual Summit**

OFFICE OF PARTNERSHIPS & PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

# SAVE THE DATE

## California Rural Community Prosperity Virtual Summit

Please save the date for the U.S. Department of Agriculture's **California Rural Community Prosperity Virtual Summit** – an event designed to foster hope and opportunity, asset building, and wealth creation in communities across the country.

The Summit will convene state, federal and tribal partners, land-grant universities, Hispanic serving institutions, tribal colleges, historically black colleges and universities, national development organizations, non-profit organizations, faith leaders, veterans, and other strategic partners to:

- Assist communities in the development of local prosperity councils, create wealth and build assets
- Illustrate successful practices for developing key partnerships
- Connect attendees to USDA and other relevant federal programs
- Model success for communities and future generations of communities


**Keynote By:** Karen Ross, Secretary of the California Department of Food & Agriculture

**Welcome remarks:** Mike Beatty, USDA Office of Partnerships & Public Engagement Director

Dr. Saul Jimenez-Sandoval, Provost at CSU, Fresno


Location/Date

Wednesday, December 2, 2020  
8:00 AM - 12:10 PM



Community and Economic Development

REGISTER HERE



Discovery Diversity Distinction

For more information contact:  
Eduardo Gonzalez, Office of Community & Economic Development Director at CSU, Fresno  
edgonzalez@csufresno.edu or Juan Alvarez, USDA Liaison, at Juan.Alvarez@usda.gov

To learn more, click [here](#).





ucanr



Liked by el\_boske and 18 others

ucanr A farmer's inspiration leads to a large butternut squash donation to the Central California Food Bank - just in time for the holidays. Find the link to the story and video in our bio.

## Now Hiring

[Accountant, Fresno](#)

[Water Data Management Specialist, Reedley](#)

Know of an agricultural job opportunity that should be included in Ag Wire? Email it to [info@cfb.org](mailto:info@cfb.org) to be considered for the next issue.

## Jokes of the Week

Q: Why was the Thanksgiving soup so expensive?

A: It had 24 carrots.

Q: What did the turkey say to the hunter on Thanksgiving Day?

A: Quack Quack.

Q: What do you wear to Thanksgiving dinner?

A: A har-vest.



Fresno County Farm Bureau | 1274 W. Hedges Ave., Fresno, CA 93728


[Unsubscribe rquist@krcd.org](mailto:rquist@krcd.org)

[Update Profile](#) | [About our service provider](#)

Sent by [info@fcb.org](mailto:info@fcb.org) powered by



### TARGETED OUTREACH AREAS



**16**  
key locations

English/Spanish flyers were left at 16 key locations in communities covering the northern, eastern, and southern Management Zone areas.

**11**  
community leaders

Invited to participate

Armona • Cutler • Easton  
Stratford • Oroshi PUD  
Sultana CSD • Raisin City  
Monson • Zonneveld Dairies  
Rolinda • East Oroshi

**6,014**  
potentially impacted residents reached by direct mail

### OUTREACH ACTIVITIES

#### WEBPAGE DEVELOPED

[www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater](http://www.kingsriverwqc.org/drinkingwater)

- Educational content
- Links to CV-SALTS developed resources
- Webinar sign-up
- Email list sign-up
- Webpage translation available

#### KEY LOCATIONS OUTREACH

English/Spanish webinar flyers disseminated

- Easton
- Hanford
- Armona
- Cutler
- Oroshi

#### WEBINARS SCHEDULED

- November 19
- January 28
- Spanish translation
- Live polling
- Email list promotion: Kings River Conservation District, Fresno County Farm Bureau, Management Zone

#### ORG & TRIBAL OUTREACH

Webinar invitations and outreach to


- Community leaders
- Self Help Enterprises
- Fresno Land (Fresno Bee)
- Fresno County Farm Bureau
- Kings County Farm Bureau
- Tachi Yokut Tribe



1. **Name of your Management Zone:** Kings Water Alliance

2. **Brief information about the communities within your Management Zone:**

The Kings Water Alliance serves portions of Fresno, Kings, and Tulare counties including 41 DACs and 49 DUCS which account for 8% of the total management zone. A total of six public water systems are currently out of compliance due to nitrate issues alone (estimated population served of 2,348); additionally, five public water systems are currently out of compliance due to nitrate plus additional co-contaminants (estimated population served of 382). In addition, an estimated population of 47,654 are impacted by nitrates outside of public water systems.





**website:** [kingswateralliance.org](http://kingswateralliance.org) **phone:** (559) 549-6747 **email:** [info@kingswateralliance.org](mailto:info@kingswateralliance.org)

### **3. Actions you have taken thus far to solicit community feedback:**

The Kings Water Alliance has conducted transparent outreach to encourage community feedback through virtual workshops, office hours, and surveys (additional details available in the Communications Plan in Appendix B of the Early Action Plan). Methods used include:

- Meeting notices, in English and Spanish, mailed to residents and posted at key locations for potentially impacted areas
- Local community leader engagement
- Development of electronic ([kingswateralliance.org](http://kingswateralliance.org)) and social media campaigns with opportunity to participate in direct email communications
- Targeted outreach to community-based organizations, Environmental Justice Community, media including English, Spanish, Hmong, and Punjabi and the Tachi Yokut Tribe.

### **4. The process your Management Zone will take to keep community residents involved as you finalize the EAPs and move forward into implementation of interim drinking water solutions:**

A key component of the EAP is identification and targeted outreach to residents or other entities in the Management Zone that may be obtaining their drinking water from a well impacted by nitrates levels. This targeted outreach will occur at the same time the Management Zone is implementing general community outreach activities to the entire Management Zone and will consist of a community outreach meeting, regularly scheduled workshops to provide information on services and gather feedback on interim replacement water programs, and formation of an Advisory Committee for guidance on solutions and overall outreach efforts. The Management Zone will notify the public of EAP-related community outreach events (with appropriate translation services) through the same methods described previously: Electronic (website, email) media, social media (e.g., Facebook or Nextdoor), direct mail, public announcements (e.g., through newspaper notices in local and regional media or radio advertisements, text messaging), and collaboration with management zone partners or interested parties (e.g., civic organizations, school and community service districts or houses of worship).

## APPENDIX C COMMUNITY PROFILE DATA

<b>SELECTED SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE UNITED STATES</b>		<b>United States™ Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	DP02	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2019	
DATASET:	ACSDP5Y2019	
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles	
UNIVERSE:	None	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile">https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile</a>	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>		
	<a href="https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0614218&amp;tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP02&amp;hidePreview=true">https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0614218&amp;tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP02&amp;hidePreview=true</a>	
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>		
	Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.	

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	<p>Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates</p>
	<p>Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.</p>
	<p>Ancestry listed in this table refers to the total number of people who responded with a particular ancestry; for example, the estimate given for Russian represents the number of people who listed Russian as either their first or second ancestry. This table lists only the largest ancestry groups; see the Detailed Tables for more categories. Race and Hispanic origin groups are not included in this table because official data for those groups come from the Race and Hispanic origin questions rather than the ancestry question (see Demographic Table).</p>
	<p>Data for year of entry of the native population reflect the year of entry into the U.S. by people who were born in Puerto Rico or U.S. Island Areas or born outside the U.S. to a U.S. citizen parent and who subsequently moved to the U.S.</p>
	<p>Methodological changes to citizenship edits may have affected citizenship data for those born in American Samoa. Users should be aware of these changes when using 2018 data or multi-year data containing data from 2018. For more information, see: American Samoa Citizenship User Note.</p>
	<p>The Census Bureau introduced a new set of disability questions in the 2008 ACS questionnaire. Accordingly, comparisons of disability data from 2008 or later with data from prior years are not recommended. For more information on these questions and their evaluation in the 2006 ACS Content Test, see the Evaluation Report Covering Disability.</p>

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Data about computer and Internet use were collected by asking respondents to select "Yes" or "No" to each type of computer and each type of Internet subscription. Therefore, respondents were able to select more than one type of computer and more than one type of Internet subscription.
	The category "with a broadband Internet subscription" refers to those who said "Yes" to at least one of the following types of Internet subscriptions: Broadband such as cable, fiber optic, or DSL; a cellular data plan; satellite; a fixed wireless subscription; or other non-dial up subscription types.
	An Internet "subscription" refers to a type of service that someone pays for to access the Internet such as a cellular data plan, broadband such as cable, fiber optic or DSL, or other type of service. This will normally refer to a service that someone is billed for directly for Internet alone or sometimes as part of a bundle.
	"With a computer" includes those who said "Yes" to at least one of the following types of computers: Desktop or laptop; smartphone; tablet or other portable wireless computer; or some other type of computer.
	In 2016, changes were made to the computer and Internet use questions, involving the wording as well as the response options. A crosswalk was used to map pre-2016 data to the post-2016 categories, enabling creation of 5-year data. For more detailed information about the 2016 changes, see the 2016 American Community Survey Content Test Report for Computer and Internet Use located at <a href="https://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/2017/acs/2017_Lewis_01.html">https://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/2017/acs/2017_Lewis_01.html</a> or the user note regarding changes in the 2016 questions located at <a href="https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/user-notes/2017-03.html">https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/user-notes/2017-03.html</a> . For more detailed information about the crosswalk, see the user note regarding the crosswalk located at <a href="https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/user-notes.html">https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/user-notes.html</a> .
	The 2015-2019 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the September 2018 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.
	Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<p>Explanation of Symbols: * An "***" entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.</p> <p>* An "-" entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution, or the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.</p> <p>* An "-" following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.</p> <p>* An "+" following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.</p> <p>* An "****" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.</p> <p>* An "*****" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.</p> <p>* An "N" entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.</p> <p>* An "(X)" means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.</p>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

<b>SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS</b>		<b>United States™ Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	DP04	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2019	
DATASET:	ACSDP5Y2019	
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles	
UNIVERSE:	None	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile">https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile</a>	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>		
	<a href="https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0614218&amp;tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP04&amp;hidePreview=true">https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0614218&amp;tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP04&amp;hidePreview=true</a>	
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>		
	Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.	



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	<p>Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates</p>
	<p>Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.</p>
	<p>Households not paying cash rent are excluded from the calculation of median gross rent.</p>
	<p>Telephone service data are not available for certain geographic areas due to problems with data collection of this question that occurred in 2015, 2016, and 2019. Both ACS 1-year and ACS 5-year files were affected. It may take several years in the ACS 5-year files until the estimates are available for the geographic areas affected.</p>
	<p>The 2015-2019 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the September 2018 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.</p>
	<p>Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.</p>

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<p>Explanation of Symbols: * An "***" entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.</p> <p>* An "-" entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution, or the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.</p> <p>* An "-" following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.</p> <p>* An "+" following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.</p> <p>* An "****" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.</p> <p>* An "*****" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.</p> <p>* An "N" entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.</p> <p>* An "(X)" means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.</p>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

<b>SELECTED ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS</b>		<b>United States™ Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	DP03	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2019	
DATASET:	ACSDP5Y2019	
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles	
UNIVERSE:	None	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile">https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile</a>	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>		
	<a href="https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0614218&amp;tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP03&amp;hidePreview=true">https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0614218&amp;tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP03&amp;hidePreview=true</a>	
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>		
	Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.	

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	<p>Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates</p>
	<p>Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.</p>
	<p>Employment and unemployment estimates may vary from the official labor force data released by the Bureau of Labor Statistics because of differences in survey design and data collection. For guidance on differences in employment and unemployment estimates from different sources go to Labor Force Guidance.</p>
	<p>Workers include members of the Armed Forces and civilians who were at work last week.</p>
	<p>Industry titles and their 4-digit codes are based on the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The Census industry codes for 2018 and later years are based on the 2017 revision of the NAICS. To allow for the creation of multiyear tables, industry data in the multiyear files (prior to data year 2018) were recoded to the 2017 Census industry codes. We recommend using caution when comparing data coded using 2017 Census industry codes with data coded using Census industry codes prior to data year 2018. For more information on the Census industry code changes, please visit our website at <a href="https://www.census.gov/topics/employment/industry-occupation/guidance/code-lists.html">https://www.census.gov/topics/employment/industry-occupation/guidance/code-lists.html</a>.</p>

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<p>Logical coverage edits applying a rules-based assignment of Medicaid, Medicare and military health coverage were added as of 2009 -- please see <a href="https://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/2010/demo/coverage_edits_final.html">https://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/2010/demo/coverage_edits_final.html</a> for more details. Select geographies of 2008 data comparable to the 2009 and later tables are available at <a href="https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/acs/1-year-re-run-health-insurance.html">https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/acs/1-year-re-run-health-insurance.html</a>. The health insurance coverage category names were modified in 2010. See <a href="https://www.census.gov/topics/health/health-insurance/about/glossary.html#par_textimage_18">https://www.census.gov/topics/health/health-insurance/about/glossary.html#par_textimage_18</a> for a list of the insurance type definitions.</p>
	<p>Beginning in 2017, selected variable categories were updated, including age-categories, income-to-poverty ratio (IPR) categories, and the age universe for certain employment and education variables. See user note entitled "Health Insurance Table Updates" for further details.</p>
	<p>2019 ACS data products include updates to several categories of the existing means of transportation question. For more information, see: <a href="#">Change to Means of Transportation</a>.</p>
	<p>Between 2018 and 2019 the American Community Survey retirement income question changed. These changes resulted in an increase in both the number of households reporting retirement income and higher aggregate retirement income at the national level. For more information see <a href="#">Changes to the Retirement Income Question</a> .</p>
	<p>The categories for relationship to householder were revised in 2019. For more information see <a href="#">Revisions to the Relationship to Household item</a>.</p>
	<p>Beginning in data year 2019, respondents to the Weeks Worked question provided an integer value for the number of weeks worked. For data years 2008 through 2018, respondents selected a category corresponding to the number of weeks worked.</p>
	<p>Occupation titles and their 4-digit codes are based on the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC). The Census occupation codes for 2018 and later years are based on the 2018 revision of the SOC. To allow for the creation of the multiyear tables, occupation data in the multiyear files (prior to data year 2018) were recoded to the 2018 Census occupation codes. We recommend using caution when comparing data coded using 2018 Census occupation codes with data coded using Census occupation codes prior to data year 2018. For more information on the Census occupation code changes, please visit our website at <a href="https://www.census.gov/topics/employment/industry-occupation/guidance/code-lists.html">https://www.census.gov/topics/employment/industry-occupation/guidance/code-lists.html</a>.</p>

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<p>In 2019, methodological changes were made to the class of worker question. These changes involved modifications to the question wording, the category wording, and the visual format of the categories on the questionnaire. The format for the class of worker categories are now listed under the headings "Private Sector Employee," "Government Employee," and "Self-Employed or Other." Additionally, the category of Active Duty was added as one of the response categories under the "Government Employee" section for the mail questionnaire. For more detailed information about the 2019 changes, see the 2016 American Community Survey Content Test Report for Class of Worker located at <a href="http://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/2017/acs/2017_Martinez_01.html">http://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/2017/acs/2017_Martinez_01.html</a>.</p>
	<p>The 2015-2019 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the September 2018 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.</p>
	<p>Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.</p>

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<p>Explanation of Symbols: * An "***" entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* An "-" entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution, or the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.</li> <li>* An "-" following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.</li> <li>* An "+" following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.</li> <li>* An "****" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.</li> <li>* An "*****" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.</li> <li>* An "N" entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.</li> <li>* An "(X)" means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.</li> </ul>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

<b>ACS DEMOGRAPHIC AND HOUSING ESTIMATES</b>		<b>United States™ Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	DP05	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2019	
DATASET:	ACSDP5Y2019	
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles	
UNIVERSE:	None	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile">https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile</a>	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>		
	<a href="https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0614218&amp;tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP05&amp;hidePreview=true">https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0614218&amp;tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP05&amp;hidePreview=true</a>	
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>		
	Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.	



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	<p>Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates</p>
	<p>Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.</p>
	<p>For more information on understanding race and Hispanic origin data, please see the Census 2010 Brief entitled, <i>Overview of Race and Hispanic Origin: 2010</i>, issued March 2011. (pdf format)</p>
	<p>The 2015-2019 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the September 2018 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.</p>
	<p>Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.</p>

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<p>Explanation of Symbols: * An "***" entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.</p> <p>* An "-" entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution, or the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.</p> <p>* An "-" following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.</p> <p>* An "+" following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.</p> <p>* An "****" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.</p> <p>* An "*****" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.</p> <p>* An "N" entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.</p> <p>* An "(X)" means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.</p>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

## SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

---

TABLE ID: DP04  
 SURVEY/PROGRAM: American Community Survey  
 PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles

**Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.**

	Armona CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	1,274	1,274
Occupied housing units	1,197	94.0%
Vacant housing units	77	6.0%
Homeowner vacancy rate	2.5	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	4.3	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	1,274	1,274
1-unit, detached	1,095	85.9%
1-unit, attached	49	3.8%
2 units	52	4.1%
3 or 4 units	49	3.8%
5 to 9 units	9	0.7%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	20	1.6%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	1,274	1,274
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	9	0.7%
Built 2000 to 2009	331	26.0%
Built 1990 to 1999	114	8.9%

Built 1980 to 1989	172	13.5%
Built 1970 to 1979	217	17.0%
Built 1960 to 1969	190	14.9%
Built 1950 to 1959	142	11.1%
Built 1940 to 1949	35	2.7%
Built 1939 or earlier	64	5.0%

#### ROOMS

Total housing units	1,274	1,274
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	57	4.5%
4 rooms	175	13.7%
5 rooms	567	44.5%
6 rooms	426	33.4%
7 rooms	49	3.8%
8 rooms	0	0.0%
9 rooms or more	0	0.0%
Median rooms	5.2	(X)

#### BEDROOMS

Total housing units	1,274	1,274
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	30	2.4%
2 bedrooms	182	14.3%
3 bedrooms	716	56.2%
4 bedrooms	327	25.7%
5 or more bedrooms	19	1.5%

#### HOUSING TENURE

Occupied housing units	1,197	1,197
Owner-occupied	656	54.8%
Renter-occupied	541	45.2%
Average household size of owner	3.50	(X)
Average household size of renter	3.89	(X)

#### YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO U

Occupied housing units	1,197	1,197
------------------------	-------	-------

Moved in 2017 or later	75	6.3%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	179	15.0%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	271	22.6%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	483	40.4%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	39	3.3%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	150	12.5%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	1,197	1,197
No vehicles available	66	5.5%
1 vehicle available	328	27.4%
2 vehicles available	514	42.9%
3 or more vehicles available	289	24.1%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	1,197	1,197
Utility gas	1,034	86.4%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	4	0.3%
Electricity	93	7.8%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	30	2.5%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	36	3.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	1,197	1,197
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	17	1.4%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	13	1.1%
No telephone service available	16	1.3%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	1,197	1,197
1.00 or less	1,160	96.9%
1.01 to 1.50	21	1.8%
1.51 or more	16	1.3%
VALUE		

Owner-occupied units	656	656
Less than \$50,000	23	3.5%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	31	4.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	265	40.4%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	220	33.5%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	45	6.9%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	45	6.9%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	27	4.1%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	151,500	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	656	656
Housing units with a mortgage	599	91.3%
Housing units without a mortgage	57	8.7%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS		
Housing units with a mortgage	599	599
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	211	35.2%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	320	53.4%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	64	10.7%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	4	0.7%
Median (dollars)	1,120	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	57	57
Less than \$250	8	14.0%
\$250 to \$399	44	77.2%
\$400 to \$599	5	8.8%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	337	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS		
Housing units with a mortgage (exc	599	599

Less than 20.0 percent	231	38.6%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	126	21.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	52	8.7%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	77	12.9%
35.0 percent or more	113	18.9%
Not computed	0	(X)
Housing unit without a mortgage (€57		57
Less than 10.0 percent	31	54.4%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	10	17.5%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	16	28.1%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
<b>GROSS RENT</b>		
Occupied units paying rent	525	525
Less than \$500	45	8.6%
\$500 to \$999	174	33.1%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	287	54.7%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	19	3.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	1,052	(X)
No rent paid	16	(X)
<b>GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF H</b>		
Occupied units paying rent (excludi	525	525
Less than 15.0 percent	35	6.7%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	106	20.2%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	72	13.7%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	58	11.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	27	5.1%
35.0 percent or more	227	43.2%

Not computed

16

(X)

---

**DATA NOTES**

TABLE ID DP04  
SURVEY/PROGRAM American Community Survey  
VINTAGE 2019  
DATASET ACSDP5Y2019  
PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles  
FTP URL: None  
API URL: Download the entire table at <https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile>

**USER SELECTIONS**

GEOS Armona CDP, California; California

**EXCLUDED COLUMNS**

None

**APPLIED FILTERS**

None

**APPLIED SORTING**

None

**WEB ADDRESS**

[https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06\\_1600000US0602700&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP04&hidePreview=true](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0602700&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP04&hidePreview=true)



## ACS DEMOGRAPHIC AND HOUSING ESTIMATES

---

TABLE ID: DP05  
 SURVEY/PROGRAM: American Community Survey  
 PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles

**Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.**

	Armona CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	4,407	4,407
Male	2,380	54.0%
Female	2,027	46.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	117.4	(X)
Under 5 years	190	4.3%
5 to 9 years	354	8.0%
10 to 14 years	638	14.5%
15 to 19 years	414	9.4%
20 to 24 years	446	10.1%
25 to 34 years	386	8.8%
35 to 44 years	672	15.2%
45 to 54 years	560	12.7%
55 to 59 years	177	4.0%
60 to 64 years	116	2.6%
65 to 74 years	360	8.2%
75 to 84 years	71	1.6%
85 years and over	23	0.5%
Median age (years)	27.5	(X)
Under 18 years	1,462	33.2%
16 years and over	3,147	71.4%
18 years and over	2,945	66.8%
21 years and over	2,687	61.0%
62 years and over	508	11.5%
65 years and over	454	10.3%
18 years and over	2,945	2,945
Male	1,639	55.7%
Female	1,306	44.3%

Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	125.5	(X)
65 years and over	454	454
Male	277	61.0%
Female	177	39.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	156.5	(X)
RACE		
Total population	4,407	4,407
One race	4,181	94.9%
Two or more races	226	5.1%
One race	4,181	94.9%
White	2,448	55.5%
Black or African American	302	6.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	47	1.1%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	23	0.5%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	179	4.1%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	139	3.2%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	40	0.9%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	1,205	27.3%
Two or more races	226	5.1%
White and Black or African American	35	0.8%
White and American Indian and Alaska N	25	0.6%
White and Asian	25	0.6%
Black or African American and American	50	1.1%
Race alone or in combination with one or more		
Total population	4,407	4,407
White	2,606	59.1%

Black or African American	387	8.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	140	3.2%
Asian	222	5.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	1,278	29.0%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	4,407	4,407
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	3,094	70.2%
Mexican	2,998	68.0%
Puerto Rican	49	1.1%
Cuban	18	0.4%
Other Hispanic or Latino	29	0.7%
Not Hispanic or Latino	1,313	29.8%
White alone	675	15.3%
Black or African American alone	302	6.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	23	0.5%
Asian alone	179	4.1%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	134	3.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race,	134	3.0%
Total housing units	1,274	(X)
<b>CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION</b>		
Citizen, 18 and over population	2,522	2,522
Male	1,423	56.4%
Female	1,099	43.6%

**DATA NOTES**

TABLE ID	DP05
SURVEY/PROGRAM	American Community Survey
VINTAGE	2019
DATASET	ACSDP5Y2019
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles
FTP URL:	None
API URL:	Download the entire table at <a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile">https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile</a>

**USER SELECTIONS**

GEOS

Armona CDP, California; California

**EXCLUDED COLUMNS**

None

**APPLIED FILTERS**

None

**APPLIED SORTING**

None

**WEB ADDRESS**[https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06\\_1600000US0602700&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP05&hidePreview=true](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0602700&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP05&hidePreview=true)

## SELECTED ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

TABLE ID: DP03  
 SURVEY/PROGRAM: American Community Survey  
 PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles

**Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.**

Label	Armona CDP, California	
	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	3,147	3,147
In labor force	1,694	53.8%
Civilian labor force	1,694	53.8%
Employed	1,565	49.7%
Unemployed	129	4.1%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	1,453	46.2%
Civilian labor force	1,694	1,694
Unemployment Rate	(X)	7.6%
Females 16 years and over	1,428	1,428
In labor force	655	45.9%
Civilian labor force	655	45.9%
Employed	598	41.9%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	245	245
All parents in family in labor force	121	49.4%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	1,098	1,098
All parents in family in labor force	617	56.2%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	1,449	1,449
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	968	66.8%
Car, truck, or van -- carpooled	328	22.6%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	9	0.6%
Other means	42	2.9%
Worked from home	102	7.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	21.4	(X)
<b>OCCUPATION</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,565	1,565
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	278	17.8%
Service occupations	243	15.5%
Sales and office occupations	311	19.9%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	345	22.0%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	388	24.8%
<b>INDUSTRY</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,565	1,565
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	228	14.6%
Construction	80	5.1%
Manufacturing	179	11.4%
Wholesale trade	37	2.4%

Retail trade	272	17.4%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	80	5.1%
Information	9	0.6%
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	16	1.0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and wa	94	6.0%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	224	14.3%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food s	47	3.0%
Other services, except public administration	194	12.4%
Public administration	105	6.7%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,565	1,565
Private wage and salary workers	1,286	82.2%
Government workers	225	14.4%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	54	3.5%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	1,197	1,197
Less than \$10,000	13	1.1%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	82	6.9%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	132	11.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	189	15.8%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	190	15.9%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	246	20.6%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	203	17.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	121	10.1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	21	1.8%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	48,828	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	56,780	(X)
With earnings	1,007	84.1%
Mean earnings (dollars)	51,632	(X)
With Social Security	269	22.5%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	19,875	(X)
With retirement income	148	12.4%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	18,647	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	97	8.1%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	9,006	(X)
With cash public assistance income	25	2.1%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	N	(X)
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	247	20.6%
Families	994	994
Less than \$10,000	43	4.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	41	4.1%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	125	12.6%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	127	12.8%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	142	14.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	246	24.7%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	179	18.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	70	7.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	21	2.1%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%

Median family income (dollars)	50,534	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	55,898	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	16,547	(X)
Nonfamily households	203	203
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	55,758	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	25,938	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	38,102	(X)
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	30,962	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	4,407	4,407
With health insurance coverage	4,070	92.4%
With private health insurance	2,276	51.6%
With public coverage	2,229	50.6%
No health insurance coverage	337	7.6%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	1,547	1,547
No health insurance coverage	69	4.5%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	2,406	2,406
In labor force:	1,529	1,529
Employed:	1,400	1,400
With health insurance coverage	1,240	88.6%
With private health insurance	960	68.6%
With public coverage	355	25.4%
No health insurance coverage	160	11.4%
Unemployed:	129	129
With health insurance coverage	118	91.5%
With private health insurance	15	11.6%
With public coverage	103	79.8%
No health insurance coverage	11	8.5%
Not in labor force:	877	877
With health insurance coverage	780	88.9%
With private health insurance	229	26.1%
With public coverage	552	62.9%
No health insurance coverage	97	11.1%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12		
All families	(X)	17.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	25.5%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Married couple families	(X)	10.2%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	14.5%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	35.9%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	46.4%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
All people	(X)	18.5%
Under 18 years	(X)	25.6%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	25.6%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	24.7%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	25.7%
18 years and over	(X)	15.3%
18 to 64 years	(X)	16.7%

65 years and over	(X)	7.3%
People in families	(X)	16.3%
Unrelated individuals 15 years and over	(X)	41.3%

---

**DATA NOTES**

TABLE ID DP03  
SURVEY/PROGRAM American Community Survey  
VINTAGE 2019  
DATASET ACSDP5Y2019  
PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles  
FTP URL: None  
API URL: Download the entire table at <https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile>

**USER SELECTIONS**

GEOS Armona CDP, California; California

**EXCLUDED COLUMNS**

None

**APPLIED FILTERS**

None

**APPLIED SORTING**

None

**WEB ADDRESS**

[https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06\\_1600000US0602700&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP03&hidePreview=true](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0602700&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP03&hidePreview=true)



**SELECTED SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE UNITED STATES**

TABLE ID: DP02  
 SURVEY/PROGRAM: American Community Survey  
 PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles

**Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.**

Armona CDP, California		
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE</b>		
Total households	1,197	1,197
Married-couple family	707	59.1%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	443	37.0%
Cohabiting couple household	55	4.6%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	28	2.3%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	151	12.6%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	34	2.8%
Householder living alone	65	5.4%
65 years and over	12	1.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	284	23.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	124	10.4%
Householder living alone	95	7.9%
65 years and over	22	1.8%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	687	57.4%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	305	25.5%
Average household size	3.68	(X)
Average family size	3.94	(X)
<b>RELATIONSHIP</b>		
Population in households	4,403	4,403
Householder	1,197	27.2%
Spouse	761	17.3%
Unmarried partner	61	1.4%
Child	1,890	42.9%
Other relatives	269	6.1%
Other nonrelatives	225	5.1%
<b>MARITAL STATUS</b>		
Males 15 years and over	1,747	1,747
Never married	796	45.6%
Now married, except separated	809	46.3%
Separated	19	1.1%
Widowed	23	1.3%
Divorced	100	5.7%
Females 15 years and over	1,478	1,478
Never married	422	28.6%
Now married, except separated	756	51.2%

Separated	82	5.5%
Widowed	75	5.1%
Divorced	143	9.7%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the pa17		17
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	0	0.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	18	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	68	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
GRANDPARENTS		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under :	121	121
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	0.0%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren un	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	1,747	1,747
Nursery school, preschool	39	2.2%
Kindergarten	76	4.4%
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	755	43.2%
High school (grades 9-12)	421	24.1%
College or graduate school	456	26.1%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	2,365	2,365
Less than 9th grade	393	16.6%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	356	15.1%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	613	25.9%
Some college, no degree	649	27.4%
Associate's degree	120	5.1%
Bachelor's degree	142	6.0%
Graduate or professional degree	92	3.9%
High school graduate or higher	1,616	68.3%
Bachelor's degree or higher	234	9.9%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	2,945	2,945
Civilian veterans	263	8.9%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	4,407	4,407
With a disability	456	10.3%
Under 18 years	1,462	1,462
With a disability	36	2.5%

18 to 64 years	2,491	2,491
With a disability	243	9.8%
65 years and over	454	454
With a disability	177	39.0%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	4,391	4,391
Same house	3,860	87.9%
Different house in the U.S.	531	12.1%
Same county	404	9.2%
Different county	127	2.9%
Same state	120	2.7%
Different state	7	0.2%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	4,407	4,407
Native	3,461	78.5%
Born in United States	3,408	77.3%
State of residence	2,876	65.3%
Different state	532	12.1%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to Americans	53	1.2%
Foreign born	946	21.5%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	946	946
Naturalized U.S. citizen	476	50.3%
Not a U.S. citizen	470	49.7%
YEAR OF ENTRY		
Population born outside the United States	999	999
Native	53	53
Entered 2010 or later	7	13.2%
Entered before 2010	46	86.8%
Foreign born	946	946
Entered 2010 or later	20	2.1%
Entered before 2010	926	97.9%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	946	946
Europe	19	2.0%
Asia	123	13.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	804	85.0%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	4,217	4,217
English only	2,135	50.6%
Language other than English	2,082	49.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	1,189	28.2%
Spanish	1,902	45.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	1,061	25.2%

Other Indo-European languages	38	0.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	19	0.5%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	142	3.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	109	2.6%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	4,407	4,407
American	24	0.5%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	51	1.2%
French (except Basque)	75	1.7%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	77	1.7%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	86	2.0%
Italian	70	1.6%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	24	0.5%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	12	0.3%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%
Scottish	5	0.1%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	13	0.3%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
<b>COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE</b>		
Total households	1,197	1,197
With a computer	1,122	93.7%
With a broadband Internet subscription	871	72.8%

---

**DATA NOTES**

TABLE ID	DP02
SURVEY/PROGRAM	American Community Survey
VINTAGE	2019
DATASET	ACSDP5Y2019
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles

FTP URL:

None

API URL:

Download the entire table at <https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile>

**USER SELECTIONS**

GEOS

Armona CDP, California; California

**EXCLUDED COLUMNS**

None

**APPLIED FILTERS**

None

**APPLIED SORTING**

None

**WEB ADDRESS**

[https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06\\_1600000US0602700&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP02&hidePreview=true](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0602700&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP02&hidePreview=true)

## ACS DEMOGRAPHIC AND HOUSING ESTIMATES

---

TABLE ID: DP05  
 SURVEY/PROGRAM: American Community Survey  
 PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles

**Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.**

	Centerville CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	379	379
Male	197	52.0%
Female	182	48.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	108.2	(X)
Under 5 years	35	9.2%
5 to 9 years	0	0.0%
10 to 14 years	20	5.3%
15 to 19 years	38	10.0%
20 to 24 years	13	3.4%
25 to 34 years	66	17.4%
35 to 44 years	70	18.5%
45 to 54 years	18	4.7%
55 to 59 years	0	0.0%
60 to 64 years	42	11.1%
65 to 74 years	59	15.6%
75 to 84 years	13	3.4%
85 years and over	5	1.3%
Median age (years)	37.5	(X)
Under 18 years	87	23.0%
16 years and over	316	83.4%
18 years and over	292	77.0%
21 years and over	286	75.5%
62 years and over	94	24.8%
65 years and over	77	20.3%
18 years and over	292	292
Male	153	52.4%
Female	139	47.6%

Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	110.1	(X)
65 years and over	77	77
Male	42	54.5%
Female	35	45.5%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	120.0	(X)
RACE		
Total population	379	379
One race	379	100.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
One race	379	100.0%
White	268	70.7%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	7	1.8%
Cherokee tribal grouping	7	1.8%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	6	1.6%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	6	1.6%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	98	25.9%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more		
Total population	379	379
White	268	70.7%

Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	7	1.8%
Asian	6	1.6%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	98	25.9%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	379	379
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	163	43.0%
Mexican	156	41.2%
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	7	1.8%
Not Hispanic or Latino	216	57.0%
White alone	203	53.6%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	7	1.8%
Asian alone	6	1.6%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race,	0	0.0%
Total housing units	146	(X)
<b>CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION</b>		
Citizen, 18 and over population	243	243
Male	139	57.2%
Female	104	42.8%

---

**DATA NOTES**

TABLE ID	DP05
SURVEY/PROGRAM	American Community Survey
VINTAGE	2019
DATASET	ACSDP5Y2019
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles
FTP URL:	None
API URL:	Download the entire table at <a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile">https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile</a>



**USER SELECTIONS**

GEOS

Centerville CDP, California; California

**EXCLUDED COLUMNS**

None

**APPLIED FILTERS**

None

**APPLIED SORTING**

None

**WEB ADDRESS**[https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06\\_1600000US0612412&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP05&hidePreview=true](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0612412&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP05&hidePreview=true)

**SELECTED ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS**

TABLE ID: DP03  
 SURVEY/PROGRAM: American Community Survey  
 PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles

**Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.**

Centerville CDP, California		
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	316	316
In labor force	159	50.3%
Civilian labor force	159	50.3%
Employed	145	45.9%
Unemployed	14	4.4%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	157	49.7%
Civilian labor force	159	159
Unemployment Rate	(X)	8.8%
Females 16 years and over	139	139
In labor force	78	56.1%
Civilian labor force	78	56.1%
Employed	78	56.1%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	35	35
All parents in family in labor force	35	100.0%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	52	52
All parents in family in labor force	20	38.5%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	145	145
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	129	89.0%
Car, truck, or van -- carpooled	0	0.0%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	0	0.0%
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	16	11.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	19.1	(X)
<b>OCCUPATION</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	145	145
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	27	18.6%
Service occupations	49	33.8%
Sales and office occupations	56	38.6%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	13	9.0%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	0	0.0%
<b>INDUSTRY</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	145	145
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	14	9.7%
Construction	7	4.8%
Manufacturing	0	0.0%
Wholesale trade	0	0.0%

Retail trade	0	0.0%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	17	11.7%
Information	0	0.0%
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	26	17.9%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and wa	24	16.6%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	26	17.9%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food si	12	8.3%
Other services, except public administration	13	9.0%
Public administration	6	4.1%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	145	145
Private wage and salary workers	63	43.4%
Government workers	36	24.8%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	46	31.7%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	125	125
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	24	19.2%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	12	9.6%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	0	0.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	20	16.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	11	8.8%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	39	31.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	14	11.2%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	5	4.0%
Median household income (dollars)	60,250	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	67,960	(X)
With earnings	75	60.0%
Mean earnings (dollars)	66,656	(X)
With Social Security	48	38.4%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	24,044	(X)
With retirement income	31	24.8%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	12,245	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	18	14.4%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	N	(X)
With cash public assistance income	0	0.0%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	-	(X)
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	9	7.2%
Families	84	84
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	0	0.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	0	0.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	20	23.8%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	11	13.1%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	39	46.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	9	10.7%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	5	6.0%

Median family income (dollars)	88,571	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	87,032	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	23,419	(X)
Nonfamily households	41	41
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	13,542	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	24,254	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	21,496	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	21,574	(X)
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	46,324	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	379	379
With health insurance coverage	379	100.0%
With private health insurance	320	84.4%
With public coverage	171	45.1%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	87	87
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	215	215
In labor force:	142	142
Employed:	128	128
With health insurance coverage	128	100.0%
With private health insurance	108	84.4%
With public coverage	29	22.7%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Unemployed:	14	14
With health insurance coverage	14	100.0%
With private health insurance	14	100.0%
With public coverage	0	0.0%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Not in labor force:	73	73
With health insurance coverage	73	100.0%
With private health insurance	48	65.8%
With public coverage	37	50.7%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12		
All families	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Married couple families	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	6.3%
Under 18 years	(X)	0.0%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	0.0%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	0.0%
18 years and over	(X)	8.2%
18 to 64 years	(X)	8.4%

65 years and over	(X)	7.8%
People in families	(X)	0.0%
Unrelated individuals 15 years and over	(X)	42.1%

---

**DATA NOTES**

TABLE ID: DP03  
SURVEY/PROGRAM: American Community Survey  
VINTAGE: 2019  
DATASET: ACSDP5Y2019  
PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles  
FTP URL: None  
API URL: Download the entire table at <https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile>

**USER SELECTIONS**

GEOS: Centerville CDP, California; California

**EXCLUDED COLUMNS**

None

**APPLIED FILTERS**

None

**APPLIED SORTING**

None

**WEB ADDRESS**

[https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06\\_1600000US0612412&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP03&hidePreview=true](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0612412&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP03&hidePreview=true)

**TABLE NOTES:**

## SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

---

TABLE ID: DP04  
 SURVEY/PROGRAM: American Community Survey  
 PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles

**Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.**

Centerville CDP, California		
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>HOUSING OCCUPANCY</b>		
Total housing units	146	146
Occupied housing units	125	85.6%
Vacant housing units	21	14.4%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	18.4	(X)
<b>UNITS IN STRUCTURE</b>		
Total housing units	146	146
1-unit, detached	123	84.2%
1-unit, attached	0	0.0%
2 units	0	0.0%
3 or 4 units	5	3.4%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	18	12.3%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
<b>YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT</b>		
Total housing units	146	146
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	0	0.0%
Built 1990 to 1999	31	21.2%

Built 1980 to 1989	14	9.6%
Built 1970 to 1979	41	28.1%
Built 1960 to 1969	12	8.2%
Built 1950 to 1959	39	26.7%
Built 1940 to 1949	0	0.0%
Built 1939 or earlier	9	6.2%

#### ROOMS

Total housing units	146	146
1 room	12	8.2%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	0	0.0%
4 rooms	37	25.3%
5 rooms	35	24.0%
6 rooms	12	8.2%
7 rooms	41	28.1%
8 rooms	9	6.2%
9 rooms or more	0	0.0%
Median rooms	5.2	(X)

#### BEDROOMS

Total housing units	146	146
No bedroom	12	8.2%
1 bedroom	6	4.1%
2 bedrooms	47	32.2%
3 bedrooms	67	45.9%
4 bedrooms	14	9.6%
5 or more bedrooms	0	0.0%

#### HOUSING TENURE

Occupied housing units	125	125
Owner-occupied	54	43.2%
Renter-occupied	71	56.8%
Average household size of owner	3.28	(X)
Average household size of renter	2.85	(X)

#### YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO U

Occupied housing units	125	125
------------------------	-----	-----

Moved in 2017 or later	0	0.0%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	17	13.6%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	48	38.4%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	24	19.2%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	23	18.4%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	13	10.4%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	125	125
No vehicles available	5	4.0%
1 vehicle available	30	24.0%
2 vehicles available	54	43.2%
3 or more vehicles available	36	28.8%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	125	125
Utility gas	12	9.6%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	37	29.6%
Electricity	51	40.8%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	8	6.4%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	17	13.6%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	125	125
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	6	4.8%
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	125	125
1.00 or less	116	92.8%
1.01 to 1.50	9	7.2%
1.51 or more	0	0.0%
VALUE		



Owner-occupied units	54	54
Less than \$50,000	6	11.1%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	6	11.1%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	13	24.1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	16	29.6%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	0	0.0%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	13	24.1%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	206,300	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	54	54
Housing units with a mortgage	16	29.6%
Housing units without a mortgage	38	70.4%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS		
Housing units with a mortgage	16	16
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	7	43.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	9	56.3%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	38	38
Less than \$250	6	15.8%
\$250 to \$399	13	34.2%
\$400 to \$599	6	15.8%
\$600 to \$799	5	13.2%
\$800 to \$999	8	21.1%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	400	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS		
Housing units with a mortgage (exc	16	16

Less than 20.0 percent	16	100.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
Housing unit without a mortgage (€ 38		38
Less than 10.0 percent	5	13.2%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	15	39.5%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	6	15.8%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	6	15.8%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	6	15.8%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	64	64
Less than \$500	29	45.3%
\$500 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	18	28.1%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	17	26.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
No rent paid	7	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF H		
Occupied units paying rent (excludi	64	64
Less than 15.0 percent	16	25.0%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	17	26.6%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	31	48.4%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%

Not computed

7

(X)

---

**DATA NOTES**

TABLE ID DP04  
SURVEY/PROGRAM American Community Survey  
VINTAGE 2019  
DATASET ACSDP5Y2019  
PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles  
FTP URL: None  
API URL: Download the entire table at <https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile>

**USER SELECTIONS**

GEOS Centerville CDP, California; California

**EXCLUDED COLUMNS**

None

**APPLIED FILTERS**

None

**APPLIED SORTING**

None

**WEB ADDRESS**

[https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06\\_1600000US0612412&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP04&hidePreview=true](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0612412&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP04&hidePreview=true)

## SELECTED SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE UNITED STATES

TABLE ID: DP02  
 SURVEY/PROGRAM: American Community Survey  
 PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles

**Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.**

	Centerville CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE</b>		
Total households	125	125
Married-couple family	75	60.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	42	33.6%
Cohabiting couple household	9	7.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	9	7.2%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	35	28.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	30	24.0%
65 years and over	12	9.6%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	6	4.8%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	6	4.8%
65 years and over	6	4.8%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	51	40.8%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	48	38.4%
Average household size	3.03	(X)
Average family size	3.83	(X)
<b>RELATIONSHIP</b>		
Population in households	379	379
Householder	125	33.0%
Spouse	79	20.8%
Unmarried partner	10	2.6%
Child	93	24.5%
Other relatives	66	17.4%
Other nonrelatives	6	1.6%
<b>MARITAL STATUS</b>		
Males 15 years and over	177	177
Never married	61	34.5%
Now married, except separated	81	45.8%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	23	13.0%
Divorced	12	6.8%
Females 15 years and over	147	147
Never married	50	34.0%
Now married, except separated	73	49.7%

Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	6	4.1%
Divorced	18	12.2%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the pa13		13
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	0	0.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	131	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	371	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
GRANDPARENTS		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under :	0	0
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	-
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	-
1 or 2 years	0	-
3 or 4 years	0	-
5 or more years	0	-
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren un	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	58	58
Nursery school, preschool	0	0.0%
Kindergarten	0	0.0%
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	20	34.5%
High school (grades 9-12)	32	55.2%
College or graduate school	6	10.3%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	273	273
Less than 9th grade	0	0.0%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	56	20.5%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	61	22.3%
Some college, no degree	124	45.4%
Associate's degree	20	7.3%
Bachelor's degree	12	4.4%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	217	79.5%
Bachelor's degree or higher	12	4.4%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	292	292
Civilian veterans	25	8.6%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	379	379
With a disability	61	16.1%
Under 18 years	87	87
With a disability	0	0.0%

18 to 64 years	215	215
With a disability	39	18.1%
65 years and over	77	77
With a disability	22	28.6%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	362	362
Same house	264	72.9%
Different house in the U.S.	98	27.1%
Same county	98	27.1%
Different county	0	0.0%
Same state	0	0.0%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	379	379
Native	292	77.0%
Born in United States	292	77.0%
State of residence	229	60.4%
Different state	63	16.6%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to Americans	0	0.0%
Foreign born	87	23.0%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	87	87
Naturalized U.S. citizen	38	43.7%
Not a U.S. citizen	49	56.3%
YEAR OF ENTRY		
Population born outside the United States	87	87
Native	0	0
Entered 2010 or later	0	-
Entered before 2010	0	-
Foreign born	87	87
Entered 2010 or later	14	16.1%
Entered before 2010	73	83.9%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	87	87
Europe	6	6.9%
Asia	6	6.9%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	75	86.2%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	344	344
English only	224	65.1%
Language other than English	120	34.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	46	13.4%
Spanish	108	31.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	40	11.6%

Other Indo-European languages	6	1.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	6	1.7%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	6	1.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	379	379
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	13	3.4%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	25	6.6%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	9	2.4%
Irish	6	1.6%
Italian	11	2.9%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	0	0.0%
Russian	6	1.6%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
<b>COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE</b>		
Total households	125	125
With a computer	106	84.8%
With a broadband Internet subscription	68	54.4%

---

**DATA NOTES**

TABLE ID	DP02
SURVEY/PROGRAM	American Community Survey
VINTAGE	2019
DATASET	ACSDP5Y2019
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles

FTP URL:

None

API URL:

Download the entire table at <https://api.census.gov/data/2019/acs/acs5/profile>

**USER SELECTIONS**

GEOS

Centerville CDP, California; California

**EXCLUDED COLUMNS**

None

**APPLIED FILTERS**

None

**APPLIED SORTING**

None

**WEB ADDRESS**

[https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06\\_1600000US0612412&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP02&hidePreview=true](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US06_1600000US0612412&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP02&hidePreview=true)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	37,160	37,160
Married-couple family	19,160	51.6%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	8,764	23.6%
Cohabiting couple household	2,734	7.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	1,337	3.6%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	5,528	14.9%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	662	1.8%
Householder living alone	3,296	8.9%
65 years and over	1,146	3.1%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	9,738	26.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	2,326	6.3%
Unmarried partner	2,746	2.5%
Child	37,979	35.0%
Other relatives	7,849	7.2%
Other nonrelatives	3,799	3.5%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	40,622	40,622
Never married	14,364	35.4%
Now married, except separated	20,852	51.3%
Separated	647	1.6%
Widowed	787	1.9%
Divorced	3,972	9.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Females 15 years and over	42,923	42,923
Never married	12,585	29.3%
Now married, except separated	20,821	48.5%
Separated	921	2.1%
Widowed	3,305	7.7%
Divorced	5,291	12.3%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	1,225	1,225
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	266	21.7%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	19	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	47	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	6	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	76	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	29	(X)
GRANDPARENTS		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	2,296	2,296
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	611	26.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	61	2.7%
1 or 2 years	222	9.7%
3 or 4 years	78	3.4%
5 or more years	250	10.9%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	611	611
Who are female	366	59.9%
Who are married	424	69.4%
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	33,487	33,487
Nursery school, preschool	1,603	4.8%
Kindergarten	2,446	7.3%
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	13,712	40.9%
High school (grades 9-12)	6,546	19.5%
College or graduate school	9,180	27.4%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	69,408	69,408
Less than 9th grade	2,385	3.4%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	3,636	5.2%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	13,845	19.9%
Some college, no degree	19,453	28.0%
Associate's degree	7,437	10.7%
Bachelor's degree	14,682	21.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Graduate or professional degree	7,970	11.5%
High school graduate or higher	63,387	91.3%
Bachelor's degree or higher	22,652	32.6%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	78,619	78,619
Civilian veterans	5,655	7.2%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	108,641	108,641
With a disability	12,955	11.9%
Under 18 years	30,252	30,252
With a disability	1,465	4.8%
18 to 64 years	64,508	64,508
With a disability	6,276	9.7%
65 years and over	13,881	13,881
With a disability	5,214	37.6%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	107,801	107,801
Same house	91,749	85.1%
Different house in the U.S.	15,620	14.5%
Same county	11,958	11.1%
Different county	3,662	3.4%
Same state	2,556	2.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Clovis city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Different state	1,106	1.0%
Abroad	432	0.4%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	109,160	109,160
Native	95,833	87.8%
Born in United States	94,796	86.8%
State of residence	77,650	71.1%
Different state	17,146	15.7%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	1,037	0.9%
Foreign born	13,327	12.2%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	13,327	13,327
Naturalized U.S. citizen	8,290	62.2%
Not a U.S. citizen	5,037	37.8%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		
Population born outside the United States	14,364	14,364
Native	1,037	1,037
Entered 2010 or later	145	14.0%
Entered before 2010	892	86.0%
Foreign born	13,327	13,327
Entered 2010 or later	1,989	14.9%
Entered before 2010	11,338	85.1%
<b>WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN</b>		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	13,327	13,327

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Europe	1,014	7.6%
Asia	6,954	52.2%
Africa	605	4.5%
Oceania	270	2.0%
Latin America	4,242	31.8%
Northern America	242	1.8%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	101,021	101,021
English only	77,837	77.1%
Language other than English	23,184	22.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	6,919	6.8%
Spanish	12,381	12.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	3,227	3.2%
Other Indo-European languages	2,908	2.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	924	0.9%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	7,042	7.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	2,620	2.6%
Other languages	853	0.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	148	0.1%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	109,160	109,160
American	2,427	2.2%
Arab	730	0.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Czech	165	0.2%
Danish	1,052	1.0%
Dutch	1,390	1.3%
English	9,021	8.3%
French (except Basque)	2,628	2.4%
French Canadian	235	0.2%
German	13,231	12.1%
Greek	399	0.4%
Hungarian	262	0.2%
Irish	8,002	7.3%
Italian	5,698	5.2%
Lithuanian	107	0.1%
Norwegian	1,184	1.1%
Polish	874	0.8%
Portuguese	1,444	1.3%
Russian	429	0.4%
Scotch-Irish	713	0.7%
Scottish	1,839	1.7%
Slovak	30	0.0%
Subsaharan African	999	0.9%
Swedish	1,825	1.7%
Swiss	292	0.3%
Ukrainian	183	0.2%
Welsh	468	0.4%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	125	0.1%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	37,160	37,160
With a computer	34,663	93.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With a broadband Internet subscription	33,037	88.9%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	81,595	81,595
In labor force	53,875	66.0%
Civilian labor force	53,616	65.7%
Employed	50,680	62.1%
Unemployed	2,936	3.6%
Armed Forces	259	0.3%
Not in labor force	27,720	34.0%
Civilian labor force	53,616	53,616
Unemployment Rate	(X)	5.5%
Females 16 years and over	41,935	41,935
In labor force	25,430	60.6%
Civilian labor force	25,372	60.5%
Employed	23,887	57.0%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	9,515	9,515
All parents in family in labor force	6,451	67.8%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	19,565	19,565
All parents in family in labor force	14,331	73.2%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	49,919	49,919
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	41,801	83.7%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	4,523	9.1%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	226	0.5%
Walked	448	0.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	800	1.6%
Worked from home	2,121	4.2%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	22.8	(X)
<b>OCCUPATION</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	50,680	50,680
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	21,459	42.3%
Service occupations	9,053	17.9%
Sales and office occupations	11,837	23.4%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	3,451	6.8%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	4,880	9.6%
<b>INDUSTRY</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	50,680	50,680
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	770	1.5%
Construction	2,728	5.4%
Manufacturing	2,947	5.8%
Wholesale trade	1,377	2.7%
Retail trade	5,515	10.9%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	2,736	5.4%
Information	1,163	2.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	2,937	5.8%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	4,919	9.7%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	14,659	28.9%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	4,485	8.8%
Other services, except public administration	2,483	4.9%
Public administration	3,961	7.8%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	50,680	50,680
Private wage and salary workers	36,392	71.8%
Government workers	10,898	21.5%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	3,317	6.5%
Unpaid family workers	73	0.1%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	37,160	37,160

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	1,639	4.4%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	1,070	2.9%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	2,291	6.2%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	2,985	8.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	4,153	11.2%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	5,806	15.6%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	5,132	13.8%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	7,256	19.5%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	3,784	10.2%
\$200,000 or more	3,044	8.2%
Median household income (dollars)	77,904	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	96,614	(X)
With earnings	30,042	80.8%
Mean earnings (dollars)	97,518	(X)
With Social Security	10,230	27.5%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	19,459	(X)
With retirement income	8,090	21.8%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	30,741	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	2,003	5.4%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	10,544	(X)
With cash public assistance income	1,312	3.5%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	3,515	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	3,789	10.2%
Families	27,054	27,054
Less than \$10,000	1,067	3.9%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	395	1.5%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	1,030	3.8%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,877	6.9%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	2,636	9.7%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	3,997	14.8%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	3,891	14.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	6,158	22.8%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	3,244	12.0%
\$200,000 or more	2,759	10.2%
Median family income (dollars)	90,610	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	108,382	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	33,795	(X)
Nonfamily households	10,106	10,106
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	42,229	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	57,583	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	40,202	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	63,847	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	52,782	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	108,641	108,641
With health insurance coverage	103,873	95.6%
With private health insurance	80,973	74.5%
With public coverage	37,215	34.3%
No health insurance coverage	4,768	4.4%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	31,628	31,628
No health insurance coverage	806	2.5%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	63,132	63,132
In labor force:	50,158	50,158
Employed:	47,478	47,478
With health insurance coverage	44,871	94.5%
With private health insurance	40,441	85.2%
With public coverage	6,615	13.9%
No health insurance coverage	2,607	5.5%
Unemployed:	2,680	2,680

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	2,171	81.0%
With private health insurance	1,222	45.6%
With public coverage	1,018	38.0%
No health insurance coverage	509	19.0%
Not in labor force:	12,974	12,974
With health insurance coverage	12,143	93.6%
With private health insurance	7,771	59.9%
With public coverage	5,675	43.7%
No health insurance coverage	831	6.4%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	7.9%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	11.3%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	15.1%
Married couple families	(X)	3.3%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	4.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	5.7%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	22.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	29.8%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	46.9%
All people	(X)	10.5%
Under 18 years	(X)	12.8%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	12.4%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	16.1%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	11.1%
18 years and over	(X)	9.6%
18 to 64 years	(X)	10.4%
65 years and over	(X)	6.1%
People in families	(X)	8.1%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	23.9%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	38,664	38,664
Occupied housing units	37,160	96.1%
Vacant housing units	1,504	3.9%
Homeowner vacancy rate	1.5	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	2.9	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	38,664	38,664
1-unit, detached	28,840	74.6%
1-unit, attached	596	1.5%
2 units	714	1.8%
3 or 4 units	2,997	7.8%
5 to 9 units	2,232	5.8%
10 to 19 units	518	1.3%
20 or more units	1,886	4.9%
Mobile home	776	2.0%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	105	0.3%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	38,664	38,664
Built 2014 or later	2,462	6.4%
Built 2010 to 2013	2,245	5.8%
Built 2000 to 2009	9,406	24.3%
Built 1990 to 1999	6,653	17.2%
Built 1980 to 1989	5,903	15.3%
Built 1970 to 1979	7,379	19.1%
Built 1960 to 1969	2,583	6.7%
Built 1950 to 1959	1,180	3.1%
Built 1940 to 1949	427	1.1%
Built 1939 or earlier	426	1.1%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	38,664	38,664
1 room	934	2.4%
2 rooms	669	1.7%
3 rooms	2,005	5.2%
4 rooms	5,650	14.6%
5 rooms	7,769	20.1%
6 rooms	8,614	22.3%
7 rooms	6,053	15.7%
8 rooms	3,735	9.7%
9 rooms or more	3,235	8.4%
Median rooms	5.8	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	38,664	38,664
No bedroom	995	2.6%
1 bedroom	2,239	5.8%
2 bedrooms	7,280	18.8%
3 bedrooms	16,408	42.4%
4 bedrooms	9,498	24.6%
5 or more bedrooms	2,244	5.8%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	37,160	37,160
Owner-occupied	23,568	63.4%
Renter-occupied	13,592	36.6%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	2.99	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	2.82	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	37,160	37,160

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	4,506	12.1%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	7,162	19.3%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	10,343	27.8%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	9,056	24.4%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	3,625	9.8%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	2,468	6.6%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	37,160	37,160
No vehicles available	1,726	4.6%
1 vehicle available	10,865	29.2%
2 vehicles available	15,502	41.7%
3 or more vehicles available	9,067	24.4%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	37,160	37,160
Utility gas	21,764	58.6%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	398	1.1%
Electricity	13,560	36.5%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	19	0.1%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	130	0.3%
Solar energy	1,014	2.7%
Other fuel	84	0.2%
No fuel used	191	0.5%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	37,160	37,160
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	59	0.2%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	498	1.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	589	1.6%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	37,160	37,160
1.00 or less	35,858	96.5%
1.01 to 1.50	780	2.1%
1.51 or more	522	1.4%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	23,568	23,568
Less than \$50,000	644	2.7%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	216	0.9%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	770	3.3%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	1,822	7.7%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	6,425	27.3%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	11,548	49.0%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	2,009	8.5%
\$1,000,000 or more	134	0.6%
Median (dollars)	324,600	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	23,568	23,568
Housing units with a mortgage	18,023	76.5%
Housing units without a mortgage	5,545	23.5%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	18,023	18,023
Less than \$500	126	0.7%
\$500 to \$999	1,008	5.6%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	3,353	18.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	4,980	27.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	4,392	24.4%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	2,317	12.9%
\$3,000 or more	1,847	10.2%
Median (dollars)	1,956	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	5,545	5,545
Less than \$250	506	9.1%
\$250 to \$399	846	15.3%
\$400 to \$599	1,685	30.4%
\$600 to \$799	1,364	24.6%
\$800 to \$999	767	13.8%
\$1,000 or more	377	6.8%
Median (dollars)	566	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	17,910	17,910
Less than 20.0 percent	7,259	40.5%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	3,346	18.7%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	2,266	12.7%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	1,455	8.1%
35.0 percent or more	3,584	20.0%
Not computed	113	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	5,447	5,447
Less than 10.0 percent	2,951	54.2%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	905	16.6%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	576	10.6%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	247	4.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	191	3.5%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	116	2.1%
35.0 percent or more	461	8.5%
Not computed	98	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	13,165	13,165
Less than \$500	349	2.7%
\$500 to \$999	3,717	28.2%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	4,733	36.0%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	2,850	21.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	1,011	7.7%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	279	2.1%
\$3,000 or more	226	1.7%
Median (dollars)	1,210	(X)
No rent paid	427	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	12,968	12,968
Less than 15.0 percent	1,344	10.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	1,413	10.9%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	1,908	14.7%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	1,686	13.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	1,237	9.5%
35.0 percent or more	5,380	41.5%
Not computed	624	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	109,160	109,160
Male	54,147	49.6%
Female	55,013	50.4%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	98.4	(X)
Under 5 years	8,139	7.5%
5 to 9 years	8,643	7.9%
10 to 14 years	8,833	8.1%
15 to 19 years	7,310	6.7%
20 to 24 years	6,827	6.3%
25 to 34 years	15,790	14.5%
35 to 44 years	14,243	13.0%
45 to 54 years	13,139	12.0%
55 to 59 years	6,765	6.2%
60 to 64 years	5,390	4.9%
65 to 74 years	8,744	8.0%
75 to 84 years	3,605	3.3%
85 years and over	1,732	1.6%
Median age (years)	34.5	(X)
Under 18 years	30,282	27.7%
16 years and over	81,595	74.7%
18 years and over	78,878	72.3%
21 years and over	74,953	68.7%
62 years and over	17,270	15.8%
65 years and over	14,081	12.9%
18 years and over	78,878	78,878
Male	38,181	48.4%
Female	40,697	51.6%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	93.8	(X)
65 years and over	14,081	14,081
Male	6,172	43.8%
Female	7,909	56.2%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	78.0	(X)
RACE		
Total population	109,160	109,160
One race	103,159	94.5%
Two or more races	6,001	5.5%
One race	103,159	94.5%
White	75,652	69.3%
Black or African American	3,164	2.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,276	1.2%
Cherokee tribal grouping	130	0.1%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	13	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	11,851	10.9%
Asian Indian	1,661	1.5%
Chinese	1,331	1.2%
Filipino	2,299	2.1%
Japanese	459	0.4%
Korean	281	0.3%
Vietnamese	1,082	1.0%
Other Asian	4,738	4.3%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	359	0.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Clovis city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	9	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	59	0.1%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	291	0.3%
Some other race	10,857	9.9%
Two or more races	6,001	5.5%
White and Black or African American	907	0.8%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	1,056	1.0%
White and Asian	1,668	1.5%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	60	0.1%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	109,160	109,160
White	80,995	74.2%
Black or African American	4,428	4.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	2,740	2.5%
Asian	14,072	12.9%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	700	0.6%
Some other race	12,591	11.5%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	109,160	109,160
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	33,377	30.6%
Mexican	29,239	26.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Clovis city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	549	0.5%
Cuban	129	0.1%
Other Hispanic or Latino	3,460	3.2%
Not Hispanic or Latino	75,783	69.4%
White alone	56,811	52.0%
Black or African American alone	2,988	2.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	305	0.3%
Asian alone	11,590	10.6%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	359	0.3%
Some other race alone	288	0.3%
Two or more races	3,442	3.2%
Two races including Some other race	60	0.1%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	3,382	3.1%
Total housing units	38,664	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	74,257	74,257
Male	35,685	48.1%
Female	38,572	51.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	1,205	1,205
Married-couple family	605	50.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	416	34.5%
Cohabiting couple household	234	19.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	157	13.0%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	89	7.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	7	0.6%
Householder living alone	0	0.0%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	277	23.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	61	5.1%
Householder living alone	66	5.5%
65 years and over	16	1.3%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	799	66.3%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	306	25.4%
Average household size	4.33	(X)
Average family size	4.12	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	5,214	5,214
Householder	1,205	23.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	599	11.5%
Unmarried partner	229	4.4%
Child	2,225	42.7%
Other relatives	566	10.9%
Other nonrelatives	390	7.5%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	1,814	1,814
Never married	950	52.4%
Now married, except separated	755	41.6%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	32	1.8%
Divorced	77	4.2%
Females 15 years and over	1,833	1,833
Never married	878	47.9%
Now married, except separated	693	37.8%
Separated	30	1.6%
Widowed	137	7.5%
Divorced	95	5.2%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	85	85
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	34	40.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	43	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	65	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	177	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	149	149
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	19	12.8%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	19	12.8%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	19	19
Who are female	19	100.0%
Who are married	0	0.0%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	1,893	1,893
Nursery school, preschool	59	3.1%
Kindergarten	65	3.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Cutler CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	1,004	53.0%
High school (grades 9-12)	419	22.1%
College or graduate school	346	18.3%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	2,670	2,670
Less than 9th grade	1,286	48.2%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	378	14.2%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	580	21.7%
Some college, no degree	320	12.0%
Associate's degree	72	2.7%
Bachelor's degree	7	0.3%
Graduate or professional degree	27	1.0%
High school graduate or higher	1,006	37.7%
Bachelor's degree or higher	34	1.3%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	3,350	3,350
Civilian veterans	13	0.4%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	5,214	5,214
With a disability	620	11.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	1,864	1,864
With a disability	82	4.4%
18 to 64 years	2,950	2,950
With a disability	292	9.9%
65 years and over	400	400
With a disability	246	61.5%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	5,148	5,148
Same house	4,701	91.3%
Different house in the U.S.	447	8.7%
Same county	360	7.0%
Different county	87	1.7%
Same state	87	1.7%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	5,214	5,214
Native	3,043	58.4%
Born in United States	3,016	57.8%
State of residence	2,808	53.9%
Different state	208	4.0%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	27	0.5%
Foreign born	2,171	41.6%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	2,171	2,171
Naturalized U.S. citizen	474	21.8%
Not a U.S. citizen	1,697	78.2%
YEAR OF ENTRY		



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	2,198	2,198
Native	27	27
Entered 2010 or later	27	100.0%
Entered before 2010	0	0.0%
Foreign born	2,171	2,171
Entered 2010 or later	126	5.8%
Entered before 2010	2,045	94.2%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	2,171	2,171
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	2,171	100.0%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	4,837	4,837
English only	542	11.2%
Language other than English	4,295	88.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	2,472	51.1%
Spanish	4,295	88.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	2,472	51.1%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	5,214	5,214
American	40	0.8%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	8	0.2%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	28	0.5%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	0	0.0%
Italian	0	0.0%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	0	0.0%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	33	0.6%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	1,205	1,205
With a computer	976	81.0%
With a broadband Internet	805	66.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	3,541	3,541
In labor force	2,262	63.9%
Civilian labor force	2,262	63.9%
Employed	1,913	54.0%
Unemployed	349	9.9%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	1,279	36.1%
Civilian labor force	2,262	2,262
Unemployment Rate	(X)	15.4%
Females 16 years and over	1,727	1,727
In labor force	878	50.8%
Civilian labor force	878	50.8%
Employed	672	38.9%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	382	382
All parents in family in labor force	180	47.1%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	1,295	1,295
All parents in family in labor force	778	60.1%
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	1,755	1,755
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	1,183	67.4%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	353	20.1%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	206	11.7%
Worked from home	13	0.7%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	25.1	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,913	1,913
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	259	13.5%
Service occupations	126	6.6%
Sales and office occupations	250	13.1%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	738	38.6%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	540	28.2%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,913	1,913
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	823	43.0%
Construction	56	2.9%
Manufacturing	277	14.5%
Wholesale trade	145	7.6%
Retail trade	153	8.0%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	90	4.7%
Information	7	0.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	23	1.2%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	50	2.6%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	155	8.1%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	97	5.1%
Other services, except public administration	24	1.3%
Public administration	13	0.7%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,913	1,913
Private wage and salary workers	1,727	90.3%
Government workers	158	8.3%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	28	1.5%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	1,205	1,205

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	38	3.2%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	49	4.1%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	74	6.1%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	329	27.3%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	238	19.8%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	270	22.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	149	12.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	58	4.8%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	39,646	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	48,161	(X)
With earnings	1,114	92.4%
Mean earnings (dollars)	42,058	(X)
With Social Security	329	27.3%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	18,334	(X)
With retirement income	36	3.0%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	16,125	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	161	13.4%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	7,248	(X)
With cash public assistance income	151	12.5%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	2,355	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	380	31.5%
Families	1,085	1,085
Less than \$10,000	58	5.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	28	2.6%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	69	6.4%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	369	34.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	195	18.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	211	19.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	134	12.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	21	1.9%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	35,984	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	44,410	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	11,604	(X)
Nonfamily households	120	120
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	33,261	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	28,443	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	19,038	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	33,066	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	28,355	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	5,214	5,214
With health insurance coverage	4,302	82.5%
With private health insurance	1,041	20.0%
With public coverage	3,381	64.8%
No health insurance coverage	912	17.5%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	1,940	1,940
No health insurance coverage	23	1.2%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	2,874	2,874
In labor force:	2,180	2,180
Employed:	1,869	1,869
With health insurance coverage	1,234	66.0%
With private health insurance	681	36.4%
With public coverage	580	31.0%
No health insurance coverage	635	34.0%
Unemployed:	311	311

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	243	78.1%
With private health insurance	75	24.1%
With public coverage	168	54.0%
No health insurance coverage	68	21.9%
Not in labor force:	694	694
With health insurance coverage	508	73.2%
With private health insurance	28	4.0%
With public coverage	492	70.9%
No health insurance coverage	186	26.8%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	21.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	31.3%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	7.8%
Married couple families	(X)	11.2%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	15.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	36.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	58.9%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	29.2%
Under 18 years	(X)	37.7%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	37.7%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	30.3%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	39.7%
18 years and over	(X)	25.0%
18 to 64 years	(X)	26.0%
65 years and over	(X)	17.3%
People in families	(X)	25.8%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	56.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	1,241	1,241
Occupied housing units	1,205	97.1%
Vacant housing units	36	2.9%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	2.8	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	1,241	1,241
1-unit, detached	856	69.0%
1-unit, attached	25	2.0%
2 units	76	6.1%
3 or 4 units	180	14.5%
5 to 9 units	75	6.0%
10 to 19 units	19	1.5%
20 or more units	10	0.8%
Mobile home	0	0.0%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	1,241	1,241
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	26	2.1%
Built 2000 to 2009	160	12.9%
Built 1990 to 1999	223	18.0%
Built 1980 to 1989	114	9.2%
Built 1970 to 1979	364	29.3%
Built 1960 to 1969	115	9.3%
Built 1950 to 1959	82	6.6%
Built 1940 to 1949	147	11.8%
Built 1939 or earlier	10	0.8%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	1,241	1,241
1 room	10	0.8%
2 rooms	27	2.2%
3 rooms	84	6.8%
4 rooms	338	27.2%
5 rooms	566	45.6%
6 rooms	166	13.4%
7 rooms	42	3.4%
8 rooms	8	0.6%
9 rooms or more	0	0.0%
Median rooms	4.8	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	1,241	1,241
No bedroom	10	0.8%
1 bedroom	93	7.5%
2 bedrooms	320	25.8%
3 bedrooms	633	51.0%
4 bedrooms	185	14.9%
5 or more bedrooms	0	0.0%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	1,205	1,205
Owner-occupied	556	46.1%
Renter-occupied	649	53.9%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.80	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	4.78	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	1,205	1,205

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Cutler CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Moved in 2017 or later	51	4.2%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	147	12.2%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	344	28.5%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	276	22.9%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	165	13.7%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	222	18.4%
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
Occupied housing units	1,205	1,205
No vehicles available	79	6.6%
1 vehicle available	312	25.9%
2 vehicles available	380	31.5%
3 or more vehicles available	434	36.0%
<b>HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>		
Occupied housing units	1,205	1,205
Utility gas	741	61.5%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	0	0.0%
Electricity	391	32.4%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	73	6.1%
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
Occupied housing units	1,205	1,205
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	17	1.4%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	1,205	1,205
1.00 or less	928	77.0%
1.01 to 1.50	193	16.0%
1.51 or more	84	7.0%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	556	556
Less than \$50,000	46	8.3%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	166	29.9%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	123	22.1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	168	30.2%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	53	9.5%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	0	0.0%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	0	0.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	120,600	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	556	556
Housing units with a mortgage	394	70.9%
Housing units without a mortgage	162	29.1%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	394	394
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	216	54.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	166	42.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	12	3.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	980	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	162	162
Less than \$250	46	28.4%
\$250 to \$399	116	71.6%
\$400 to \$599	0	0.0%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	312	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	394	394
Less than 20.0 percent	144	36.5%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	23	5.8%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	100	25.4%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	48	12.2%
35.0 percent or more	79	20.1%
Not computed	0	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	162	162
Less than 10.0 percent	110	67.9%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	35	21.6%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	9	5.6%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	8	4.9%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	619	619
Less than \$500	117	18.9%
\$500 to \$999	180	29.1%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	322	52.0%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	1,010	(X)
No rent paid	30	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	619	619
Less than 15.0 percent	83	13.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	101	16.3%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	65	10.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	32	5.2%
35.0 percent or more	338	54.6%
Not computed	30	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	5,214	5,214
Male	2,925	56.1%
Female	2,289	43.9%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	127.8	(X)
Under 5 years	377	7.2%
5 to 9 years	583	11.2%
10 to 14 years	607	11.6%
15 to 19 years	481	9.2%
20 to 24 years	496	9.5%
25 to 34 years	558	10.7%
35 to 44 years	819	15.7%
45 to 54 years	562	10.8%
55 to 59 years	153	2.9%
60 to 64 years	178	3.4%
65 to 74 years	171	3.3%
75 to 84 years	229	4.4%
85 years and over	0	0.0%
Median age (years)	25.8	(X)
Under 18 years	1,864	35.7%
16 years and over	3,541	67.9%
18 years and over	3,350	64.3%
21 years and over	3,159	60.6%
62 years and over	541	10.4%
65 years and over	400	7.7%
18 years and over	3,350	3,350
Male	1,738	51.9%
Female	1,612	48.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	107.8	(X)
65 years and over	400	400
Male	173	43.3%
Female	227	56.8%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	76.2	(X)
RACE		
Total population	5,214	5,214
One race	5,214	100.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
One race	5,214	100.0%
White	3,339	64.0%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	26	0.5%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	26	0.5%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	1,849	35.5%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	5,214	5,214
White	3,339	64.0%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	26	0.5%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	1,849	35.5%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	5,214	5,214
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	5,167	99.1%
Mexican	5,008	96.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Cutler CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	159	3.0%
Not Hispanic or Latino	47	0.9%
White alone	47	0.9%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	0	0.0%
Total housing units	1,241	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	1,712	1,712
Male	777	45.4%
Female	935	54.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	375	375
Married-couple family	183	48.8%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	97	25.9%
Cohabiting couple household	23	6.1%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	58	15.5%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	16	4.3%
Householder living alone	42	11.2%
65 years and over	9	2.4%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	111	29.6%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	41	10.9%
Householder living alone	6	1.6%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	184	49.1%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	101	26.9%
Average household size	3.36	(X)
Average family size	3.53	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	1,261	1,261
Householder	375	29.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	186	14.8%
Unmarried partner	23	1.8%
Child	500	39.7%
Other relatives	117	9.3%
Other nonrelatives	60	4.8%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	464	464
Never married	160	34.5%
Now married, except separated	213	45.9%
Separated	39	8.4%
Widowed	21	4.5%
Divorced	31	6.7%
Females 15 years and over	470	470
Never married	222	47.2%
Now married, except separated	217	46.2%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	31	6.6%
Divorced	0	0.0%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	17	17
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	17	100.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	114	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	58	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	147	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	-
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	-
1 or 2 years	0	-
3 or 4 years	0	-
5 or more years	0	-
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	387	387
Nursery school, preschool	8	2.1%
Kindergarten	20	5.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Del Rey CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	246	63.6%
High school (grades 9-12)	46	11.9%
College or graduate school	67	17.3%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	742	742
Less than 9th grade	295	39.8%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	127	17.1%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	94	12.7%
Some college, no degree	100	13.5%
Associate's degree	30	4.0%
Bachelor's degree	56	7.5%
Graduate or professional degree	40	5.4%
High school graduate or higher	320	43.1%
Bachelor's degree or higher	96	12.9%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	883	883
Civilian veterans	39	4.4%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	1,261	1,261
With a disability	213	16.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	378	378
With a disability	20	5.3%
18 to 64 years	745	745
With a disability	105	14.1%
65 years and over	138	138
With a disability	88	63.8%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	1,226	1,226
Same house	1,211	98.8%
Different house in the U.S.	0	0.0%
Same county	0	0.0%
Different county	0	0.0%
Same state	0	0.0%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	15	1.2%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	1,261	1,261
Native	674	53.4%
Born in United States	674	53.4%
State of residence	613	48.6%
Different state	61	4.8%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	0	0.0%
Foreign born	587	46.6%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	587	587
Naturalized U.S. citizen	81	13.8%
Not a U.S. citizen	506	86.2%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	587	587
Native	0	0
Entered 2010 or later	0	-
Entered before 2010	0	-
Foreign born	587	587
Entered 2010 or later	133	22.7%
Entered before 2010	454	77.3%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	587	587
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	29	4.9%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	558	95.1%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	1,201	1,201
English only	227	18.9%
Language other than English	974	81.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	506	42.1%
Spanish	930	77.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	491	40.9%
Other Indo-European languages	44	3.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	15	1.2%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	1,261	1,261
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	68	5.4%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	4	0.3%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	0	0.0%
Italian	0	0.0%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	0	0.0%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	20	1.6%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	375	375
With a computer	314	83.7%
With a broadband Internet	257	68.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	899	899
In labor force	526	58.5%
Civilian labor force	526	58.5%
Employed	469	52.2%
Unemployed	57	6.3%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	373	41.5%
Civilian labor force	526	526
Unemployment Rate	(X)	10.8%
Females 16 years and over	449	449
In labor force	184	41.0%
Civilian labor force	184	41.0%
Employed	148	33.0%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	80	80
All parents in family in labor force	43	53.8%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	284	284
All parents in family in labor force	129	45.4%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	436	436
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	373	85.6%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	24	5.5%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	12	2.8%
Walked	16	3.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	11	2.5%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	28.3	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	469	469
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	58	12.4%
Service occupations	150	32.0%
Sales and office occupations	16	3.4%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	173	36.9%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	72	15.4%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	469	469
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	157	33.5%
Construction	18	3.8%
Manufacturing	6	1.3%
Wholesale trade	51	10.9%
Retail trade	0	0.0%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	7	1.5%
Information	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	0	0.0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	50	10.7%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	102	21.7%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	34	7.2%
Other services, except public administration	0	0.0%
Public administration	44	9.4%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	469	469
Private wage and salary workers	381	81.2%
Government workers	65	13.9%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	23	4.9%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	375	375

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	35	9.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	38	10.1%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	104	27.7%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	64	17.1%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	57	15.2%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	26	6.9%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	15	4.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	28	7.5%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	8	2.1%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	26,193	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	38,341	(X)
With earnings	290	77.3%
Mean earnings (dollars)	38,165	(X)
With Social Security	86	22.9%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	14,628	(X)
With retirement income	70	18.7%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	10,830	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	38	10.1%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	9,637	(X)
With cash public assistance income	55	14.7%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	3,438	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	111	29.6%
Families	317	317
Less than \$10,000	35	11.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	21	6.6%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	98	30.9%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	59	18.6%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	52	16.4%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	17	5.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	23	7.3%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	4	1.3%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	8	2.5%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	25,662	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	35,317	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	12,534	(X)
Nonfamily households	58	58
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	52,081	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	12,923	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	-	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	15,804	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	1,261	1,261
With health insurance coverage	1,092	86.6%
With private health insurance	266	21.1%
With public coverage	867	68.8%
No health insurance coverage	169	13.4%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	378	378
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	745	745
In labor force:	511	511
Employed:	469	469
With health insurance coverage	376	80.2%
With private health insurance	141	30.1%
With public coverage	242	51.6%
No health insurance coverage	93	19.8%
Unemployed:	42	42

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	42	100.0%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	42	100.0%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Not in labor force:	234	234
With health insurance coverage	158	67.5%
With private health insurance	22	9.4%
With public coverage	142	60.7%
No health insurance coverage	76	32.5%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	38.5%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	54.4%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Married couple families	(X)	36.1%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	52.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	54.4%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	73.2%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
All people	(X)	43.1%
Under 18 years	(X)	62.9%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	62.9%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	58.3%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	63.8%
18 years and over	(X)	35.0%
18 to 64 years	(X)	34.6%
65 years and over	(X)	37.0%
People in families	(X)	42.3%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	50.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	393	393
Occupied housing units	375	95.4%
Vacant housing units	18	4.6%
Homeowner vacancy rate	9.3	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	393	393
1-unit, detached	269	68.4%
1-unit, attached	19	4.8%
2 units	31	7.9%
3 or 4 units	69	17.6%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	5	1.3%
Mobile home	0	0.0%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	393	393
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	157	39.9%
Built 1990 to 1999	19	4.8%
Built 1980 to 1989	19	4.8%
Built 1970 to 1979	35	8.9%
Built 1960 to 1969	20	5.1%
Built 1950 to 1959	32	8.1%
Built 1940 to 1949	36	9.2%
Built 1939 or earlier	75	19.1%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	393	393
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	27	6.9%
4 rooms	90	22.9%
5 rooms	171	43.5%
6 rooms	80	20.4%
7 rooms	17	4.3%
8 rooms	4	1.0%
9 rooms or more	4	1.0%
Median rooms	5.0	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	393	393
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	16	4.1%
2 bedrooms	124	31.6%
3 bedrooms	222	56.5%
4 bedrooms	31	7.9%
5 or more bedrooms	0	0.0%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	375	375
Owner-occupied	176	46.9%
Renter-occupied	199	53.1%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.51	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.23	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	375	375



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	6	1.6%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	21	5.6%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	152	40.5%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	154	41.1%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	15	4.0%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	27	7.2%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	375	375
No vehicles available	38	10.1%
1 vehicle available	139	37.1%
2 vehicles available	122	32.5%
3 or more vehicles available	76	20.3%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	375	375
Utility gas	344	91.7%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	4	1.1%
Electricity	27	7.2%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	375	375
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
<b>OCCUPANTS PER ROOM</b>		
Occupied housing units	375	375
1.00 or less	339	90.4%
1.01 to 1.50	29	7.7%
1.51 or more	7	1.9%
<b>VALUE</b>		
Owner-occupied units	176	176
Less than \$50,000	0	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	15	8.5%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	35	19.9%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	67	38.1%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	15	8.5%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	22	12.5%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	22	12.5%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	181,900	(X)
<b>MORTGAGE STATUS</b>		
Owner-occupied units	176	176
Housing units with a mortgage	112	63.6%
Housing units without a mortgage	64	36.4%
<b>SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)</b>		
Housing units with a mortgage	112	112
Less than \$500	20	17.9%
\$500 to \$999	42	37.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	4	3.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	32	28.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	14	12.5%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	64	64
Less than \$250	0	0.0%
\$250 to \$399	8	12.5%
\$400 to \$599	26	40.6%
\$600 to \$799	22	34.4%
\$800 to \$999	4	6.3%
\$1,000 or more	4	6.3%
Median (dollars)	550	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	112	112
Less than 20.0 percent	19	17.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	41	36.6%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	17	15.2%
35.0 percent or more	35	31.3%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	64	64
Less than 10.0 percent	16	25.0%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	7	10.9%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	15	23.4%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	4	6.3%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	22	34.4%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	194	194
Less than \$500	48	24.7%
\$500 to \$999	124	63.9%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	22	11.3%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	659	(X)
No rent paid	5	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	194	194
Less than 15.0 percent	16	8.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	20	10.3%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	9	4.6%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	32	16.5%
35.0 percent or more	117	60.3%
Not computed	5	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	1,261	1,261
Male	695	55.1%
Female	566	44.9%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	122.8	(X)
Under 5 years	60	4.8%
5 to 9 years	120	9.5%
10 to 14 years	147	11.7%
15 to 19 years	82	6.5%
20 to 24 years	110	8.7%
25 to 34 years	122	9.7%
35 to 44 years	149	11.8%
45 to 54 years	134	10.6%
55 to 59 years	140	11.1%
60 to 64 years	59	4.7%
65 to 74 years	69	5.5%
75 to 84 years	65	5.2%
85 years and over	4	0.3%
Median age (years)	34.5	(X)
Under 18 years	378	30.0%
16 years and over	899	71.3%
18 years and over	883	70.0%
21 years and over	852	67.6%
62 years and over	187	14.8%
65 years and over	138	10.9%
18 years and over	883	883
Male	450	51.0%
Female	433	49.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	103.9	(X)
65 years and over	138	138
Male	57	41.3%
Female	81	58.7%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	70.4	(X)
RACE		
Total population	1,261	1,261
One race	1,256	99.6%
Two or more races	5	0.4%
One race	1,256	99.6%
White	727	57.7%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	33	2.6%
Asian Indian	29	2.3%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	4	0.3%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Del Rey CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	496	39.3%
Two or more races	5	0.4%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	1,261	1,261
White	732	58.0%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	33	2.6%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	501	39.7%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	1,261	1,261
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	1,204	95.5%
Mexican	1,113	88.3%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Del Rey CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	91	7.2%
Not Hispanic or Latino	57	4.5%
White alone	24	1.9%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	33	2.6%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	0	0.0%
Total housing units	393	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	438	438
Male	244	55.7%
Female	194	44.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Delft Colony CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE</b>		
Total households	149	149
Married-couple family	72	48.3%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	54	36.2%
Cohabiting couple household	60	40.3%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	60	40.3%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	0	0.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	0	0.0%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	17	11.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	0	0.0%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	114	76.5%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	17	11.4%
Average household size	4.80	(X)
Average family size	4.64	(X)
<b>RELATIONSHIP</b>		
Population in households	715	715
Householder	149	20.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	70	9.8%
Unmarried partner	67	9.4%
Child	302	42.2%
Other relatives	108	15.1%
Other nonrelatives	19	2.7%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	150	150
Never married	60	40.0%
Now married, except separated	71	47.3%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	0	0.0%
Divorced	19	12.7%
Females 15 years and over	275	275
Never married	152	55.3%
Now married, except separated	71	25.8%
Separated	52	18.9%
Widowed	0	0.0%
Divorced	0	0.0%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	0	0
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	0	-
Per 1,000 unmarried women	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	52	52
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	0.0%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	236	236
Nursery school, preschool	0	0.0%
Kindergarten	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Delft Colony CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	168	71.2%
High school (grades 9-12)	68	28.8%
College or graduate school	0	0.0%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	290	290
Less than 9th grade	52	17.9%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	19	6.6%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	147	50.7%
Some college, no degree	54	18.6%
Associate's degree	0	0.0%
Bachelor's degree	18	6.2%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	219	75.5%
Bachelor's degree or higher	18	6.2%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	425	425
Civilian veterans	18	4.2%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	715	715
With a disability	52	7.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Delft Colony CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Under 18 years	290	290
With a disability	0	0.0%
18 to 64 years	406	406
With a disability	52	12.8%
65 years and over	19	19
With a disability	0	0.0%
<b>RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO</b>		
Population 1 year and over	715	715
Same house	715	100.0%
Different house in the U.S.	0	0.0%
Same county	0	0.0%
Different county	0	0.0%
Same state	0	0.0%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	715	715
Native	663	92.7%
Born in United States	663	92.7%
State of residence	644	90.1%
Different state	19	2.7%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	0	0.0%
Foreign born	52	7.3%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	52	52
Naturalized U.S. citizen	0	0.0%
Not a U.S. citizen	52	100.0%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Delft Colony CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Population born outside the United States	52	52
Native	0	0
Entered 2010 or later	0	-
Entered before 2010	0	-
Foreign born	52	52
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	52	100.0%
<b>WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN</b>		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	52	52
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	52	100.0%
Northern America	0	0.0%
<b>LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME</b>		
Population 5 years and over	593	593
English only	343	57.8%
Language other than English	250	42.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	52	8.8%
Spanish	250	42.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	52	8.8%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	715	715
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	0	0.0%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	36	5.0%
Italian	0	0.0%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	67	9.4%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Delft Colony CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
<b>COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE</b>		
Total households	149	149
With a computer	149	100.0%
With a broadband Internet	149	100.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	425	425
In labor force	202	47.5%
Civilian labor force	202	47.5%
Employed	202	47.5%
Unemployed	0	0.0%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	223	52.5%
Civilian labor force	202	202
Unemployment Rate	(X)	0.0%
Females 16 years and over	275	275
In labor force	71	25.8%
Civilian labor force	71	25.8%
Employed	71	25.8%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	122	122
All parents in family in labor force	122	100.0%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	168	168
All parents in family in labor force	112	66.7%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	202	202
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	202	100.0%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	0	0.0%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<b>Delft Colony CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	0	0.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	N	(X)
<b>OCCUPATION</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	202	202
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	89	44.1%
Service occupations	0	0.0%
Sales and office occupations	0	0.0%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	0	0.0%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	113	55.9%
<b>INDUSTRY</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	202	202
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0	0.0%
Construction	0	0.0%
Manufacturing	53	26.2%
Wholesale trade	0	0.0%
Retail trade	0	0.0%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	60	29.7%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	0	0.0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	0	0.0%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	89	44.1%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	0	0.0%
Other services, except public administration	0	0.0%
Public administration	0	0.0%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	202	202
Private wage and salary workers	113	55.9%
Government workers	89	44.1%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	0	0.0%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	149	149

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	78	52.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	0	0.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	0	0.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	0	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	71	47.7%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	29,084	(X)
With earnings	149	100.0%
Mean earnings (dollars)	28,675	(X)
With Social Security	17	11.4%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	N	(X)
With retirement income	0	0.0%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	-	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	0	0.0%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	-	(X)
With cash public assistance income	0	0.0%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	-	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	60	40.3%
Families	132	132
Less than \$10,000	78	59.1%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	0	0.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	0	0.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	0	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	54	40.9%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	24,975	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	6,064	(X)
Nonfamily households	17	17
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	N	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	-	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	-	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	-	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	715	715
With health insurance coverage	663	92.7%
With private health insurance	304	42.5%
With public coverage	359	50.2%
No health insurance coverage	52	7.3%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	358	358
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	338	338
In labor force:	202	202
Employed:	202	202
With health insurance coverage	202	100.0%
With private health insurance	124	61.4%
With public coverage	78	38.6%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Unemployed:	0	0

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	0	-
With private health insurance	0	-
With public coverage	0	-
No health insurance coverage	0	-
Not in labor force:	136	136
With health insurance coverage	84	61.8%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	84	61.8%
No health insurance coverage	52	38.2%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	59.1%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	52.6%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	100.0%
Married couple families	(X)	25.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<b>Delft Colony CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	-
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	-
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	39.7%
Under 18 years	(X)	42.1%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	42.1%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	100.0%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	0.0%
18 years and over	(X)	38.1%
18 to 64 years	(X)	39.9%
65 years and over	(X)	0.0%
People in families	(X)	35.5%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	65.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	149	149
Occupied housing units	149	100.0%
Vacant housing units	0	0.0%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	149	149
1-unit, detached	72	48.3%
1-unit, attached	0	0.0%
2 units	0	0.0%
3 or 4 units	60	40.3%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	17	11.4%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	149	149
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	17	11.4%
Built 1990 to 1999	0	0.0%
Built 1980 to 1989	60	40.3%
Built 1970 to 1979	0	0.0%
Built 1960 to 1969	0	0.0%
Built 1950 to 1959	18	12.1%
Built 1940 to 1949	54	36.2%
Built 1939 or earlier	0	0.0%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	149	149
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	0	0.0%
4 rooms	77	51.7%
5 rooms	18	12.1%
6 rooms	54	36.2%
7 rooms	0	0.0%
8 rooms	0	0.0%
9 rooms or more	0	0.0%
Median rooms	4.5	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	149	149
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	0	0.0%
2 bedrooms	77	51.7%
3 bedrooms	18	12.1%
4 bedrooms	54	36.2%
5 or more bedrooms	0	0.0%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	149	149
Owner-occupied	17	11.4%
Renter-occupied	132	88.6%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	2.12	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	5.14	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	149	149

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Delft Colony CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Moved in 2017 or later	0	0.0%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	0	0.0%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	132	88.6%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	17	11.4%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	0	0.0%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	0	0.0%
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
Occupied housing units	149	149
No vehicles available	0	0.0%
1 vehicle available	60	40.3%
2 vehicles available	35	23.5%
3 or more vehicles available	54	36.2%
<b>HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>		
Occupied housing units	149	149
Utility gas	132	88.6%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	17	11.4%
Electricity	0	0.0%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
Occupied housing units	149	149
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	149	149
1.00 or less	95	63.8%
1.01 to 1.50	54	36.2%
1.51 or more	0	0.0%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	17	17
Less than \$50,000	17	100.0%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	0	0.0%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	0	0.0%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	0	0.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	17	17
Housing units with a mortgage	0	0.0%
Housing units without a mortgage	17	100.0%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	0	0
Less than \$500	0	-
\$500 to \$999	0	-
\$1,000 to \$1,499	0	-

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	-
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	-
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	-
\$3,000 or more	0	-
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	17	17
Less than \$250	0	0.0%
\$250 to \$399	17	100.0%
\$400 to \$599	0	0.0%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	0	0
Less than 20.0 percent	0	-
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	-
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	-
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	-
35.0 percent or more	0	-
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	17	17
Less than 10.0 percent	17	100.0%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	0	0.0%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	114	114
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	60	52.6%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	54	47.4%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
No rent paid	18	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	114	114
Less than 15.0 percent	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	54	47.4%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	60	52.6%
Not computed	18	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	715	715
Male	440	61.5%
Female	275	38.5%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	160.0	(X)
Under 5 years	122	17.1%
5 to 9 years	56	7.8%
10 to 14 years	112	15.7%
15 to 19 years	68	9.5%
20 to 24 years	67	9.4%
25 to 34 years	60	8.4%
35 to 44 years	107	15.0%
45 to 54 years	34	4.8%
55 to 59 years	70	9.8%
60 to 64 years	0	0.0%
65 to 74 years	19	2.7%
75 to 84 years	0	0.0%
85 years and over	0	0.0%
Median age (years)	19.0	(X)
Under 18 years	290	40.6%
16 years and over	425	59.4%
18 years and over	425	59.4%
21 years and over	357	49.9%
62 years and over	19	2.7%
65 years and over	19	2.7%
18 years and over	425	425
Male	150	35.3%
Female	275	64.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Delft Colony CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	54.5	(X)
65 years and over	19	19
Male	19	100.0%
Female	0	0.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	-	(X)
RACE		
Total population	715	715
One race	715	100.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
One race	715	100.0%
White	533	74.5%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Delft Colony CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	182	25.5%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	715	715
White	533	74.5%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	182	25.5%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	715	715
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	612	85.6%
Mexican	612	85.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Delft Colony CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0%
Not Hispanic or Latino	103	14.4%
White alone	103	14.4%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	0	0.0%
Total housing units	149	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	373	373
Male	150	40.2%
Female	223	59.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE</b>		
Total households	6,174	6,174
Married-couple family	3,359	54.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	1,875	30.4%
Cohabiting couple household	593	9.6%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	447	7.2%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	697	11.3%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	151	2.4%
Householder living alone	322	5.2%
65 years and over	94	1.5%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	1,525	24.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	606	9.8%
Householder living alone	327	5.3%
65 years and over	194	3.1%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	3,525	57.1%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	1,378	22.3%
Average household size	3.86	(X)
Average family size	4.07	(X)
<b>RELATIONSHIP</b>		
Population in households	23,805	23,805
Householder	6,174	25.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Spouse	3,365	14.1%
Unmarried partner	592	2.5%
Child	10,326	43.4%
Other relatives	2,712	11.4%
Other nonrelatives	636	2.7%
<b>MARITAL STATUS</b>		
Males 15 years and over	8,643	8,643
Never married	3,881	44.9%
Now married, except separated	3,925	45.4%
Separated	242	2.8%
Widowed	98	1.1%
Divorced	497	5.8%
Females 15 years and over	8,595	8,595
Never married	2,995	34.8%
Now married, except separated	3,873	45.1%
Separated	417	4.9%
Widowed	703	8.2%
Divorced	607	7.1%
<b>FERTILITY</b>		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	323	323
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	128	39.6%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	41	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	54	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	6	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	98	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	27	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	961	961
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	68	7.1%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	23	2.4%
1 or 2 years	45	4.7%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	68	68
Who are female	37	54.4%
Who are married	54	79.4%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	7,612	7,612
Nursery school, preschool	439	5.8%
Kindergarten	377	5.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	3,550	46.6%
High school (grades 9-12)	1,950	25.6%
College or graduate school	1,296	17.0%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	13,222	13,222
Less than 9th grade	3,305	25.0%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	1,478	11.2%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	3,978	30.1%
Some college, no degree	2,230	16.9%
Associate's degree	1,065	8.1%
Bachelor's degree	776	5.9%
Graduate or professional degree	390	2.9%
High school graduate or higher	8,439	63.8%
Bachelor's degree or higher	1,166	8.8%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	15,715	15,715
Civilian veterans	422	2.7%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	23,906	23,906
With a disability	2,257	9.4%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Under 18 years	8,277	8,277
With a disability	388	4.7%
18 to 64 years	13,694	13,694
With a disability	1,218	8.9%
65 years and over	1,935	1,935
With a disability	651	33.6%
<b>RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO</b>		
Population 1 year and over	23,562	23,562
Same house	20,832	88.4%
Different house in the U.S.	2,707	11.5%
Same county	2,086	8.9%
Different county	621	2.6%
Same state	597	2.5%
Different state	24	0.1%
Abroad	23	0.1%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	24,015	24,015
Native	17,423	72.6%
Born in United States	17,318	72.1%
State of residence	15,636	65.1%
Different state	1,682	7.0%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	105	0.4%
Foreign born	6,592	27.4%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	6,592	6,592
Naturalized U.S. citizen	1,884	28.6%
Not a U.S. citizen	4,708	71.4%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Population born outside the United States	6,697	6,697
Native	105	105
Entered 2010 or later	55	52.4%
Entered before 2010	50	47.6%
Foreign born	6,592	6,592
Entered 2010 or later	621	9.4%
Entered before 2010	5,971	90.6%
<b>WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN</b>		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	6,592	6,592
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	343	5.2%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	6,249	94.8%
Northern America	0	0.0%
<b>LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME</b>		
Population 5 years and over	21,667	21,667
English only	6,914	31.9%
Language other than English	14,753	68.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	5,928	27.4%
Spanish	14,271	65.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	5,717	26.4%
Other Indo-European languages	29	0.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Dinuba city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	284	1.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	158	0.7%
Other languages	169	0.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	53	0.2%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	24,015	24,015
American	158	0.7%
Arab	89	0.4%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	52	0.2%
English	464	1.9%
French (except Basque)	60	0.2%
French Canadian	16	0.1%
German	712	3.0%
Greek	8	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	320	1.3%
Italian	120	0.5%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	30	0.1%
Polish	16	0.1%
Portuguese	27	0.1%
Russian	28	0.1%
Scotch-Irish	18	0.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Scottish	61	0.3%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	16	0.1%
Swedish	22	0.1%
Swiss	20	0.1%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	55	0.2%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	23	0.1%
<b>COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE</b>		
Total households	6,174	6,174
With a computer	5,506	89.2%
With a broadband Internet	4,638	75.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	16,714	16,714
In labor force	10,446	62.5%
Civilian labor force	10,423	62.4%
Employed	9,217	55.1%
Unemployed	1,206	7.2%
Armed Forces	23	0.1%
Not in labor force	6,268	37.5%
Civilian labor force	10,423	10,423
Unemployment Rate	(X)	11.6%
Females 16 years and over	8,382	8,382
In labor force	4,513	53.8%
Civilian labor force	4,513	53.8%
Employed	4,112	49.1%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	2,810	2,810
All parents in family in labor force	1,765	62.8%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	5,326	5,326
All parents in family in labor force	3,542	66.5%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	9,000	9,000
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	7,009	77.9%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	1,489	16.5%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	98	1.1%
Walked	46	0.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Dinuba city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	206	2.3%
Worked from home	152	1.7%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	21.2	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	9,217	9,217
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	1,923	20.9%
Service occupations	1,541	16.7%
Sales and office occupations	1,416	15.4%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	2,394	26.0%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	1,943	21.1%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	9,217	9,217
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	1,958	21.2%
Construction	471	5.1%
Manufacturing	938	10.2%
Wholesale trade	561	6.1%
Retail trade	883	9.6%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	371	4.0%
Information	79	0.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	221	2.4%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	464	5.0%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	1,642	17.8%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	856	9.3%
Other services, except public administration	430	4.7%
Public administration	343	3.7%
<b>CLASS OF WORKER</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	9,217	9,217
Private wage and salary workers	7,736	83.9%
Government workers	1,222	13.3%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	259	2.8%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
<b>INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)</b>		
Total households	6,174	6,174

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Dinuba city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	267	4.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	339	5.5%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	820	13.3%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	858	13.9%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,044	16.9%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	999	16.2%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	658	10.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	827	13.4%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	261	4.2%
\$200,000 or more	101	1.6%
Median household income (dollars)	44,289	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	63,125	(X)
With earnings	5,318	86.1%
Mean earnings (dollars)	61,850	(X)
With Social Security	1,362	22.1%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	17,148	(X)
With retirement income	649	10.5%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	25,481	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	363	5.9%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	8,754	(X)
With cash public assistance income	928	15.0%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	4,496	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Dinuba city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	1,745	28.3%
Families	5,346	5,346
Less than \$10,000	281	5.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	273	5.1%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	669	12.5%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	737	13.8%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	962	18.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	833	15.6%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	608	11.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	714	13.4%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	174	3.3%
\$200,000 or more	95	1.8%
Median family income (dollars)	43,990	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	62,674	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	16,918	(X)
Nonfamily households	828	828
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	26,950	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	42,414	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	24,784	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	38,436	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Dinuba city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	32,983	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	23,906	23,906
With health insurance coverage	21,784	91.1%
With private health insurance	9,861	41.2%
With public coverage	13,538	56.6%
No health insurance coverage	2,122	8.9%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	8,769	8,769
No health insurance coverage	209	2.4%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	13,202	13,202
In labor force:	9,814	9,814
Employed:	8,761	8,761
With health insurance coverage	7,482	85.4%
With private health insurance	5,087	58.1%
With public coverage	2,723	31.1%
No health insurance coverage	1,279	14.6%
Unemployed:	1,053	1,053

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
With health insurance coverage	916	87.0%
With private health insurance	314	29.8%
With public coverage	656	62.3%
No health insurance coverage	137	13.0%
Not in labor force:	3,388	3,388
With health insurance coverage	2,925	86.3%
With private health insurance	739	21.8%
With public coverage	2,316	68.4%
No health insurance coverage	463	13.7%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	23.7%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	31.9%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	28.9%
Married couple families	(X)	15.8%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	21.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	16.8%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	39.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	50.2%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	70.8%
All people	(X)	26.4%
Under 18 years	(X)	36.1%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	35.9%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	42.1%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	33.5%
18 years and over	(X)	21.3%
18 to 64 years	(X)	22.6%
65 years and over	(X)	12.1%
People in families	(X)	25.7%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	33.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>HOUSING OCCUPANCY</b>		
Total housing units	6,348	6,348
Occupied housing units	6,174	97.3%
Vacant housing units	174	2.7%
Homeowner vacancy rate	1.7	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	1.0	(X)
<b>UNITS IN STRUCTURE</b>		
Total housing units	6,348	6,348
1-unit, detached	4,454	70.2%
1-unit, attached	170	2.7%
2 units	196	3.1%
3 or 4 units	450	7.1%
5 to 9 units	474	7.5%
10 to 19 units	258	4.1%
20 or more units	170	2.7%
Mobile home	171	2.7%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	5	0.1%
<b>YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT</b>		
Total housing units	6,348	6,348
Built 2014 or later	210	3.3%
Built 2010 to 2013	523	8.2%
Built 2000 to 2009	914	14.4%
Built 1990 to 1999	951	15.0%
Built 1980 to 1989	596	9.4%
Built 1970 to 1979	920	14.5%
Built 1960 to 1969	642	10.1%
Built 1950 to 1959	642	10.1%
Built 1940 to 1949	544	8.6%
Built 1939 or earlier	406	6.4%
<b>ROOMS</b>		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Total housing units	6,348	6,348
1 room	162	2.6%
2 rooms	59	0.9%
3 rooms	449	7.1%
4 rooms	1,113	17.5%
5 rooms	1,921	30.3%
6 rooms	1,497	23.6%
7 rooms	654	10.3%
8 rooms	362	5.7%
9 rooms or more	131	2.1%
Median rooms	5.2	(X)
<b>BEDROOMS</b>		
Total housing units	6,348	6,348
No bedroom	162	2.6%
1 bedroom	393	6.2%
2 bedrooms	1,315	20.7%
3 bedrooms	3,000	47.3%
4 bedrooms	1,384	21.8%
5 or more bedrooms	94	1.5%
<b>HOUSING TENURE</b>		
Occupied housing units	6,174	6,174
Owner-occupied	3,243	52.5%
Renter-occupied	2,931	47.5%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.75	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.97	(X)
<b>YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT</b>		
Occupied housing units	6,174	6,174

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Moved in 2017 or later	427	6.9%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	944	15.3%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	1,945	31.5%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	1,288	20.9%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	867	14.0%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	703	11.4%
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
Occupied housing units	6,174	6,174
No vehicles available	352	5.7%
1 vehicle available	1,884	30.5%
2 vehicles available	2,079	33.7%
3 or more vehicles available	1,859	30.1%
<b>HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>		
Occupied housing units	6,174	6,174
Utility gas	4,463	72.3%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	71	1.1%
Electricity	1,510	24.5%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	5	0.1%
Wood	22	0.4%
Solar energy	79	1.3%
Other fuel	9	0.1%
No fuel used	15	0.2%
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
Occupied housing units	6,174	6,174
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	32	0.5%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	33	0.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Dinuba city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	109	1.8%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	6,174	6,174
1.00 or less	5,275	85.4%
1.01 to 1.50	679	11.0%
1.51 or more	220	3.6%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	3,243	3,243
Less than \$50,000	159	4.9%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	175	5.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	503	15.5%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	828	25.5%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	1,153	35.6%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	260	8.0%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	156	4.8%
\$1,000,000 or more	9	0.3%
Median (dollars)	197,500	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	3,243	3,243
Housing units with a mortgage	2,413	74.4%
Housing units without a mortgage	830	25.6%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	2,413	2,413
Less than \$500	13	0.5%
\$500 to \$999	611	25.3%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	1,057	43.8%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
\$1,500 to \$1,999	485	20.1%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	170	7.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	53	2.2%
\$3,000 or more	24	1.0%
Median (dollars)	1,294	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	830	830
Less than \$250	201	24.2%
\$250 to \$399	160	19.3%
\$400 to \$599	338	40.7%
\$600 to \$799	99	11.9%
\$800 to \$999	24	2.9%
\$1,000 or more	8	1.0%
Median (dollars)	431	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	2,413	2,413
Less than 20.0 percent	1,032	42.8%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	301	12.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	282	11.7%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	260	10.8%
35.0 percent or more	538	22.3%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Dinuba city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	830	830
Less than 10.0 percent	528	63.6%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	69	8.3%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	139	16.7%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	32	3.9%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	12	1.4%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	50	6.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	2,866	2,866
Less than \$500	355	12.4%
\$500 to \$999	1,337	46.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	886	30.9%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	251	8.8%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	14	0.5%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	7	0.2%
\$3,000 or more	16	0.6%
Median (dollars)	932	(X)
No rent paid	65	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	2,816	2,816
Less than 15.0 percent	383	13.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
15.0 to 19.9 percent	196	7.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	318	11.3%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	353	12.5%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	267	9.5%
35.0 percent or more	1,299	46.1%
Not computed	115	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Dinuba city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	24,015	24,015
Male	12,149	50.6%
Female	11,866	49.4%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	102.4	(X)
Under 5 years	2,348	9.8%
5 to 9 years	2,234	9.3%
10 to 14 years	2,195	9.1%
15 to 19 years	2,415	10.1%
20 to 24 years	1,601	6.7%
25 to 34 years	3,785	15.8%
35 to 44 years	3,100	12.9%
45 to 54 years	2,526	10.5%
55 to 59 years	1,036	4.3%
60 to 64 years	769	3.2%
65 to 74 years	1,135	4.7%
75 to 84 years	640	2.7%
85 years and over	231	1.0%
Median age (years)	27.7	(X)
Under 18 years	8,277	34.5%
16 years and over	16,714	69.6%
18 years and over	15,738	65.5%
21 years and over	14,520	60.5%
62 years and over	2,417	10.1%
65 years and over	2,006	8.4%
18 years and over	15,738	15,738
Male	7,760	49.3%
Female	7,978	50.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Dinuba city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	97.3	(X)
65 years and over	2,006	2,006
Male	800	39.9%
Female	1,206	60.1%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	66.3	(X)
RACE		
Total population	24,015	24,015
One race	23,428	97.6%
Two or more races	587	2.4%
One race	23,428	97.6%
White	17,644	73.5%
Black or African American	110	0.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	131	0.5%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	25	0.1%
Sioux tribal grouping	24	0.1%
Asian	489	2.0%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	8	0.0%
Filipino	202	0.8%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	9	0.0%
Vietnamese	169	0.7%
Other Asian	101	0.4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	3	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	3	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	5,051	21.0%
Two or more races	587	2.4%
White and Black or African American	53	0.2%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	103	0.4%
White and Asian	64	0.3%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	24,015	24,015
White	18,048	75.2%
Black or African American	234	1.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	261	1.1%
Asian	719	3.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	49	0.2%
Some other race	5,345	22.3%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	24,015	24,015
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	20,701	86.2%
Mexican	20,356	84.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Dinuba city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Puerto Rican	46	0.2%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	299	1.2%
Not Hispanic or Latino	3,314	13.8%
White alone	2,520	10.5%
Black or African American alone	79	0.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	42	0.2%
Asian alone	466	1.9%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	3	0.0%
Some other race alone	38	0.2%
Two or more races	166	0.7%
Two races including Some other race	54	0.2%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	112	0.5%
Total housing units	6,348	(X)
<b>CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION</b>		
Citizen, 18 and over population	11,295	11,295
Male	5,598	49.6%
Female	5,697	50.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	143	143
Married-couple family	89	62.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	65	45.5%
Cohabiting couple household	36	25.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	36	25.2%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	13	9.1%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	6	4.2%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	5	3.5%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	0	0.0%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	106	74.1%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	28	19.6%
Average household size	5.58	(X)
Average family size	5.49	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	798	798
Householder	143	17.9%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	88	11.0%
Unmarried partner	40	5.0%
Child	444	55.6%
Other relatives	83	10.4%
Other nonrelatives	0	0.0%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	237	237
Never married	108	45.6%
Now married, except separated	107	45.1%
Separated	8	3.4%
Widowed	7	3.0%
Divorced	7	3.0%
Females 15 years and over	212	212
Never married	92	43.4%
Now married, except separated	106	50.0%
Separated	5	2.4%
Widowed	9	4.2%
Divorced	0	0.0%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	0	0
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	0	-
Per 1,000 unmarried women	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	41	41
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	0.0%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	305	305
Nursery school, preschool	0	0.0%
Kindergarten	76	24.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	173	56.7%
High school (grades 9-12)	49	16.1%
College or graduate school	7	2.3%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	337	337
Less than 9th grade	127	37.7%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	68	20.2%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	101	30.0%
Some college, no degree	41	12.2%
Associate's degree	0	0.0%
Bachelor's degree	0	0.0%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	142	42.1%
Bachelor's degree or higher	0	0.0%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	429	429
Civilian veterans	0	0.0%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	798	798
With a disability	54	6.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	369	369
With a disability	6	1.6%
18 to 64 years	389	389
With a disability	26	6.7%
65 years and over	40	40
With a disability	22	55.0%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	798	798
Same house	798	100.0%
Different house in the U.S.	0	0.0%
Same county	0	0.0%
Different county	0	0.0%
Same state	0	0.0%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	798	798
Native	597	74.8%
Born in United States	597	74.8%
State of residence	555	69.5%
Different state	42	5.3%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	0	0.0%
Foreign born	201	25.2%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	201	201
Naturalized U.S. citizen	13	6.5%
Not a U.S. citizen	188	93.5%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	201	201
Native	0	0
Entered 2010 or later	0	-
Entered before 2010	0	-
Foreign born	201	201
Entered 2010 or later	31	15.4%
Entered before 2010	170	84.6%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	201	201
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	201	100.0%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	727	727
English only	141	19.4%
Language other than English	586	80.6%
Speak English less than "very well"	257	35.4%
Spanish	586	80.6%
Speak English less than "very well"	257	35.4%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	798	798
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	6	0.8%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	0	0.0%
Greek	6	0.8%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	0	0.0%
Italian	0	0.0%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	0	0.0%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	143	143
With a computer	109	76.2%
With a broadband Internet	95	66.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	449	449
In labor force	274	61.0%
Civilian labor force	274	61.0%
Employed	218	48.6%
Unemployed	56	12.5%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	175	39.0%
Civilian labor force	274	274
Unemployment Rate	(X)	20.4%
Females 16 years and over	212	212
In labor force	77	36.3%
Civilian labor force	77	36.3%
Employed	77	36.3%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	147	147
All parents in family in labor force	83	56.5%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	222	222
All parents in family in labor force	178	80.2%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	176	176
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	132	75.0%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	44	25.0%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	0	0.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	29.6	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	218	218
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	0	0.0%
Service occupations	0	0.0%
Sales and office occupations	13	6.0%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	141	64.7%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	64	29.4%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	218	218
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	125	57.3%
Construction	13	6.0%
Manufacturing	0	0.0%
Wholesale trade	0	0.0%
Retail trade	51	23.4%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	0	0.0%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	0	0.0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	0	0.0%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	0	0.0%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	16	7.3%
Other services, except public administration	13	6.0%
Public administration	0	0.0%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	218	218
Private wage and salary workers	218	100.0%
Government workers	0	0.0%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	0	0.0%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	143	143

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	13	9.1%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	40	28.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	35	24.5%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	55	38.5%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	42,292	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	45,086	(X)
With earnings	119	83.2%
Mean earnings (dollars)	45,282	(X)
With Social Security	24	16.8%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	23,392	(X)
With retirement income	0	0.0%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	-	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	6	4.2%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	N	(X)
With cash public assistance income	42	29.4%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	8,474	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	111	77.6%
Families	137	137
Less than \$10,000	20	14.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	7	5.1%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	36	26.3%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	19	13.9%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	55	40.1%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	36,058	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	41,309	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	8,378	(X)
Nonfamily households	6	6
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	N	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	15,994	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	26,803	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	-	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	798	798
With health insurance coverage	727	91.1%
With private health insurance	52	6.5%
With public coverage	699	87.6%
No health insurance coverage	71	8.9%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	398	398
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	360	360
In labor force:	256	256
Employed:	200	200
With health insurance coverage	147	73.5%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	147	73.5%
No health insurance coverage	53	26.5%
Unemployed:	56	56

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	56	100.0%
With private health insurance	28	50.0%
With public coverage	28	50.0%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Not in labor force:	104	104
With health insurance coverage	104	100.0%
With private health insurance	7	6.7%
With public coverage	104	100.0%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	39.4%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	50.9%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Married couple families	(X)	14.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	20.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	100.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	100.0%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	46.0%
Under 18 years	(X)	62.9%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	62.9%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	78.9%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	59.1%
18 years and over	(X)	31.5%
18 to 64 years	(X)	28.8%
65 years and over	(X)	57.5%
People in families	(X)	46.3%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	41.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	143	143
Occupied housing units	143	100.0%
Vacant housing units	0	0.0%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	143	143
1-unit, detached	108	75.5%
1-unit, attached	0	0.0%
2 units	20	14.0%
3 or 4 units	15	10.5%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	0	0.0%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	143	143
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	0	0.0%
Built 1990 to 1999	5	3.5%
Built 1980 to 1989	0	0.0%
Built 1970 to 1979	15	10.5%
Built 1960 to 1969	49	34.3%
Built 1950 to 1959	27	18.9%
Built 1940 to 1949	0	0.0%
Built 1939 or earlier	47	32.9%
ROOMS		



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	143	143
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	26	18.2%
4 rooms	0	0.0%
5 rooms	64	44.8%
6 rooms	0	0.0%
7 rooms	47	32.9%
8 rooms	6	4.2%
9 rooms or more	0	0.0%
Median rooms	5.2	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	143	143
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	20	14.0%
2 bedrooms	21	14.7%
3 bedrooms	55	38.5%
4 bedrooms	0	0.0%
5 or more bedrooms	47	32.9%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	143	143
Owner-occupied	24	16.8%
Renter-occupied	119	83.2%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	4.00	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	5.90	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	143	143

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	0	0.0%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	0	0.0%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	95	66.4%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	30	21.0%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	12	8.4%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	6	4.2%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	143	143
No vehicles available	7	4.9%
1 vehicle available	41	28.7%
2 vehicles available	49	34.3%
3 or more vehicles available	46	32.2%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	143	143
Utility gas	106	74.1%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	0	0.0%
Electricity	22	15.4%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	15	10.5%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	143	143
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	6	4.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
<b>OCCUPANTS PER ROOM</b>		
Occupied housing units	143	143
1.00 or less	83	58.0%
1.01 to 1.50	40	28.0%
1.51 or more	20	14.0%
<b>VALUE</b>		
Owner-occupied units	24	24
Less than \$50,000	7	29.2%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	6	25.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	5	20.8%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	0	0.0%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	0	0.0%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	0	0.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	6	25.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
<b>MORTGAGE STATUS</b>		
Owner-occupied units	24	24
Housing units with a mortgage	5	20.8%
Housing units without a mortgage	19	79.2%
<b>SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)</b>		
Housing units with a mortgage	5	5
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	5	100.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	19	19
Less than \$250	7	36.8%
\$250 to \$399	0	0.0%
\$400 to \$599	12	63.2%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	442	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	5	5
Less than 20.0 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	5	100.0%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	19	19
Less than 10.0 percent	0	0.0%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	7	36.8%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	6	31.6%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	6	31.6%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	119	119
Less than \$500	20	16.8%
\$500 to \$999	86	72.3%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	13	10.9%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	815	(X)
No rent paid	0	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	119	119
Less than 15.0 percent	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	75	63.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	16	13.4%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	15	12.6%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	13	10.9%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	798	798
Male	364	45.6%
Female	434	54.4%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	83.9	(X)
Under 5 years	71	8.9%
5 to 9 years	163	20.4%
10 to 14 years	115	14.4%
15 to 19 years	56	7.0%
20 to 24 years	56	7.0%
25 to 34 years	105	13.2%
35 to 44 years	95	11.9%
45 to 54 years	66	8.3%
55 to 59 years	7	0.9%
60 to 64 years	24	3.0%
65 to 74 years	23	2.9%
75 to 84 years	0	0.0%
85 years and over	17	2.1%
Median age (years)	19.1	(X)
Under 18 years	369	46.2%
16 years and over	449	56.3%
18 years and over	429	53.8%
21 years and over	393	49.2%
62 years and over	58	7.3%
65 years and over	40	5.0%
18 years and over	429	429
Male	231	53.8%
Female	198	46.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	116.7	(X)
65 years and over	40	40
Male	26	65.0%
Female	14	35.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	185.7	(X)
RACE		
Total population	798	798
One race	798	100.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
One race	798	100.0%
White	733	91.9%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	65	8.1%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	798	798
White	733	91.9%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	65	8.1%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	798	798
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	792	99.2%
Mexican	777	97.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	East Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	15	1.9%
Not Hispanic or Latino	6	0.8%
White alone	6	0.8%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	0	0.0%
Total housing units	143	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	241	241
Male	103	42.7%
Female	138	57.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	583	583
Married-couple family	348	59.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	121	20.8%
Cohabiting couple household	29	5.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	26	4.5%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	83	14.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	24	4.1%
Householder living alone	44	7.5%
65 years and over	18	3.1%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	123	21.1%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	33	5.7%
Householder living alone	52	8.9%
65 years and over	40	6.9%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	249	42.7%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	174	29.8%
Average household size	3.46	(X)
Average family size	3.80	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	2,018	2,018
Householder	583	28.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	347	17.2%
Unmarried partner	29	1.4%
Child	698	34.6%
Other relatives	311	15.4%
Other nonrelatives	50	2.5%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	757	757
Never married	254	33.6%
Now married, except separated	418	55.2%
Separated	9	1.2%
Widowed	9	1.2%
Divorced	67	8.9%
Females 15 years and over	783	783
Never married	184	23.5%
Now married, except separated	399	51.0%
Separated	40	5.1%
Widowed	107	13.7%
Divorced	53	6.8%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	27	27
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	21	77.8%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	104	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	60	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	100	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	120	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	89	89
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	35	39.3%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	35	39.3%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	35	35
Who are female	20	57.1%
Who are married	35	100.0%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	564	564
Nursery school, preschool	19	3.4%
Kindergarten	75	13.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	272	48.2%
High school (grades 9-12)	69	12.2%
College or graduate school	129	22.9%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	1,296	1,296
Less than 9th grade	208	16.0%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	72	5.6%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	408	31.5%
Some college, no degree	266	20.5%
Associate's degree	106	8.2%
Bachelor's degree	156	12.0%
Graduate or professional degree	80	6.2%
High school graduate or higher	1,016	78.4%
Bachelor's degree or higher	236	18.2%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	1,479	1,479
Civilian veterans	44	3.0%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	2,018	2,018
With a disability	330	16.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	539	539
With a disability	60	11.1%
18 to 64 years	1,226	1,226
With a disability	141	11.5%
65 years and over	253	253
With a disability	129	51.0%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	1,996	1,996
Same house	1,678	84.1%
Different house in the U.S.	268	13.4%
Same county	265	13.3%
Different county	3	0.2%
Same state	0	0.0%
Different state	3	0.2%
Abroad	50	2.5%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	2,018	2,018
Native	1,562	77.4%
Born in United States	1,545	76.6%
State of residence	1,399	69.3%
Different state	146	7.2%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	17	0.8%
Foreign born	456	22.6%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	456	456
Naturalized U.S. citizen	104	22.8%
Not a U.S. citizen	352	77.2%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	473	473
Native	17	17
Entered 2010 or later	17	100.0%
Entered before 2010	0	0.0%
Foreign born	456	456
Entered 2010 or later	50	11.0%
Entered before 2010	406	89.0%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	456	456
Europe	15	3.3%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	441	96.7%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	1,918	1,918
English only	970	50.6%
Language other than English	948	49.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	407	21.2%
Spanish	914	47.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	397	20.7%
Other Indo-European languages	34	1.8%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	10	0.5%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	2,018	2,018
American	37	1.8%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	8	0.4%
English	49	2.4%
French (except Basque)	8	0.4%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	133	6.6%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	57	2.8%
Italian	25	1.2%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	18	0.9%
Portuguese	63	3.1%
Russian	7	0.3%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	69	3.4%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	7	0.3%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	583	583
With a computer	480	82.3%
With a broadband Internet	438	75.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	1,534	1,534
In labor force	959	62.5%
Civilian labor force	959	62.5%
Employed	900	58.7%
Unemployed	59	3.8%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	575	37.5%
Civilian labor force	959	959
Unemployment Rate	(X)	6.2%
Females 16 years and over	777	777
In labor force	374	48.1%
Civilian labor force	374	48.1%
Employed	367	47.2%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	131	131
All parents in family in labor force	100	76.3%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	357	357
All parents in family in labor force	227	63.6%
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	801	801
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	658	82.1%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	42	5.2%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	15	1.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	6	0.7%
Worked from home	80	10.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	21.2	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	900	900
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	322	35.8%
Service occupations	156	17.3%
Sales and office occupations	124	13.8%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	219	24.3%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	79	8.8%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	900	900
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	155	17.2%
Construction	59	6.6%
Manufacturing	28	3.1%
Wholesale trade	28	3.1%
Retail trade	91	10.1%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	70	7.8%
Information	12	1.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	28	3.1%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	35	3.9%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	278	30.9%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	33	3.7%
Other services, except public administration	8	0.9%
Public administration	75	8.3%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	900	900
Private wage and salary workers	604	67.1%
Government workers	252	28.0%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	44	4.9%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	583	583

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	19	3.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	46	7.9%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	49	8.4%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	73	12.5%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	71	12.2%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	78	13.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	71	12.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	124	21.3%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	18	3.1%
\$200,000 or more	34	5.8%
Median household income (dollars)	58,843	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	80,016	(X)
With earnings	470	80.6%
Mean earnings (dollars)	80,291	(X)
With Social Security	216	37.0%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	17,894	(X)
With retirement income	103	17.7%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	29,490	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	61	10.5%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	5,685	(X)
With cash public assistance income	9	1.5%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	N	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	119	20.4%
Families	484	484
Less than \$10,000	10	2.1%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	18	3.7%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	45	9.3%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	56	11.6%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	73	15.1%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	54	11.2%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	64	13.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	112	23.1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	18	3.7%
\$200,000 or more	34	7.0%
Median family income (dollars)	59,352	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	87,347	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	24,234	(X)
Nonfamily households	99	99
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	17,917	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	27,131	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	26,667	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	35,208	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	44,432	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	2,018	2,018
With health insurance coverage	1,790	88.7%
With private health insurance	1,104	54.7%
With public coverage	829	41.1%
No health insurance coverage	228	11.3%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	579	579
No health insurance coverage	43	7.4%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	1,186	1,186
In labor force:	911	911
Employed:	852	852
With health insurance coverage	724	85.0%
With private health insurance	651	76.4%
With public coverage	93	10.9%
No health insurance coverage	128	15.0%
Unemployed:	59	59



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	40	67.8%
With private health insurance	7	11.9%
With public coverage	33	55.9%
No health insurance coverage	19	32.2%
Not in labor force:	275	275
With health insurance coverage	243	88.4%
With private health insurance	119	43.3%
With public coverage	168	61.1%
No health insurance coverage	32	11.6%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	18.8%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	28.2%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Married couple families	(X)	12.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	21.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	48.5%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	55.4%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
All people	(X)	21.4%
Under 18 years	(X)	37.8%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	37.8%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	61.0%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	32.3%
18 years and over	(X)	15.6%
18 to 64 years	(X)	14.2%
65 years and over	(X)	22.5%
People in families	(X)	21.5%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	19.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	645	645
Occupied housing units	583	90.4%
Vacant housing units	62	9.6%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	9.8	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	645	645
1-unit, detached	552	85.6%
1-unit, attached	41	6.4%
2 units	0	0.0%
3 or 4 units	29	4.5%
5 to 9 units	5	0.8%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	5	0.8%
Mobile home	13	2.0%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	645	645
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	60	9.3%
Built 1990 to 1999	34	5.3%
Built 1980 to 1989	69	10.7%
Built 1970 to 1979	77	11.9%
Built 1960 to 1969	121	18.8%
Built 1950 to 1959	119	18.4%
Built 1940 to 1949	95	14.7%
Built 1939 or earlier	70	10.9%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	645	645
1 room	5	0.8%
2 rooms	32	5.0%
3 rooms	25	3.9%
4 rooms	39	6.0%
5 rooms	214	33.2%
6 rooms	191	29.6%
7 rooms	88	13.6%
8 rooms	5	0.8%
9 rooms or more	46	7.1%
Median rooms	5.5	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	645	645
No bedroom	5	0.8%
1 bedroom	37	5.7%
2 bedrooms	89	13.8%
3 bedrooms	372	57.7%
4 bedrooms	138	21.4%
5 or more bedrooms	4	0.6%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	583	583
Owner-occupied	399	68.4%
Renter-occupied	184	31.6%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.14	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	4.16	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	583	583

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	60	10.3%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	76	13.0%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	86	14.8%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	135	23.2%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	59	10.1%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	167	28.6%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	583	583
No vehicles available	19	3.3%
1 vehicle available	122	20.9%
2 vehicles available	230	39.5%
3 or more vehicles available	212	36.4%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	583	583
Utility gas	332	56.9%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	44	7.5%
Electricity	156	26.8%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	21	3.6%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	13	2.2%
Other fuel	17	2.9%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	583	583
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
<b>OCCUPANTS PER ROOM</b>		
Occupied housing units	583	583
1.00 or less	540	92.6%
1.01 to 1.50	34	5.8%
1.51 or more	9	1.5%
<b>VALUE</b>		
Owner-occupied units	399	399
Less than \$50,000	0	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	50	12.5%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	55	13.8%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	71	17.8%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	88	22.1%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	101	25.3%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	34	8.5%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	222,200	(X)
<b>MORTGAGE STATUS</b>		
Owner-occupied units	399	399
Housing units with a mortgage	300	75.2%
Housing units without a mortgage	99	24.8%
<b>SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)</b>		
Housing units with a mortgage	300	300
Less than \$500	13	4.3%
\$500 to \$999	69	23.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	45	15.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	93	31.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	45	15.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	8	2.7%
\$3,000 or more	27	9.0%
Median (dollars)	1,583	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	99	99
Less than \$250	18	18.2%
\$250 to \$399	36	36.4%
\$400 to \$599	30	30.3%
\$600 to \$799	8	8.1%
\$800 to \$999	7	7.1%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	355	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	300	300
Less than 20.0 percent	122	40.7%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	11	3.7%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	33	11.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	14	4.7%
35.0 percent or more	120	40.0%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	99	99
Less than 10.0 percent	55	55.6%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	7	7.1%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	5	5.1%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	17	17.2%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	9	9.1%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	6	6.1%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	167	167
Less than \$500	9	5.4%
\$500 to \$999	34	20.4%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	100	59.9%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	20	12.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	4	2.4%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	1,113	(X)
No rent paid	17	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	167	167
Less than 15.0 percent	20	12.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	20	12.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	20	12.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	20	12.0%
35.0 percent or more	87	52.1%
Not computed	17	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	2,018	2,018
Male	1,024	50.7%
Female	994	49.3%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	103.0	(X)
Under 5 years	100	5.0%
5 to 9 years	239	11.8%
10 to 14 years	139	6.9%
15 to 19 years	127	6.3%
20 to 24 years	117	5.8%
25 to 34 years	294	14.6%
35 to 44 years	178	8.8%
45 to 54 years	352	17.4%
55 to 59 years	114	5.6%
60 to 64 years	105	5.2%
65 to 74 years	143	7.1%
75 to 84 years	70	3.5%
85 years and over	40	2.0%
Median age (years)	34.3	(X)
Under 18 years	539	26.7%
16 years and over	1,534	76.0%
18 years and over	1,479	73.3%
21 years and over	1,398	69.3%
62 years and over	285	14.1%
65 years and over	253	12.5%
18 years and over	1,479	1,479
Male	739	50.0%
Female	740	50.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	99.9	(X)
65 years and over	253	253
Male	101	39.9%
Female	152	60.1%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	66.4	(X)
RACE		
Total population	2,018	2,018
One race	1,939	96.1%
Two or more races	79	3.9%
One race	1,939	96.1%
White	1,488	73.7%
Black or African American	9	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	9	0.4%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	38	1.9%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	19	0.9%
Japanese	19	0.9%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	395	19.6%
Two or more races	79	3.9%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	8	0.4%
White and Asian	29	1.4%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	2,018	2,018
White	1,559	77.3%
Black or African American	17	0.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	17	0.8%
Asian	67	3.3%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	437	21.7%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	2,018	2,018
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	1,380	68.4%
Mexican	1,362	67.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Easton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	3	0.1%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	15	0.7%
Not Hispanic or Latino	638	31.6%
White alone	554	27.5%
Black or African American alone	9	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	38	1.9%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	37	1.8%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	37	1.8%
Total housing units	645	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	1,169	1,169
Male	579	49.5%
Female	590	50.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	2,075	2,075
Married-couple family	981	47.3%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	473	22.8%
Cohabiting couple household	246	11.9%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	182	8.8%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	313	15.1%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	37	1.8%
Householder living alone	165	8.0%
65 years and over	65	3.1%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	535	25.8%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	157	7.6%
Householder living alone	245	11.8%
65 years and over	160	7.7%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	924	44.5%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	640	30.8%
Average household size	3.12	(X)
Average family size	3.58	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	6,479	6,479
Householder	2,075	32.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	962	14.8%
Unmarried partner	249	3.8%
Child	2,418	37.3%
Other relatives	550	8.5%
Other nonrelatives	225	3.5%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	2,415	2,415
Never married	943	39.0%
Now married, except separated	1,072	44.4%
Separated	117	4.8%
Widowed	65	2.7%
Divorced	218	9.0%
Females 15 years and over	2,509	2,509
Never married	736	29.3%
Now married, except separated	1,055	42.0%
Separated	127	5.1%
Widowed	274	10.9%
Divorced	317	12.6%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	16	16
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	7	43.8%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	9	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	11	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	11	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	17	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	323	323
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	19	5.9%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	7	2.2%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	12	3.7%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	19	19
Who are female	9	47.4%
Who are married	19	100.0%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	1,903	1,903
Nursery school, preschool	174	9.1%
Kindergarten	60	3.2%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Fowler city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	1,017	53.4%
High school (grades 9-12)	293	15.4%
College or graduate school	359	18.9%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	4,309	4,309
Less than 9th grade	579	13.4%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	392	9.1%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	1,134	26.3%
Some college, no degree	966	22.4%
Associate's degree	358	8.3%
Bachelor's degree	645	15.0%
Graduate or professional degree	235	5.5%
High school graduate or higher	3,338	77.5%
Bachelor's degree or higher	880	20.4%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	4,663	4,663
Civilian veterans	250	5.4%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	6,479	6,479
With a disability	1,050	16.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Fowler city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Under 18 years	1,864	1,864
With a disability	41	2.2%
18 to 64 years	3,696	3,696
With a disability	585	15.8%
65 years and over	919	919
With a disability	424	46.1%
<b>RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO</b>		
Population 1 year and over	6,508	6,508
Same house	5,800	89.1%
Different house in the U.S.	708	10.9%
Same county	605	9.3%
Different county	103	1.6%
Same state	103	1.6%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	6,527	6,527
Native	5,304	81.3%
Born in United States	5,083	77.9%
State of residence	4,487	68.7%
Different state	596	9.1%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	221	3.4%
Foreign born	1,223	18.7%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	1,223	1,223
Naturalized U.S. citizen	779	63.7%
Not a U.S. citizen	444	36.3%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Fowler city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Population born outside the United States	1,444	1,444
Native	221	221
Entered 2010 or later	120	54.3%
Entered before 2010	101	45.7%
Foreign born	1,223	1,223
Entered 2010 or later	141	11.5%
Entered before 2010	1,082	88.5%
<b>WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN</b>		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	1,223	1,223
Europe	8	0.7%
Asia	563	46.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	652	53.3%
Northern America	0	0.0%
<b>LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME</b>		
Population 5 years and over	6,065	6,065
English only	3,444	56.8%
Language other than English	2,621	43.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	848	14.0%
Spanish	1,973	32.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	564	9.3%
Other Indo-European languages	574	9.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	270	4.5%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	74	1.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	14	0.2%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	6,527	6,527
American	67	1.0%
Arab	61	0.9%
Czech	9	0.1%
Danish	10	0.2%
Dutch	26	0.4%
English	120	1.8%
French (except Basque)	36	0.6%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	419	6.4%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	295	4.5%
Italian	137	2.1%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	5	0.1%
Polish	17	0.3%
Portuguese	165	2.5%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	18	0.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	25	0.4%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	8	0.1%
Swedish	57	0.9%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	8	0.1%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	2,075	2,075
With a computer	1,758	84.7%
With a broadband Internet	1,610	77.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	4,862	4,862
In labor force	2,905	59.7%
Civilian labor force	2,905	59.7%
Employed	2,730	56.1%
Unemployed	175	3.6%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	1,957	40.3%
Civilian labor force	2,905	2,905
Unemployment Rate	(X)	6.0%
Females 16 years and over	2,461	2,461
In labor force	1,255	51.0%
Civilian labor force	1,255	51.0%
Employed	1,141	46.4%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	550	550
All parents in family in labor force	478	86.9%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	1,314	1,314
All parents in family in labor force	1,008	76.7%
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	2,684	2,684
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	2,160	80.5%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	236	8.8%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	8	0.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	159	5.9%
Worked from home	121	4.5%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	21.3	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	2,730	2,730
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	774	28.4%
Service occupations	448	16.4%
Sales and office occupations	658	24.1%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	349	12.8%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	501	18.4%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	2,730	2,730
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	255	9.3%
Construction	169	6.2%
Manufacturing	174	6.4%
Wholesale trade	50	1.8%
Retail trade	473	17.3%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	117	4.3%
Information	24	0.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	84	3.1%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	237	8.7%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	715	26.2%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	184	6.7%
Other services, except public administration	59	2.2%
Public administration	189	6.9%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	2,730	2,730
Private wage and salary workers	2,036	74.6%
Government workers	574	21.0%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	120	4.4%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	2,075	2,075



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	219	10.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	100	4.8%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	162	7.8%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	175	8.4%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	264	12.7%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	441	21.3%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	224	10.8%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	309	14.9%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	107	5.2%
\$200,000 or more	74	3.6%
Median household income (dollars)	57,676	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	71,709	(X)
With earnings	1,512	72.9%
Mean earnings (dollars)	78,732	(X)
With Social Security	683	32.9%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	17,962	(X)
With retirement income	330	15.9%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	31,089	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	243	11.7%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	10,312	(X)
With cash public assistance income	147	7.1%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	2,873	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	315	15.2%
Families	1,525	1,525
Less than \$10,000	101	6.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	66	4.3%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	123	8.1%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	148	9.7%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	153	10.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	338	22.2%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	160	10.5%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	264	17.3%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	107	7.0%
\$200,000 or more	65	4.3%
Median family income (dollars)	61,315	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	79,301	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	23,701	(X)
Nonfamily households	550	550
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	30,217	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	42,380	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	28,961	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	41,578	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	39,750	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	6,479	6,479
With health insurance coverage	6,013	92.8%
With private health insurance	3,806	58.7%
With public coverage	3,011	46.5%
No health insurance coverage	466	7.2%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	1,947	1,947
No health insurance coverage	25	1.3%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	3,613	3,613
In labor force:	2,721	2,721
Employed:	2,551	2,551
With health insurance coverage	2,264	88.7%
With private health insurance	1,914	75.0%
With public coverage	411	16.1%
No health insurance coverage	287	11.3%
Unemployed:	170	170

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	134	78.8%
With private health insurance	53	31.2%
With public coverage	95	55.9%
No health insurance coverage	36	21.2%
Not in labor force:	892	892
With health insurance coverage	774	86.8%
With private health insurance	294	33.0%
With public coverage	509	57.1%
No health insurance coverage	118	13.2%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	15.4%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	25.4%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	42.6%
Married couple families	(X)	1.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	3.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	8.4%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	50.1%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	65.6%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	86.5%
All people	(X)	18.1%
Under 18 years	(X)	32.7%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	32.7%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	46.8%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	28.1%
18 years and over	(X)	12.2%
18 to 64 years	(X)	11.9%
65 years and over	(X)	13.5%
People in families	(X)	15.9%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	29.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	2,143	2,143
Occupied housing units	2,075	96.8%
Vacant housing units	68	3.2%
Homeowner vacancy rate	4.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	2,143	2,143
1-unit, detached	1,549	72.3%
1-unit, attached	47	2.2%
2 units	83	3.9%
3 or 4 units	159	7.4%
5 to 9 units	165	7.7%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	96	4.5%
Mobile home	44	2.1%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	2,143	2,143
Built 2014 or later	114	5.3%
Built 2010 to 2013	16	0.7%
Built 2000 to 2009	618	28.8%
Built 1990 to 1999	313	14.6%
Built 1980 to 1989	151	7.0%
Built 1970 to 1979	213	9.9%
Built 1960 to 1969	142	6.6%
Built 1950 to 1959	170	7.9%
Built 1940 to 1949	127	5.9%
Built 1939 or earlier	279	13.0%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	2,143	2,143
1 room	91	4.2%
2 rooms	44	2.1%
3 rooms	213	9.9%
4 rooms	208	9.7%
5 rooms	548	25.6%
6 rooms	467	21.8%
7 rooms	244	11.4%
8 rooms	201	9.4%
9 rooms or more	127	5.9%
Median rooms	5.4	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	2,143	2,143
No bedroom	99	4.6%
1 bedroom	200	9.3%
2 bedrooms	370	17.3%
3 bedrooms	987	46.1%
4 bedrooms	449	21.0%
5 or more bedrooms	38	1.8%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	2,075	2,075
Owner-occupied	1,091	52.6%
Renter-occupied	984	47.4%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.21	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.03	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	2,075	2,075

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Fowler city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Moved in 2017 or later	126	6.1%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	335	16.1%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	499	24.0%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	621	29.9%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	279	13.4%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	215	10.4%
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
Occupied housing units	2,075	2,075
No vehicles available	179	8.6%
1 vehicle available	589	28.4%
2 vehicles available	859	41.4%
3 or more vehicles available	448	21.6%
<b>HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>		
Occupied housing units	2,075	2,075
Utility gas	1,357	65.4%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	12	0.6%
Electricity	591	28.5%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	29	1.4%
Solar energy	44	2.1%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	42	2.0%
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
Occupied housing units	2,075	2,075
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	27	1.3%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	62	3.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	95	4.6%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	2,075	2,075
1.00 or less	1,959	94.4%
1.01 to 1.50	39	1.9%
1.51 or more	77	3.7%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	1,091	1,091
Less than \$50,000	25	2.3%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	97	8.9%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	89	8.2%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	144	13.2%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	410	37.6%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	281	25.8%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	45	4.1%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	253,300	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	1,091	1,091
Housing units with a mortgage	702	64.3%
Housing units without a mortgage	389	35.7%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	702	702
Less than \$500	7	1.0%
\$500 to \$999	88	12.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	169	24.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	161	22.9%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	202	28.8%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	52	7.4%
\$3,000 or more	23	3.3%
Median (dollars)	1,744	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	389	389
Less than \$250	31	8.0%
\$250 to \$399	114	29.3%
\$400 to \$599	139	35.7%
\$600 to \$799	77	19.8%
\$800 to \$999	8	2.1%
\$1,000 or more	20	5.1%
Median (dollars)	506	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	702	702
Less than 20.0 percent	295	42.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	165	23.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	92	13.1%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	59	8.4%
35.0 percent or more	91	13.0%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	384	384
Less than 10.0 percent	166	43.2%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	100	26.0%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	32	8.3%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	23	6.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	8	2.1%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	33	8.6%
35.0 percent or more	22	5.7%
Not computed	5	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	956	956
Less than \$500	166	17.4%
\$500 to \$999	307	32.1%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	225	23.5%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	222	23.2%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	9	0.9%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	27	2.8%
Median (dollars)	1,010	(X)
No rent paid	28	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	940	940
Less than 15.0 percent	84	8.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	73	7.8%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	163	17.3%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	39	4.1%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	122	13.0%
35.0 percent or more	459	48.8%
Not computed	44	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	6,527	6,527
Male	3,083	47.2%
Female	3,444	52.8%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	89.5	(X)
Under 5 years	462	7.1%
5 to 9 years	488	7.5%
10 to 14 years	653	10.0%
15 to 19 years	367	5.6%
20 to 24 years	248	3.8%
25 to 34 years	1,206	18.5%
35 to 44 years	514	7.9%
45 to 54 years	970	14.9%
55 to 59 years	373	5.7%
60 to 64 years	293	4.5%
65 to 74 years	435	6.7%
75 to 84 years	346	5.3%
85 years and over	172	2.6%
Median age (years)	33.6	(X)
Under 18 years	1,864	28.6%
16 years and over	4,862	74.5%
18 years and over	4,663	71.4%
21 years and over	4,498	68.9%
62 years and over	1,086	16.6%
65 years and over	953	14.6%
18 years and over	4,663	4,663
Male	2,306	49.5%
Female	2,357	50.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Fowler city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	97.8	(X)
65 years and over	953	953
Male	411	43.1%
Female	542	56.9%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	75.8	(X)
RACE		
Total population	6,527	6,527
One race	6,209	95.1%
Two or more races	318	4.9%
One race	6,209	95.1%
White	4,553	69.8%
Black or African American	55	0.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	48	0.7%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	860	13.2%
Asian Indian	616	9.4%
Chinese	67	1.0%
Filipino	21	0.3%
Japanese	129	2.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	27	0.4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Fowler city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	693	10.6%
Two or more races	318	4.9%
White and Black or African American	30	0.5%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	209	3.2%
White and Asian	30	0.5%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	9	0.1%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	6,527	6,527
White	4,862	74.5%
Black or African American	103	1.6%
American Indian and Alaska Native	266	4.1%
Asian	899	13.8%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	724	11.1%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	6,527	6,527
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	4,191	64.2%
Mexican	4,078	62.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Fowler city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Puerto Rican	9	0.1%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	104	1.6%
Not Hispanic or Latino	2,336	35.8%
White alone	1,219	18.7%
Black or African American alone	17	0.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	17	0.3%
Asian alone	841	12.9%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	242	3.7%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	242	3.7%
Total housing units	2,143	(X)
<b>CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION</b>		
Citizen, 18 and over population	4,247	4,247
Male	2,071	48.8%
Female	2,176	51.2%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	40	40
Married-couple family	28	70.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	15	37.5%
Cohabiting couple household	6	15.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	6	15.0%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	0	0.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	0	0.0%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	6	15.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	6	15.0%
Householder living alone	0	0.0%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	27	67.5%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	8	20.0%
Average household size	3.38	(X)
Average family size	3.03	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	135	135
Householder	40	29.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	33	24.4%
Unmarried partner	6	4.4%
Child	45	33.3%
Other relatives	3	2.2%
Other nonrelatives	8	5.9%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	54	54
Never married	9	16.7%
Now married, except separated	45	83.3%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	0	0.0%
Divorced	0	0.0%
Females 15 years and over	57	57
Never married	20	35.1%
Now married, except separated	31	54.4%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	0	0.0%
Divorced	6	10.5%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	0	0
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	0	-
Per 1,000 unmarried women	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	-	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	-
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	-
1 or 2 years	0	-
3 or 4 years	0	-
5 or more years	0	-
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	18	18
Nursery school, preschool	0	0.0%
Kindergarten	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Hardwick CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	18	100.0%
High school (grades 9-12)	0	0.0%
College or graduate school	0	0.0%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	87	87
Less than 9th grade	5	5.7%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	33	37.9%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	31	35.6%
Some college, no degree	14	16.1%
Associate's degree	3	3.4%
Bachelor's degree	1	1.1%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	49	56.3%
Bachelor's degree or higher	1	1.1%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	108	108
Civilian veterans	13	12.0%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	138	138
With a disability	30	21.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	30	30
With a disability	0	0.0%
18 to 64 years	100	100
With a disability	30	30.0%
65 years and over	8	8
With a disability	0	0.0%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	138	138
Same house	137	99.3%
Different house in the U.S.	1	0.7%
Same county	0	0.0%
Different county	1	0.7%
Same state	1	0.7%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	138	138
Native	44	31.9%
Born in United States	44	31.9%
State of residence	24	17.4%
Different state	20	14.5%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	0	0.0%
Foreign born	94	68.1%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	94	94
Naturalized U.S. citizen	3	3.2%
Not a U.S. citizen	91	96.8%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	94	94
Native	0	0
Entered 2010 or later	0	-
Entered before 2010	0	-
Foreign born	94	94
Entered 2010 or later	52	55.3%
Entered before 2010	42	44.7%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	94	94
Europe	7	7.4%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	87	92.6%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	126	126
English only	50	39.7%
Language other than English	76	60.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	55	43.7%
Spanish	76	60.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	55	43.7%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	138	138
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	0	0.0%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	0	0.0%
Italian	0	0.0%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	8	5.8%
Portuguese	7	5.1%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	1	0.7%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	40	40
With a computer	34	85.0%
With a broadband Internet	40	100.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	108	108
In labor force	86	79.6%
Civilian labor force	86	79.6%
Employed	77	71.3%
Unemployed	9	8.3%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	22	20.4%
Civilian labor force	86	86
Unemployment Rate	(X)	10.5%
Females 16 years and over	57	57
In labor force	43	75.4%
Civilian labor force	43	75.4%
Employed	34	59.6%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	12	12
All parents in family in labor force	12	100.0%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	18	18
All parents in family in labor force	15	83.3%
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	77	77
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	69	89.6%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	8	10.4%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	0	0.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	19.3	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	77	77
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	9	11.7%
Service occupations	7	9.1%
Sales and office occupations	9	11.7%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	33	42.9%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	19	24.7%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	77	77
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	33	42.9%
Construction	0	0.0%
Manufacturing	8	10.4%
Wholesale trade	0	0.0%
Retail trade	3	3.9%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	0	0.0%
Information	5	6.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	6	7.8%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	0	0.0%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	3	3.9%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	6	7.8%
Other services, except public administration	7	9.1%
Public administration	6	7.8%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	77	77
Private wage and salary workers	64	83.1%
Government workers	6	7.8%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	7	9.1%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	40	40

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	0	0.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	0	0.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	6	15.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	29	72.5%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	5	12.5%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	56,042	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	63,920	(X)
With earnings	40	100.0%
Mean earnings (dollars)	55,583	(X)
With Social Security	8	20.0%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	N	(X)
With retirement income	8	20.0%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	N	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	0	0.0%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	-	(X)
With cash public assistance income	0	0.0%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	-	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	6	15.0%
Families	40	40
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	6	15.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	6	15.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	0	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	23	57.5%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	5	12.5%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	56,042	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	58,478	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	20,053	(X)
Nonfamily households	0	0
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	23,214	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	19,861	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	25,962	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	138	138
With health insurance coverage	138	100.0%
With private health insurance	51	37.0%
With public coverage	100	72.5%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	30	30
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	100	100
In labor force:	86	86
Employed:	77	77
With health insurance coverage	77	100.0%
With private health insurance	38	49.4%
With public coverage	44	57.1%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Unemployed:	9	9

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	9	100.0%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	9	100.0%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Not in labor force:	14	14
With health insurance coverage	14	100.0%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	14	100.0%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Married couple families	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
All people	(X)	5.8%
Under 18 years	(X)	0.0%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	0.0%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	0.0%
18 years and over	(X)	7.4%
18 to 64 years	(X)	8.0%
65 years and over	(X)	0.0%
People in families	(X)	0.0%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	47.1%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	40	40
Occupied housing units	40	100.0%
Vacant housing units	0	0.0%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	40	40
1-unit, detached	31	77.5%
1-unit, attached	0	0.0%
2 units	0	0.0%
3 or 4 units	9	22.5%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	0	0.0%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	40	40
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	0	0.0%
Built 1990 to 1999	12	30.0%
Built 1980 to 1989	0	0.0%
Built 1970 to 1979	0	0.0%
Built 1960 to 1969	6	15.0%
Built 1950 to 1959	3	7.5%
Built 1940 to 1949	0	0.0%
Built 1939 or earlier	19	47.5%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	40	40
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	0	0.0%
4 rooms	18	45.0%
5 rooms	0	0.0%
6 rooms	6	15.0%
7 rooms	8	20.0%
8 rooms	0	0.0%
9 rooms or more	8	20.0%
Median rooms	5.8	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	40	40
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	6	15.0%
2 bedrooms	12	30.0%
3 bedrooms	19	47.5%
4 bedrooms	0	0.0%
5 or more bedrooms	3	7.5%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	40	40
Owner-occupied	17	42.5%
Renter-occupied	23	57.5%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.65	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.17	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	40	40

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	18	45.0%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	8	20.0%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	0	0.0%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	8	20.0%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	6	15.0%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	0	0.0%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	40	40
No vehicles available	0	0.0%
1 vehicle available	8	20.0%
2 vehicles available	29	72.5%
3 or more vehicles available	3	7.5%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	40	40
Utility gas	6	15.0%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	16	40.0%
Electricity	6	15.0%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	12	30.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	40	40
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	5	12.5%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	40	40
1.00 or less	40	100.0%
1.01 to 1.50	0	0.0%
1.51 or more	0	0.0%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	17	17
Less than \$50,000	6	35.3%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	11	64.7%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	0	0.0%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	0	0.0%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	0	0.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	17	17
Housing units with a mortgage	8	47.1%
Housing units without a mortgage	9	52.9%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	8	8
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	8	100.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	9	9
Less than \$250	0	0.0%
\$250 to \$399	3	33.3%
\$400 to \$599	0	0.0%
\$600 to \$799	6	66.7%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	8	8
Less than 20.0 percent	8	100.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	9	9
Less than 10.0 percent	3	33.3%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	0	0.0%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	6	66.7%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	17	17
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	5	29.4%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	12	70.6%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
No rent paid	6	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	17	17
Less than 15.0 percent	5	29.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	12	70.6%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	6	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	138	138
Male	54	39.1%
Female	84	60.9%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	64.3	(X)
Under 5 years	12	8.7%
5 to 9 years	15	10.9%
10 to 14 years	0	0.0%
15 to 19 years	6	4.3%
20 to 24 years	18	13.0%
25 to 34 years	39	28.3%
35 to 44 years	0	0.0%
45 to 54 years	33	23.9%
55 to 59 years	6	4.3%
60 to 64 years	1	0.7%
65 to 74 years	8	5.8%
75 to 84 years	0	0.0%
85 years and over	0	0.0%
Median age (years)	28.8	(X)
Under 18 years	30	21.7%
16 years and over	108	78.3%
18 years and over	108	78.3%
21 years and over	105	76.1%
62 years and over	8	5.8%
65 years and over	8	5.8%
18 years and over	108	108
Male	51	47.2%
Female	57	52.8%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	89.5	(X)
65 years and over	8	8
Male	8	100.0%
Female	0	0.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	-	(X)
RACE		
Total population	138	138
One race	129	93.5%
Two or more races	9	6.5%
One race	129	93.5%
White	106	76.8%
Black or African American	1	0.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Hardwick CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	22	15.9%
Two or more races	9	6.5%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	138	138
White	115	83.3%
Black or African American	1	0.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	31	22.5%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	138	138
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	110	79.7%
Mexican	88	63.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Hardwick CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	22	15.9%
Not Hispanic or Latino	28	20.3%
White alone	27	19.6%
Black or African American alone	1	0.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	0	0.0%
Total housing units	40	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	39	39
Male	13	33.3%
Female	26	66.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	3,902	3,902
Married-couple family	2,168	55.6%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	1,093	28.0%
Cohabiting couple household	306	7.8%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	279	7.2%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	563	14.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	49	1.3%
Householder living alone	328	8.4%
65 years and over	117	3.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	865	22.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	248	6.4%
Householder living alone	297	7.6%
65 years and over	126	3.2%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	2,061	52.8%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	1,060	27.2%
Average household size	3.79	(X)
Average family size	4.09	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	14,805	14,805
Householder	3,902	26.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	2,189	14.8%
Unmarried partner	320	2.2%
Child	5,599	37.8%
Other relatives	2,042	13.8%
Other nonrelatives	753	5.1%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	5,458	5,458
Never married	2,235	40.9%
Now married, except separated	2,553	46.8%
Separated	180	3.3%
Widowed	148	2.7%
Divorced	342	6.3%
Females 15 years and over	5,292	5,292
Never married	1,776	33.6%
Now married, except separated	2,542	48.0%
Separated	155	2.9%
Widowed	378	7.1%
Divorced	441	8.3%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	368	368
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	78	21.2%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	41	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	100	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	179	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	40	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	761	761
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	217	28.5%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	19	2.5%
1 or 2 years	88	11.6%
3 or 4 years	25	3.3%
5 or more years	85	11.2%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	217	217
Who are female	140	64.5%
Who are married	152	70.0%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	4,831	4,831
Nursery school, preschool	184	3.8%
Kindergarten	323	6.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Kerman city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	2,291	47.4%
High school (grades 9-12)	1,209	25.0%
College or graduate school	824	17.1%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	8,366	8,366
Less than 9th grade	2,264	27.1%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	1,251	15.0%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	2,322	27.8%
Some college, no degree	1,328	15.9%
Associate's degree	574	6.9%
Bachelor's degree	487	5.8%
Graduate or professional degree	140	1.7%
High school graduate or higher	4,851	58.0%
Bachelor's degree or higher	627	7.5%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	9,982	9,982
Civilian veterans	383	3.8%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	14,810	14,810
With a disability	1,606	10.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Kerman city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Under 18 years	4,828	4,828
With a disability	132	2.7%
18 to 64 years	8,475	8,475
With a disability	869	10.3%
65 years and over	1,507	1,507
With a disability	605	40.1%
<b>RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO</b>		
Population 1 year and over	14,487	14,487
Same house	13,553	93.6%
Different house in the U.S.	933	6.4%
Same county	852	5.9%
Different county	81	0.6%
Same state	68	0.5%
Different state	13	0.1%
Abroad	1	0.0%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	14,816	14,816
Native	10,277	69.4%
Born in United States	10,168	68.6%
State of residence	9,657	65.2%
Different state	511	3.4%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	109	0.7%
Foreign born	4,539	30.6%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	4,539	4,539
Naturalized U.S. citizen	1,181	26.0%
Not a U.S. citizen	3,358	74.0%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Kerman city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Population born outside the United States	4,648	4,648
Native	109	109
Entered 2010 or later	39	35.8%
Entered before 2010	70	64.2%
Foreign born	4,539	4,539
Entered 2010 or later	508	11.2%
Entered before 2010	4,031	88.8%
<b>WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN</b>		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	4,539	4,539
Europe	29	0.6%
Asia	364	8.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	4,134	91.1%
Northern America	12	0.3%
<b>LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME</b>		
Population 5 years and over	13,465	13,465
English only	4,365	32.4%
Language other than English	9,100	67.6%
Speak English less than "very well"	4,333	32.2%
Spanish	8,480	63.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	3,952	29.4%
Other Indo-European languages	490	3.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	252	1.9%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	18	0.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	17	0.1%
Other languages	112	0.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	112	0.8%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	14,816	14,816
American	70	0.5%
Arab	206	1.4%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	23	0.2%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	227	1.5%
French (except Basque)	60	0.4%
French Canadian	13	0.1%
German	245	1.7%
Greek	9	0.1%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	216	1.5%
Italian	182	1.2%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	80	0.5%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	218	1.5%
Russian	82	0.6%
Scotch-Irish	14	0.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Kerman city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Scottish	22	0.1%
Slovak	11	0.1%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	1	0.0%
<b>COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE</b>		
Total households	3,902	3,902
With a computer	3,518	90.2%
With a broadband Internet	3,008	77.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	10,362	10,362
In labor force	6,591	63.6%
Civilian labor force	6,591	63.6%
Employed	6,045	58.3%
Unemployed	546	5.3%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	3,771	36.4%
Civilian labor force	6,591	6,591
Unemployment Rate	(X)	8.3%
Females 16 years and over	5,130	5,130
In labor force	2,648	51.6%
Civilian labor force	2,648	51.6%
Employed	2,369	46.2%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	1,364	1,364
All parents in family in labor force	822	60.3%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	2,978	2,978
All parents in family in labor force	1,628	54.7%
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	5,821	5,821
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	4,800	82.5%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	659	11.3%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	24	0.4%
Walked	37	0.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	<b>Kerman city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Other means	60	1.0%
Worked from home	241	4.1%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	25.9	(X)
<b>OCCUPATION</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	6,045	6,045
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	1,234	20.4%
Service occupations	996	16.5%
Sales and office occupations	815	13.5%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	1,640	27.1%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	1,360	22.5%
<b>INDUSTRY</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	6,045	6,045
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	1,312	21.7%
Construction	335	5.5%
Manufacturing	640	10.6%
Wholesale trade	287	4.7%
Retail trade	541	8.9%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	501	8.3%
Information	49	0.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	140	2.3%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	219	3.6%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	1,112	18.4%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	449	7.4%
Other services, except public administration	281	4.6%
Public administration	179	3.0%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	6,045	6,045
Private wage and salary workers	5,023	83.1%
Government workers	801	13.3%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	183	3.0%
Unpaid family workers	38	0.6%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	3,902	3,902

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	264	6.8%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	168	4.3%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	515	13.2%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	446	11.4%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	737	18.9%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	569	14.6%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	380	9.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	542	13.9%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	229	5.9%
\$200,000 or more	52	1.3%
Median household income (dollars)	46,449	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	63,821	(X)
With earnings	3,309	84.8%
Mean earnings (dollars)	63,117	(X)
With Social Security	1,029	26.4%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	15,265	(X)
With retirement income	536	13.7%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	18,487	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	369	9.5%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	9,325	(X)
With cash public assistance income	230	5.9%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	5,128	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	897	23.0%
Families	3,180	3,180
Less than \$10,000	188	5.9%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	119	3.7%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	358	11.3%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	476	15.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	525	16.5%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	433	13.6%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	369	11.6%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	468	14.7%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	192	6.0%
\$200,000 or more	52	1.6%
Median family income (dollars)	48,081	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	66,122	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	17,843	(X)
Nonfamily households	722	722
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	24,631	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	33,845	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	26,019	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	39,713	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	29,234	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	14,810	14,810
With health insurance coverage	13,663	92.3%
With private health insurance	6,365	43.0%
With public coverage	8,293	56.0%
No health insurance coverage	1,147	7.7%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	5,130	5,130
No health insurance coverage	80	1.6%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	8,173	8,173
In labor force:	6,036	6,036
Employed:	5,534	5,534
With health insurance coverage	4,870	88.0%
With private health insurance	3,329	60.2%
With public coverage	1,672	30.2%
No health insurance coverage	664	12.0%
Unemployed:	502	502

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	464	92.4%
With private health insurance	131	26.1%
With public coverage	342	68.1%
No health insurance coverage	38	7.6%
Not in labor force:	2,137	2,137
With health insurance coverage	1,821	85.2%
With private health insurance	521	24.4%
With public coverage	1,333	62.4%
No health insurance coverage	316	14.8%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	18.3%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	23.0%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	6.8%
Married couple families	(X)	15.5%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	18.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	11.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	29.7%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	40.5%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
All people	(X)	20.0%
Under 18 years	(X)	28.9%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	28.7%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	28.9%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	28.6%
18 years and over	(X)	16.0%
18 to 64 years	(X)	15.2%
65 years and over	(X)	20.0%
People in families	(X)	19.0%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	28.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	3,983	3,983
Occupied housing units	3,902	98.0%
Vacant housing units	81	2.0%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	3,983	3,983
1-unit, detached	2,784	69.9%
1-unit, attached	137	3.4%
2 units	163	4.1%
3 or 4 units	291	7.3%
5 to 9 units	244	6.1%
10 to 19 units	106	2.7%
20 or more units	153	3.8%
Mobile home	105	2.6%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	3,983	3,983
Built 2014 or later	37	0.9%
Built 2010 to 2013	159	4.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	1,269	31.9%
Built 1990 to 1999	995	25.0%
Built 1980 to 1989	327	8.2%
Built 1970 to 1979	639	16.0%
Built 1960 to 1969	293	7.4%
Built 1950 to 1959	44	1.1%
Built 1940 to 1949	156	3.9%
Built 1939 or earlier	64	1.6%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	3,983	3,983
1 room	193	4.8%
2 rooms	25	0.6%
3 rooms	346	8.7%
4 rooms	870	21.8%
5 rooms	1,121	28.1%
6 rooms	787	19.8%
7 rooms	357	9.0%
8 rooms	158	4.0%
9 rooms or more	126	3.2%
Median rooms	5.0	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	3,983	3,983
No bedroom	202	5.1%
1 bedroom	346	8.7%
2 bedrooms	774	19.4%
3 bedrooms	1,677	42.1%
4 bedrooms	881	22.1%
5 or more bedrooms	103	2.6%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	3,902	3,902
Owner-occupied	2,134	54.7%
Renter-occupied	1,768	45.3%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.84	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.74	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	3,902	3,902

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Kerman city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Moved in 2017 or later	275	7.0%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	425	10.9%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	1,252	32.1%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	1,280	32.8%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	522	13.4%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	148	3.8%
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
Occupied housing units	3,902	3,902
No vehicles available	164	4.2%
1 vehicle available	1,208	31.0%
2 vehicles available	1,510	38.7%
3 or more vehicles available	1,020	26.1%
<b>HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>		
Occupied housing units	3,902	3,902
Utility gas	2,211	56.7%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	14	0.4%
Electricity	1,528	39.2%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	13	0.3%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	67	1.7%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	69	1.8%
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
Occupied housing units	3,902	3,902
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	33	0.8%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	13	0.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	132	3.4%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	3,902	3,902
1.00 or less	3,361	86.1%
1.01 to 1.50	363	9.3%
1.51 or more	178	4.6%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	2,134	2,134
Less than \$50,000	90	4.2%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	88	4.1%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	223	10.4%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	412	19.3%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	1,013	47.5%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	261	12.2%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	21	1.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	26	1.2%
Median (dollars)	224,500	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	2,134	2,134
Housing units with a mortgage	1,761	82.5%
Housing units without a mortgage	373	17.5%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	1,761	1,761
Less than \$500	31	1.8%
\$500 to \$999	237	13.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	644	36.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	547	31.1%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	246	14.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	43	2.4%
\$3,000 or more	13	0.7%
Median (dollars)	1,481	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	373	373
Less than \$250	29	7.8%
\$250 to \$399	94	25.2%
\$400 to \$599	145	38.9%
\$600 to \$799	88	23.6%
\$800 to \$999	17	4.6%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	457	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	1,761	1,761
Less than 20.0 percent	588	33.4%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	216	12.3%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	258	14.7%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	139	7.9%
35.0 percent or more	560	31.8%
Not computed	0	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	361	361
Less than 10.0 percent	198	54.8%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	50	13.9%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	21	5.8%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	23	6.4%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	17	4.7%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	27	7.5%
35.0 percent or more	25	6.9%
Not computed	12	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	1,717	1,717
Less than \$500	296	17.2%
\$500 to \$999	707	41.2%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	647	37.7%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	34	2.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	33	1.9%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	900	(X)
No rent paid	51	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	1,705	1,705
Less than 15.0 percent	60	3.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Kerman city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
15.0 to 19.9 percent	258	15.1%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	334	19.6%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	363	21.3%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	132	7.7%
35.0 percent or more	558	32.7%
Not computed	63	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	14,816	14,816
Male	7,382	49.8%
Female	7,434	50.2%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	99.3	(X)
Under 5 years	1,351	9.1%
5 to 9 years	1,292	8.7%
10 to 14 years	1,423	9.6%
15 to 19 years	1,215	8.2%
20 to 24 years	1,169	7.9%
25 to 34 years	2,330	15.7%
35 to 44 years	2,015	13.6%
45 to 54 years	1,260	8.5%
55 to 59 years	747	5.0%
60 to 64 years	507	3.4%
65 to 74 years	992	6.7%
75 to 84 years	415	2.8%
85 years and over	100	0.7%
Median age (years)	27.7	(X)
Under 18 years	4,834	32.6%
16 years and over	10,362	69.9%
18 years and over	9,982	67.4%
21 years and over	9,255	62.5%
62 years and over	1,725	11.6%
65 years and over	1,507	10.2%
18 years and over	9,982	9,982
Male	5,066	50.8%
Female	4,916	49.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Kerman city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	103.1	(X)
65 years and over	1,507	1,507
Male	745	49.4%
Female	762	50.6%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	97.8	(X)
RACE		
Total population	14,816	14,816
One race	14,354	96.9%
Two or more races	462	3.1%
One race	14,354	96.9%
White	10,128	68.4%
Black or African American	55	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	114	0.8%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	633	4.3%
Asian Indian	604	4.1%
Chinese	6	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	22	0.1%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	1	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Kerman city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	3,424	23.1%
Two or more races	462	3.1%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	33	0.2%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	14,816	14,816
White	10,545	71.2%
Black or African American	224	1.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	263	1.8%
Asian	723	4.9%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	34	0.2%
Some other race	3,657	24.7%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	14,816	14,816
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	12,124	81.8%
Mexican	11,151	75.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Kerman city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	45	0.3%
Other Hispanic or Latino	928	6.3%
Not Hispanic or Latino	2,692	18.2%
White alone	1,826	12.3%
Black or African American alone	54	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	12	0.1%
Asian alone	620	4.2%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	94	0.6%
Two or more races	86	0.6%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	86	0.6%
Total housing units	3,983	(X)
<b>CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION</b>		
Citizen, 18 and over population	6,689	6,689
Male	3,247	48.5%
Female	3,442	51.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	598	598
Married-couple family	337	56.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	232	38.8%
Cohabiting couple household	15	2.5%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	15	2.5%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	87	14.5%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	5	0.8%
Householder living alone	77	12.9%
65 years and over	53	8.9%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	159	26.6%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	32	5.4%
Householder living alone	75	12.5%
65 years and over	52	8.7%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	339	56.7%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	142	23.7%
Average household size	3.34	(X)
Average family size	4.11	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	2,000	2,000
Householder	598	29.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	337	16.9%
Unmarried partner	14	0.7%
Child	890	44.5%
Other relatives	161	8.1%
Other nonrelatives	0	0.0%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	758	758
Never married	260	34.3%
Now married, except separated	384	50.7%
Separated	35	4.6%
Widowed	53	7.0%
Divorced	26	3.4%
Females 15 years and over	682	682
Never married	191	28.0%
Now married, except separated	366	53.7%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	24	3.5%
Divorced	101	14.8%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	16	16
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	0	0.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	0	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	35	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	92	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	55	55
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	0.0%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	670	670
Nursery school, preschool	13	1.9%
Kindergarten	58	8.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	316	47.2%
High school (grades 9-12)	211	31.5%
College or graduate school	72	10.7%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	1,131	1,131
Less than 9th grade	372	32.9%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	170	15.0%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	213	18.8%
Some college, no degree	278	24.6%
Associate's degree	81	7.2%
Bachelor's degree	17	1.5%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	589	52.1%
Bachelor's degree or higher	17	1.5%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	1,267	1,267
Civilian veterans	76	6.0%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	2,000	2,000
With a disability	187	9.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	733	733
With a disability	33	4.5%
18 to 64 years	1,111	1,111
With a disability	80	7.2%
65 years and over	156	156
With a disability	74	47.4%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	1,981	1,981
Same house	1,756	88.6%
Different house in the U.S.	225	11.4%
Same county	160	8.1%
Different county	65	3.3%
Same state	65	3.3%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	2,000	2,000
Native	1,282	64.1%
Born in United States	1,276	63.8%
State of residence	1,236	61.8%
Different state	40	2.0%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	6	0.3%
Foreign born	718	35.9%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	718	718
Naturalized U.S. citizen	156	21.7%
Not a U.S. citizen	562	78.3%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	724	724
Native	6	6
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	6	100.0%
Foreign born	718	718
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	718	100.0%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	718	718
Europe	33	4.6%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	685	95.4%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	1,858	1,858
English only	615	33.1%
Language other than English	1,243	66.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	589	31.7%
Spanish	1,210	65.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	572	30.8%
Other Indo-European languages	33	1.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	17	0.9%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	2,000	2,000
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	6	0.3%
English	91	4.6%
French (except Basque)	21	1.1%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	118	5.9%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	38	1.9%
Italian	0	0.0%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	68	3.4%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	598	598
With a computer	438	73.2%
With a broadband Internet	442	73.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	1,409	1,409
In labor force	823	58.4%
Civilian labor force	823	58.4%
Employed	747	53.0%
Unemployed	76	5.4%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	586	41.6%
Civilian labor force	823	823
Unemployment Rate	(X)	9.2%
Females 16 years and over	651	651
In labor force	344	52.8%
Civilian labor force	344	52.8%
Employed	303	46.5%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	206	206
All parents in family in labor force	120	58.3%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	481	481
All parents in family in labor force	174	36.2%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	717	717
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	662	92.3%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	33	4.6%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	22	3.1%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	20.5	(X)
<b>OCCUPATION</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	747	747
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	66	8.8%
Service occupations	135	18.1%
Sales and office occupations	241	32.3%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	232	31.1%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	73	9.8%
<b>INDUSTRY</b>		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	747	747
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	229	30.7%
Construction	43	5.8%
Manufacturing	74	9.9%
Wholesale trade	51	6.8%
Retail trade	68	9.1%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	28	3.7%
Information	17	2.3%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	25	3.3%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	77	10.3%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	58	7.8%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	54	7.2%
Other services, except public administration	23	3.1%
Public administration	0	0.0%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	747	747
Private wage and salary workers	668	89.4%
Government workers	22	2.9%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	57	7.6%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	598	598

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	68	11.4%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	36	6.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	93	15.6%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	128	21.4%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	97	16.2%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	24	4.0%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	77	12.9%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	58	9.7%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	11	1.8%
\$200,000 or more	6	1.0%
Median household income (dollars)	30,743	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	52,675	(X)
With earnings	453	75.8%
Mean earnings (dollars)	55,656	(X)
With Social Security	189	31.6%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	12,052	(X)
With retirement income	42	7.0%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	54,990	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	84	14.0%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	5,452	(X)
With cash public assistance income	49	8.2%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	5,290	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	99	16.6%
Families	446	446
Less than \$10,000	77	17.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	60	13.5%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	57	12.8%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	97	21.7%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	24	5.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	61	13.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	53	11.9%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	11	2.5%
\$200,000 or more	6	1.3%
Median family income (dollars)	43,313	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	60,082	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	16,470	(X)
Nonfamily households	152	152
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	25,063	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	29,022	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	25,185	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	42,917	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	26,283	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	2,000	2,000
With health insurance coverage	1,690	84.5%
With private health insurance	790	39.5%
With public coverage	968	48.4%
No health insurance coverage	310	15.5%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	733	733
No health insurance coverage	17	2.3%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	1,111	1,111
In labor force:	772	772
Employed:	696	696
With health insurance coverage	533	76.6%
With private health insurance	407	58.5%
With public coverage	132	19.0%
No health insurance coverage	163	23.4%
Unemployed:	76	76

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	39	51.3%
With private health insurance	10	13.2%
With public coverage	29	38.2%
No health insurance coverage	37	48.7%
Not in labor force:	339	339
With health insurance coverage	262	77.3%
With private health insurance	100	29.5%
With public coverage	187	55.2%
No health insurance coverage	77	22.7%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	31.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	35.1%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	26.8%
Married couple families	(X)	21.7%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	28.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	58.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	56.2%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	100.0%
All people	(X)	32.0%
Under 18 years	(X)	37.0%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	37.0%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	27.5%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	39.3%
18 years and over	(X)	29.0%
18 to 64 years	(X)	31.6%
65 years and over	(X)	10.9%
People in families	(X)	32.6%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	25.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	646	646
Occupied housing units	598	92.6%
Vacant housing units	48	7.4%
Homeowner vacancy rate	4.6	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	646	646
1-unit, detached	497	76.9%
1-unit, attached	33	5.1%
2 units	69	10.7%
3 or 4 units	19	2.9%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	28	4.3%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	646	646
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	0	0.0%
Built 1990 to 1999	50	7.7%
Built 1980 to 1989	186	28.8%
Built 1970 to 1979	68	10.5%
Built 1960 to 1969	117	18.1%
Built 1950 to 1959	79	12.2%
Built 1940 to 1949	32	5.0%
Built 1939 or earlier	114	17.6%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	646	646
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	54	8.4%
3 rooms	48	7.4%
4 rooms	124	19.2%
5 rooms	254	39.3%
6 rooms	138	21.4%
7 rooms	6	0.9%
8 rooms	17	2.6%
9 rooms or more	5	0.8%
Median rooms	4.9	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	646	646
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	102	15.8%
2 bedrooms	86	13.3%
3 bedrooms	399	61.8%
4 bedrooms	54	8.4%
5 or more bedrooms	5	0.8%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	598	598
Owner-occupied	296	49.5%
Renter-occupied	302	50.5%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.25	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.44	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	598	598



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	49	8.2%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	91	15.2%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	75	12.5%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	160	26.8%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	164	27.4%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	59	9.9%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	598	598
No vehicles available	36	6.0%
1 vehicle available	189	31.6%
2 vehicles available	197	32.9%
3 or more vehicles available	176	29.4%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	598	598
Utility gas	434	72.6%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	25	4.2%
Electricity	139	23.2%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	598	598
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	17	2.8%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	598	598
1.00 or less	531	88.8%
1.01 to 1.50	67	11.2%
1.51 or more	0	0.0%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	296	296
Less than \$50,000	22	7.4%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	59	19.9%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	116	39.2%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	16	5.4%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	29	9.8%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	19	6.4%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	35	11.8%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	123,300	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	296	296
Housing units with a mortgage	200	67.6%
Housing units without a mortgage	96	32.4%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	200	200
Less than \$500	6	3.0%
\$500 to \$999	75	37.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	100	50.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	19	9.5%
Median (dollars)	1,176	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	96	96
Less than \$250	16	16.7%
\$250 to \$399	31	32.3%
\$400 to \$599	23	24.0%
\$600 to \$799	26	27.1%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	420	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	200	200
Less than 20.0 percent	64	32.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	20	10.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	45	22.5%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	18	9.0%
35.0 percent or more	53	26.5%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	91	91
Less than 10.0 percent	45	49.5%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	0	0.0%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	25	27.5%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	21	23.1%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	5	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	296	296
Less than \$500	70	23.6%
\$500 to \$999	132	44.6%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	94	31.8%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	924	(X)
No rent paid	6	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	296	296
Less than 15.0 percent	54	18.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	32	10.8%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	57	19.3%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	15	5.1%
35.0 percent or more	138	46.6%
Not computed	6	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	2,000	2,000
Male	935	46.8%
Female	1,065	53.3%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	87.8	(X)
Under 5 years	142	7.1%
5 to 9 years	211	10.6%
10 to 14 years	207	10.4%
15 to 19 years	188	9.4%
20 to 24 years	121	6.1%
25 to 34 years	263	13.2%
35 to 44 years	312	15.6%
45 to 54 years	149	7.5%
55 to 59 years	139	7.0%
60 to 64 years	112	5.6%
65 to 74 years	79	4.0%
75 to 84 years	47	2.4%
85 years and over	30	1.5%
Median age (years)	29.4	(X)
Under 18 years	733	36.7%
16 years and over	1,409	70.5%
18 years and over	1,267	63.4%
21 years and over	1,226	61.3%
62 years and over	227	11.4%
65 years and over	156	7.8%
18 years and over	1,267	1,267
Male	651	51.4%
Female	616	48.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	105.7	(X)
65 years and over	156	156
Male	83	53.2%
Female	73	46.8%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	113.7	(X)
RACE		
Total population	2,000	2,000
One race	1,885	94.3%
Two or more races	115	5.8%
One race	1,885	94.3%
White	1,445	72.3%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	440	22.0%
Two or more races	115	5.8%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	85	4.3%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	2,000	2,000
White	1,560	78.0%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	85	4.3%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	470	23.5%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	2,000	2,000
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	1,584	79.2%
Mexican	1,584	79.2%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Laton CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0%
Not Hispanic or Latino	416	20.8%
White alone	398	19.9%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	18	0.9%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	18	0.9%
Total housing units	646	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	739	739
Male	345	46.7%
Female	394	53.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	449	449
Married-couple family	187	41.6%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	96	21.4%
Cohabiting couple household	92	20.5%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	55	12.2%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	60	13.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	9	2.0%
Householder living alone	31	6.9%
65 years and over	12	2.7%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	110	24.5%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	77	17.1%
Householder living alone	7	1.6%
65 years and over	4	0.9%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	263	58.6%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	73	16.3%
Average household size	3.76	(X)
Average family size	3.95	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	1,690	1,690
Householder	449	26.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	183	10.8%
Unmarried partner	91	5.4%
Child	820	48.5%
Other relatives	132	7.8%
Other nonrelatives	15	0.9%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	582	582
Never married	306	52.6%
Now married, except separated	216	37.1%
Separated	16	2.7%
Widowed	15	2.6%
Divorced	29	5.0%
Females 15 years and over	556	556
Never married	259	46.6%
Now married, except separated	193	34.7%
Separated	52	9.4%
Widowed	14	2.5%
Divorced	38	6.8%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	43	43
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	29	67.4%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	115	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	109	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	87	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	199	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	34	34
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	30	88.2%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	9	26.5%
3 or 4 years	4	11.8%
5 or more years	17	50.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	30	30
Who are female	18	60.0%
Who are married	6	20.0%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	629	629
Nursery school, preschool	45	7.2%
Kindergarten	49	7.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	299	47.5%
High school (grades 9-12)	158	25.1%
College or graduate school	78	12.4%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	823	823
Less than 9th grade	324	39.4%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	113	13.7%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	273	33.2%
Some college, no degree	57	6.9%
Associate's degree	35	4.3%
Bachelor's degree	21	2.6%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	386	46.9%
Bachelor's degree or higher	21	2.6%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	997	997
Civilian veterans	17	1.7%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	1,690	1,690
With a disability	174	10.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	693	693
With a disability	8	1.2%
18 to 64 years	892	892
With a disability	152	17.0%
65 years and over	105	105
With a disability	14	13.3%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	1,645	1,645
Same house	1,510	91.8%
Different house in the U.S.	135	8.2%
Same county	130	7.9%
Different county	5	0.3%
Same state	5	0.3%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	1,690	1,690
Native	1,092	64.6%
Born in United States	1,080	63.9%
State of residence	1,009	59.7%
Different state	71	4.2%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	12	0.7%
Foreign born	598	35.4%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	598	598
Naturalized U.S. citizen	96	16.1%
Not a U.S. citizen	502	83.9%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	610	610
Native	12	12
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	12	100.0%
Foreign born	598	598
Entered 2010 or later	61	10.2%
Entered before 2010	537	89.8%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	598	598
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	12	2.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	586	98.0%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	1,518	1,518
English only	257	16.9%
Language other than English	1,261	83.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	612	40.3%
Spanish	1,256	82.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	612	40.3%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	5	0.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	1,690	1,690
American	18	1.1%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	5	0.3%
French (except Basque)	2	0.1%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	22	1.3%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	13	0.8%
Italian	6	0.4%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	0	0.0%
Russian	2	0.1%
Scotch-Irish	16	0.9%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	449	449
With a computer	324	72.2%
With a broadband Internet	197	43.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	1,104	1,104
In labor force	611	55.3%
Civilian labor force	611	55.3%
Employed	521	47.2%
Unemployed	90	8.2%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	493	44.7%
Civilian labor force	611	611
Unemployment Rate	(X)	14.7%
Females 16 years and over	539	539
In labor force	265	49.2%
Civilian labor force	265	49.2%
Employed	204	37.8%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	193	193
All parents in family in labor force	101	52.3%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	454	454
All parents in family in labor force	258	56.8%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	506	506
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	375	74.1%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	104	20.6%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	3	0.6%
Walked	5	1.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	19	3.8%
Worked from home	0	0.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	23.4	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	521	521
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	19	3.6%
Service occupations	73	14.0%
Sales and office occupations	62	11.9%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	252	48.4%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	115	22.1%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	521	521
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	252	48.4%
Construction	18	3.5%
Manufacturing	50	9.6%
Wholesale trade	23	4.4%
Retail trade	33	6.3%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	14	2.7%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	5	1.0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	17	3.3%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	57	10.9%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	32	6.1%
Other services, except public administration	9	1.7%
Public administration	11	2.1%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	521	521
Private wage and salary workers	454	87.1%
Government workers	60	11.5%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	7	1.3%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	449	449

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	54	12.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	37	8.2%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	105	23.4%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	45	10.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	107	23.8%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	73	16.3%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	21	4.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	7	1.6%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	32,361	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	35,124	(X)
With earnings	387	86.2%
Mean earnings (dollars)	33,363	(X)
With Social Security	79	17.6%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	14,351	(X)
With retirement income	40	8.9%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	12,795	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	32	7.1%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	9,353	(X)
With cash public assistance income	85	18.9%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	5,661	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	205	45.7%
Families	385	385
Less than \$10,000	37	9.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	42	10.9%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	102	26.5%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	47	12.2%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	66	17.1%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	71	18.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	13	3.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	7	1.8%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	30,898	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	34,067	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	9,696	(X)
Nonfamily households	64	64
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	20,625	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	21,242	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	18,333	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	25,583	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	25,893	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	1,690	1,690
With health insurance coverage	1,373	81.2%
With private health insurance	334	19.8%
With public coverage	1,075	63.6%
No health insurance coverage	317	18.8%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	725	725
No health insurance coverage	24	3.3%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	860	860
In labor force:	580	580
Employed:	509	509
With health insurance coverage	341	67.0%
With private health insurance	175	34.4%
With public coverage	168	33.0%
No health insurance coverage	168	33.0%
Unemployed:	71	71

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	52	73.2%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	52	73.2%
No health insurance coverage	19	26.8%
Not in labor force:	280	280
With health insurance coverage	174	62.1%
With private health insurance	24	8.6%
With public coverage	155	55.4%
No health insurance coverage	106	37.9%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	43.1%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	52.1%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	39.1%
Married couple families	(X)	44.9%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	60.6%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	55.5%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	61.7%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	100.0%
All people	(X)	47.9%
Under 18 years	(X)	58.8%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	58.8%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	59.9%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	58.4%
18 years and over	(X)	40.3%
18 to 64 years	(X)	40.6%
65 years and over	(X)	38.1%
People in families	(X)	47.9%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	47.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	482	482
Occupied housing units	449	93.2%
Vacant housing units	33	6.8%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	2.3	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	482	482
1-unit, detached	289	60.0%
1-unit, attached	7	1.5%
2 units	7	1.5%
3 or 4 units	0	0.0%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	179	37.1%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	482	482
Built 2014 or later	10	2.1%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	37	7.7%
Built 1990 to 1999	38	7.9%
Built 1980 to 1989	56	11.6%
Built 1970 to 1979	143	29.7%
Built 1960 to 1969	38	7.9%
Built 1950 to 1959	101	21.0%
Built 1940 to 1949	49	10.2%
Built 1939 or earlier	10	2.1%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	482	482
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	86	17.8%
4 rooms	141	29.3%
5 rooms	110	22.8%
6 rooms	74	15.4%
7 rooms	44	9.1%
8 rooms	14	2.9%
9 rooms or more	13	2.7%
Median rooms	4.6	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	482	482
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	22	4.6%
2 bedrooms	201	41.7%
3 bedrooms	199	41.3%
4 bedrooms	57	11.8%
5 or more bedrooms	3	0.6%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	449	449
Owner-occupied	151	33.6%
Renter-occupied	298	66.4%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.34	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.98	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	449	449

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	32	7.1%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	40	8.9%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	141	31.4%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	106	23.6%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	45	10.0%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	85	18.9%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	449	449
No vehicles available	20	4.5%
1 vehicle available	139	31.0%
2 vehicles available	195	43.4%
3 or more vehicles available	95	21.2%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	449	449
Utility gas	316	70.4%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	11	2.4%
Electricity	111	24.7%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	3	0.7%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	8	1.8%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	449	449
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	25	5.6%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	449	449
1.00 or less	355	79.1%
1.01 to 1.50	66	14.7%
1.51 or more	28	6.2%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	151	151
Less than \$50,000	25	16.6%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	42	27.8%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	25	16.6%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	34	22.5%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	14	9.3%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	7	4.6%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	0	0.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	4	2.6%
Median (dollars)	108,500	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	151	151
Housing units with a mortgage	87	57.6%
Housing units without a mortgage	64	42.4%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	87	87
Less than \$500	4	4.6%
\$500 to \$999	46	52.9%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	24	27.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	9	10.3%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	4	4.6%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	907	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	64	64
Less than \$250	19	29.7%
\$250 to \$399	25	39.1%
\$400 to \$599	20	31.3%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	329	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	87	87
Less than 20.0 percent	16	18.4%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	33	37.9%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	2	2.3%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	3	3.4%
35.0 percent or more	33	37.9%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	61	61
Less than 10.0 percent	38	62.3%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	10	16.4%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	7	11.5%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	6	9.8%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	3	(X)
<b>GROSS RENT</b>		
Occupied units paying rent	289	289
Less than \$500	31	10.7%
\$500 to \$999	225	77.9%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	29	10.0%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	4	1.4%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	749	(X)
No rent paid	9	(X)
<b>GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)</b>		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	281	281
Less than 15.0 percent	10	3.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	62	22.1%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	7	2.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	45	16.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	157	55.9%
Not computed	17	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	1,690	1,690
Male	876	51.8%
Female	814	48.2%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	107.6	(X)
Under 5 years	172	10.2%
5 to 9 years	209	12.4%
10 to 14 years	171	10.1%
15 to 19 years	196	11.6%
20 to 24 years	119	7.0%
25 to 34 years	263	15.6%
35 to 44 years	145	8.6%
45 to 54 years	156	9.2%
55 to 59 years	96	5.7%
60 to 64 years	58	3.4%
65 to 74 years	61	3.6%
75 to 84 years	44	2.6%
85 years and over	0	0.0%
Median age (years)	23.6	(X)
Under 18 years	693	41.0%
16 years and over	1,104	65.3%
18 years and over	997	59.0%
21 years and over	883	52.2%
62 years and over	127	7.5%
65 years and over	105	6.2%
18 years and over	997	997
Male	517	51.9%
Female	480	48.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	107.7	(X)
65 years and over	105	105
Male	67	63.8%
Female	38	36.2%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	176.3	(X)
RACE		
Total population	1,690	1,690
One race	1,661	98.3%
Two or more races	29	1.7%
One race	1,661	98.3%
White	1,203	71.2%
Black or African American	24	1.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	5	0.3%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	35	2.1%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	5	0.3%
Filipino	20	1.2%
Japanese	3	0.2%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	7	0.4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>London CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	394	23.3%
Two or more races	29	1.7%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	6	0.4%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	1,690	1,690
White	1,232	72.9%
Black or African American	24	1.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	11	0.7%
Asian	35	2.1%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	417	24.7%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	1,690	1,690
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	1,539	91.1%
Mexican	1,521	90.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	London CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	18	1.1%
Not Hispanic or Latino	151	8.9%
White alone	119	7.0%
Black or African American alone	11	0.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	15	0.9%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	6	0.4%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	6	0.4%
Total housing units	482	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	544	544
Male	282	51.8%
Female	262	48.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	289	289
Married-couple family	154	53.3%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	91	31.5%
Cohabiting couple household	20	6.9%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	20	6.9%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	28	9.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	20	6.9%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	87	30.1%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	23	8.0%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	141	48.8%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	74	25.6%
Average household size	4.10	(X)
Average family size	4.41	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	1,186	1,186
Householder	289	24.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	154	13.0%
Unmarried partner	23	1.9%
Child	433	36.5%
Other relatives	253	21.3%
Other nonrelatives	34	2.9%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	343	343
Never married	134	39.1%
Now married, except separated	197	57.4%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	12	3.5%
Divorced	0	0.0%
Females 15 years and over	519	519
Never married	229	44.1%
Now married, except separated	177	34.1%
Separated	9	1.7%
Widowed	55	10.6%
Divorced	49	9.4%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	48	48
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	20	41.7%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	80	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	124	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	208	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	297	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	34	34
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	0.0%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	355	355
Nursery school, preschool	55	15.5%
Kindergarten	46	13.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Malaga CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	115	32.4%
High school (grades 9-12)	65	18.3%
College or graduate school	74	20.8%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	608	608
Less than 9th grade	168	27.6%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	207	34.0%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	67	11.0%
Some college, no degree	79	13.0%
Associate's degree	49	8.1%
Bachelor's degree	18	3.0%
Graduate or professional degree	20	3.3%
High school graduate or higher	233	38.3%
Bachelor's degree or higher	38	6.3%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	831	831
Civilian veterans	8	1.0%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	1,186	1,186
With a disability	249	21.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Malaga CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Under 18 years	355	355
With a disability	5	1.4%
18 to 64 years	738	738
With a disability	176	23.8%
65 years and over	93	93
With a disability	68	73.1%
<b>RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO</b>		
Population 1 year and over	1,164	1,164
Same house	1,000	85.9%
Different house in the U.S.	164	14.1%
Same county	128	11.0%
Different county	36	3.1%
Same state	36	3.1%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	1,186	1,186
Native	930	78.4%
Born in United States	930	78.4%
State of residence	865	72.9%
Different state	65	5.5%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	0	0.0%
Foreign born	256	21.6%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	256	256
Naturalized U.S. citizen	98	38.3%
Not a U.S. citizen	158	61.7%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	256	256
Native	0	0
Entered 2010 or later	0	-
Entered before 2010	0	-
Foreign born	256	256
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	256	100.0%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	256	256
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	256	100.0%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	1,028	1,028
English only	376	36.6%
Language other than English	652	63.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	224	21.8%
Spanish	652	63.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	224	21.8%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	1,186	1,186
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	0	0.0%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	0	0.0%
Italian	36	3.0%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	0	0.0%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Malaga CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
<b>COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE</b>		
Total households	289	289
With a computer	273	94.5%
With a broadband Internet	264	91.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	856	856
In labor force	524	61.2%
Civilian labor force	524	61.2%
Employed	480	56.1%
Unemployed	44	5.1%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	332	38.8%
Civilian labor force	524	524
Unemployment Rate	(X)	8.4%
Females 16 years and over	513	513
In labor force	246	48.0%
Civilian labor force	246	48.0%
Employed	227	44.2%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	204	204
All parents in family in labor force	51	25.0%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	139	139
All parents in family in labor force	39	28.1%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	475	475
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	358	75.4%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	31	6.5%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	86	18.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	0	0.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	18.2	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	480	480
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	30	6.3%
Service occupations	73	15.2%
Sales and office occupations	189	39.4%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	73	15.2%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	115	24.0%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	480	480
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	16	3.3%
Construction	20	4.2%
Manufacturing	100	20.8%
Wholesale trade	8	1.7%
Retail trade	114	23.8%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	15	3.1%
Information	18	3.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	16	3.3%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	14	2.9%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	69	14.4%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	55	11.5%
Other services, except public administration	35	7.3%
Public administration	0	0.0%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	480	480
Private wage and salary workers	439	91.5%
Government workers	25	5.2%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	16	3.3%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	289	289

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	19	6.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5	1.7%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	37	12.8%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	43	14.9%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	71	24.6%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	41	14.2%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	41	14.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	19	6.6%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	13	4.5%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	42,232	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	53,289	(X)
With earnings	262	90.7%
Mean earnings (dollars)	46,455	(X)
With Social Security	83	28.7%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	13,905	(X)
With retirement income	43	14.9%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	34,979	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	55	19.0%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	3,342	(X)
With cash public assistance income	42	14.5%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	4,469	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	144	49.8%
Families	246	246
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	20	8.1%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	33	13.4%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	43	17.5%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	36	14.6%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	49	19.9%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	33	13.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	24	9.8%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	8	3.3%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	44,063	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	54,578	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	14,492	(X)
Nonfamily households	43	43
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	23,037	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	22,008	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	35,104	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	23,304	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	1,186	1,186
With health insurance coverage	1,140	96.1%
With private health insurance	511	43.1%
With public coverage	775	65.3%
No health insurance coverage	46	3.9%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	383	383
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	710	710
In labor force:	496	496
Employed:	458	458
With health insurance coverage	417	91.0%
With private health insurance	307	67.0%
With public coverage	153	33.4%
No health insurance coverage	41	9.0%
Unemployed:	38	38

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	38	100.0%
With private health insurance	24	63.2%
With public coverage	14	36.8%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Not in labor force:	214	214
With health insurance coverage	209	97.7%
With private health insurance	15	7.0%
With public coverage	199	93.0%
No health insurance coverage	5	2.3%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	24.4%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	42.6%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	33.3%
Married couple families	(X)	26.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	38.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	23.8%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	71.4%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	65.2%
All people	(X)	24.0%
Under 18 years	(X)	43.4%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	43.4%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	36.7%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	48.7%
18 years and over	(X)	15.8%
18 to 64 years	(X)	17.8%
65 years and over	(X)	0.0%
People in families	(X)	23.0%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	35.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	341	341
Occupied housing units	289	84.8%
Vacant housing units	52	15.2%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	341	341
1-unit, detached	278	81.5%
1-unit, attached	0	0.0%
2 units	54	15.8%
3 or 4 units	0	0.0%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	9	2.6%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	341	341
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	43	12.6%
Built 1990 to 1999	9	2.6%
Built 1980 to 1989	23	6.7%
Built 1970 to 1979	22	6.5%
Built 1960 to 1969	145	42.5%
Built 1950 to 1959	48	14.1%
Built 1940 to 1949	17	5.0%
Built 1939 or earlier	34	10.0%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	341	341
1 room	35	10.3%
2 rooms	9	2.6%
3 rooms	14	4.1%
4 rooms	100	29.3%
5 rooms	40	11.7%
6 rooms	82	24.0%
7 rooms	61	17.9%
8 rooms	0	0.0%
9 rooms or more	0	0.0%
Median rooms	4.8	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	341	341
No bedroom	35	10.3%
1 bedroom	9	2.6%
2 bedrooms	118	34.6%
3 bedrooms	128	37.5%
4 bedrooms	32	9.4%
5 or more bedrooms	19	5.6%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	289	289
Owner-occupied	140	48.4%
Renter-occupied	149	51.6%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.64	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	4.54	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	289	289

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Malaga CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Moved in 2017 or later	50	17.3%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	42	14.5%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	5	1.7%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	88	30.4%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	50	17.3%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	54	18.7%
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
Occupied housing units	289	289
No vehicles available	0	0.0%
1 vehicle available	121	41.9%
2 vehicles available	55	19.0%
3 or more vehicles available	113	39.1%
<b>HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>		
Occupied housing units	289	289
Utility gas	171	59.2%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	35	12.1%
Electricity	74	25.6%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	9	3.1%
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
Occupied housing units	289	289
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	289	289
1.00 or less	191	66.1%
1.01 to 1.50	76	26.3%
1.51 or more	22	7.6%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	140	140
Less than \$50,000	4	2.9%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	43	30.7%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	43	30.7%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	44	31.4%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	6	4.3%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	0	0.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	188,400	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	140	140
Housing units with a mortgage	78	55.7%
Housing units without a mortgage	62	44.3%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	78	78
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	9	11.5%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	43	55.1%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	6	7.7%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	20	25.6%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	1,797	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	62	62
Less than \$250	4	6.5%
\$250 to \$399	18	29.0%
\$400 to \$599	40	64.5%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	438	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	78	78
Less than 20.0 percent	19	24.4%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	9	11.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	16	20.5%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	34	43.6%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	62	62
Less than 10.0 percent	26	41.9%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	8	12.9%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	28	45.2%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	143	143
Less than \$500	22	15.4%
\$500 to \$999	83	58.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	38	26.6%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	937	(X)
No rent paid	6	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	143	143
Less than 15.0 percent	22	15.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Malaga CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
15.0 to 19.9 percent	8	5.6%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	28	19.6%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	15	10.5%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	5	3.5%
35.0 percent or more	65	45.5%
Not computed	6	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	1,186	1,186
Male	497	41.9%
Female	689	58.1%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	72.1	(X)
Under 5 years	158	13.3%
5 to 9 years	107	9.0%
10 to 14 years	59	5.0%
15 to 19 years	84	7.1%
20 to 24 years	170	14.3%
25 to 34 years	150	12.6%
35 to 44 years	134	11.3%
45 to 54 years	124	10.5%
55 to 59 years	73	6.2%
60 to 64 years	34	2.9%
65 to 74 years	52	4.4%
75 to 84 years	24	2.0%
85 years and over	17	1.4%
Median age (years)	25.5	(X)
Under 18 years	355	29.9%
16 years and over	856	72.2%
18 years and over	831	70.1%
21 years and over	772	65.1%
62 years and over	127	10.7%
65 years and over	93	7.8%
18 years and over	831	831
Male	343	41.3%
Female	488	58.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Malaga CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	70.3	(X)
65 years and over	93	93
Male	17	18.3%
Female	76	81.7%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	22.4	(X)
RACE		
Total population	1,186	1,186
One race	1,157	97.6%
Two or more races	29	2.4%
One race	1,157	97.6%
White	754	63.6%
Black or African American	11	0.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Malaga CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	392	33.1%
Two or more races	29	2.4%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	1,186	1,186
White	763	64.3%
Black or African American	11	0.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	20	1.7%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	421	35.5%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	1,186	1,186
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	1,088	91.7%
Mexican	955	80.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Malaga CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	133	11.2%
Not Hispanic or Latino	98	8.3%
White alone	87	7.3%
Black or African American alone	11	0.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	0	0.0%
Total housing units	341	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	706	706
Male	276	39.1%
Female	430	60.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Monson CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE</b>		
Total households	47	47
Married-couple family	29	61.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	11	23.4%
Cohabiting couple household	12	25.5%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	12	25.5%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	0	0.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	0	0.0%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	6	12.8%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	6	12.8%
Householder living alone	0	0.0%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	43	91.5%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	18	38.3%
Average household size	6.02	(X)
Average family size	5.57	(X)
<b>RELATIONSHIP</b>		
Population in households	283	283
Householder	47	16.6%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	32	11.3%
Unmarried partner	21	7.4%
Child	88	31.1%
Other relatives	95	33.6%
Other nonrelatives	0	0.0%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	94	94
Never married	49	52.1%
Now married, except separated	45	47.9%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	0	0.0%
Divorced	0	0.0%
Females 15 years and over	70	70
Never married	23	32.9%
Now married, except separated	41	58.6%
Separated	6	8.6%
Widowed	0	0.0%
Divorced	0	0.0%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	16	16
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	16	100.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	696	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	302	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	571	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
GRANDPARENTS		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	7	7
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	0.0%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	115	115
Nursery school, preschool	20	17.4%
Kindergarten	16	13.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Monson CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	48	41.7%
High school (grades 9-12)	31	27.0%
College or graduate school	0	0.0%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	142	142
Less than 9th grade	27	19.0%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	28	19.7%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	19	13.4%
Some college, no degree	35	24.6%
Associate's degree	12	8.5%
Bachelor's degree	21	14.8%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	87	61.3%
Bachelor's degree or higher	21	14.8%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	157	157
Civilian veterans	4	2.5%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	283	283
With a disability	16	5.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Monson CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Under 18 years	126	126
With a disability	12	9.5%
18 to 64 years	119	119
With a disability	0	0.0%
65 years and over	38	38
With a disability	4	10.5%
<b>RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO</b>		
Population 1 year and over	272	272
Same house	272	100.0%
Different house in the U.S.	0	0.0%
Same county	0	0.0%
Different county	0	0.0%
Same state	0	0.0%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	283	283
Native	256	90.5%
Born in United States	256	90.5%
State of residence	232	82.0%
Different state	24	8.5%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	0	0.0%
Foreign born	27	9.5%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	27	27
Naturalized U.S. citizen	4	14.8%
Not a U.S. citizen	23	85.2%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	27	27
Native	0	0
Entered 2010 or later	0	-
Entered before 2010	0	-
Foreign born	27	27
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	27	100.0%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	27	27
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	27	100.0%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	252	252
English only	174	69.0%
Language other than English	78	31.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	16	6.3%
Spanish	78	31.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	16	6.3%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	283	283
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	56	19.8%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	56	19.8%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	4	1.4%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	0	0.0%
Italian	0	0.0%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	0	0.0%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Monson CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
<b>COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE</b>		
Total households	47	47
With a computer	39	83.0%
With a broadband Internet	12	25.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	164	164
In labor force	115	70.1%
Civilian labor force	115	70.1%
Employed	79	48.2%
Unemployed	36	22.0%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	49	29.9%
Civilian labor force	115	115
Unemployment Rate	(X)	31.3%
Females 16 years and over	70	70
In labor force	42	60.0%
Civilian labor force	42	60.0%
Employed	30	42.9%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	43	43
All parents in family in labor force	43	100.0%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	79	79
All parents in family in labor force	79	100.0%
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	79	79
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	25	31.6%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	33	41.8%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	21	26.6%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	30.2	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	79	79
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	0	0.0%
Service occupations	21	26.6%
Sales and office occupations	25	31.6%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	33	41.8%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	0	0.0%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	79	79
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	33	41.8%
Construction	0	0.0%
Manufacturing	0	0.0%
Wholesale trade	0	0.0%
Retail trade	0	0.0%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	0	0.0%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	0	0.0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	25	31.6%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	0	0.0%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	0	0.0%
Other services, except public administration	0	0.0%
Public administration	21	26.6%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	79	79
Private wage and salary workers	58	73.4%
Government workers	21	26.6%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	0	0.0%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	47	47

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	0	0.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	0	0.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	10	21.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	37	78.7%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	52,880	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	51,719	(X)
With earnings	39	83.0%
Mean earnings (dollars)	45,159	(X)
With Social Security	18	38.3%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	19,378	(X)
With retirement income	18	38.3%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	17,828	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	0	0.0%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	-	(X)
With cash public assistance income	0	0.0%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	-	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	26	55.3%
Families	47	47
Less than \$10,000	12	25.5%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	0	0.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	0	0.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	10	21.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	25	53.2%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	50,375	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	40,132	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	10,601	(X)
Nonfamily households	0	0
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	14,432	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	-	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	-	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	283	283
With health insurance coverage	271	95.8%
With private health insurance	67	23.7%
With public coverage	219	77.4%
No health insurance coverage	12	4.2%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	133	133
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	112	112
In labor force:	108	108
Employed:	72	72
With health insurance coverage	72	100.0%
With private health insurance	21	29.2%
With public coverage	51	70.8%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Unemployed:	36	36

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	24	66.7%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	24	66.7%
No health insurance coverage	12	33.3%
Not in labor force:	4	4
With health insurance coverage	4	100.0%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	4	100.0%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	25.5%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	27.9%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	100.0%
Married couple families	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	66.7%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	66.7%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	100.0%
All people	(X)	19.8%
Under 18 years	(X)	24.6%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	24.6%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	100.0%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	0.0%
18 years and over	(X)	15.9%
18 to 64 years	(X)	21.0%
65 years and over	(X)	0.0%
People in families	(X)	21.4%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	58	58
Occupied housing units	47	81.0%
Vacant housing units	11	19.0%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	58	58
1-unit, detached	41	70.7%
1-unit, attached	0	0.0%
2 units	0	0.0%
3 or 4 units	0	0.0%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	17	29.3%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	58	58
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	0	0.0%
Built 1990 to 1999	0	0.0%
Built 1980 to 1989	6	10.3%
Built 1970 to 1979	19	32.8%
Built 1960 to 1969	0	0.0%
Built 1950 to 1959	11	19.0%
Built 1940 to 1949	10	17.2%
Built 1939 or earlier	12	20.7%
ROOMS		



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	58	58
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	0	0.0%
4 rooms	22	37.9%
5 rooms	4	6.9%
6 rooms	22	37.9%
7 rooms	10	17.2%
8 rooms	0	0.0%
9 rooms or more	0	0.0%
Median rooms	5.6	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	58	58
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	0	0.0%
2 bedrooms	22	37.9%
3 bedrooms	30	51.7%
4 bedrooms	6	10.3%
5 or more bedrooms	0	0.0%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	47	47
Owner-occupied	24	51.1%
Renter-occupied	23	48.9%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	6.67	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	5.35	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	47	47

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Monson CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Moved in 2017 or later	6	12.8%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	12	25.5%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	0	0.0%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	0	0.0%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	11	23.4%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	18	38.3%
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
Occupied housing units	47	47
No vehicles available	0	0.0%
1 vehicle available	11	23.4%
2 vehicles available	16	34.0%
3 or more vehicles available	20	42.6%
<b>HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>		
Occupied housing units	47	47
Utility gas	21	44.7%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	0	0.0%
Electricity	12	25.5%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	10	21.3%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	4	8.5%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
Occupied housing units	47	47
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	47	47
1.00 or less	37	78.7%
1.01 to 1.50	10	21.3%
1.51 or more	0	0.0%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	24	24
Less than \$50,000	0	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	14	58.3%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	0	0.0%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	10	41.7%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	0	0.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	195,000	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	24	24
Housing units with a mortgage	10	41.7%
Housing units without a mortgage	14	58.3%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	10	10
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	6	60.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	4	40.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	14	14
Less than \$250	0	0.0%
\$250 to \$399	4	28.6%
\$400 to \$599	10	71.4%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	10	10
Less than 20.0 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	10	100.0%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	14	14
Less than 10.0 percent	0	0.0%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	14	100.0%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	23	23
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	11	47.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	12	52.2%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
No rent paid	0	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	23	23
Less than 15.0 percent	11	47.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	12	52.2%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	283	283
Male	129	45.6%
Female	154	54.4%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	83.8	(X)
Under 5 years	31	11.0%
5 to 9 years	45	15.9%
10 to 14 years	43	15.2%
15 to 19 years	14	4.9%
20 to 24 years	8	2.8%
25 to 34 years	62	21.9%
35 to 44 years	19	6.7%
45 to 54 years	12	4.2%
55 to 59 years	11	3.9%
60 to 64 years	0	0.0%
65 to 74 years	13	4.6%
75 to 84 years	25	8.8%
85 years and over	0	0.0%
Median age (years)	26.0	(X)
Under 18 years	126	44.5%
16 years and over	164	58.0%
18 years and over	157	55.5%
21 years and over	150	53.0%
62 years and over	38	13.4%
65 years and over	38	13.4%
18 years and over	157	157
Male	94	59.9%
Female	63	40.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Monson CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	149.2	(X)
65 years and over	38	38
Male	21	55.3%
Female	17	44.7%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	123.5	(X)
RACE		
Total population	283	283
One race	248	87.6%
Two or more races	35	12.4%
One race	248	87.6%
White	181	64.0%
Black or African American	21	7.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Monson CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	46	16.3%
Two or more races	35	12.4%
White and Black or African American	31	11.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	4	1.4%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	283	283
White	216	76.3%
Black or African American	52	18.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	4	1.4%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	46	16.3%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	283	283
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	198	70.0%
Mexican	198	70.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Monson CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0%
Not Hispanic or Latino	85	30.0%
White alone	33	11.7%
Black or African American alone	21	7.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	31	11.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	31	11.0%
Total housing units	58	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	134	134
Male	83	61.9%
Female	51	38.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	2,692	2,692
Married-couple family	1,211	45.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	715	26.6%
Cohabiting couple household	294	10.9%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	241	9.0%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	288	10.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	101	3.8%
Householder living alone	97	3.6%
65 years and over	53	2.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	899	33.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	512	19.0%
Householder living alone	222	8.2%
65 years and over	96	3.6%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	1,766	65.6%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	612	22.7%
Average household size	3.82	(X)
Average family size	4.07	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	10,274	10,274
Householder	2,692	26.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	1,204	11.7%
Unmarried partner	304	3.0%
Child	5,013	48.8%
Other relatives	814	7.9%
Other nonrelatives	247	2.4%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	3,380	3,380
Never married	1,600	47.3%
Now married, except separated	1,393	41.2%
Separated	105	3.1%
Widowed	84	2.5%
Divorced	198	5.9%
Females 15 years and over	3,473	3,473
Never married	1,355	39.0%
Now married, except separated	1,383	39.8%
Separated	264	7.6%
Widowed	217	6.2%
Divorced	254	7.3%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	213	213
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	169	79.3%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	119	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	85	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	5	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	161	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	21	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	493	493
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	45	9.1%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	2	0.4%
1 or 2 years	14	2.8%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	29	5.9%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	45	45
Who are female	24	53.3%
Who are married	19	42.2%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	3,712	3,712
Nursery school, preschool	321	8.6%
Kindergarten	273	7.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Orange Cove city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	1,779	47.9%
High school (grades 9-12)	786	21.2%
College or graduate school	553	14.9%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	5,157	5,157
Less than 9th grade	2,087	40.5%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	691	13.4%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	1,248	24.2%
Some college, no degree	817	15.8%
Associate's degree	233	4.5%
Bachelor's degree	81	1.6%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	2,379	46.1%
Bachelor's degree or higher	81	1.6%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	6,275	6,275
Civilian veterans	159	2.5%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	10,274	10,274
With a disability	813	7.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	3,999	3,999
With a disability	67	1.7%
18 to 64 years	5,516	5,516
With a disability	460	8.3%
65 years and over	759	759
With a disability	286	37.7%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	10,112	10,112
Same house	8,819	87.2%
Different house in the U.S.	1,134	11.2%
Same county	921	9.1%
Different county	213	2.1%
Same state	211	2.1%
Different state	2	0.0%
Abroad	159	1.6%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	10,274	10,274
Native	6,623	64.5%
Born in United States	6,522	63.5%
State of residence	6,022	58.6%
Different state	500	4.9%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	101	1.0%
Foreign born	3,651	35.5%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	3,651	3,651
Naturalized U.S. citizen	700	19.2%
Not a U.S. citizen	2,951	80.8%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	3,752	3,752
Native	101	101
Entered 2010 or later	23	22.8%
Entered before 2010	78	77.2%
Foreign born	3,651	3,651
Entered 2010 or later	266	7.3%
Entered before 2010	3,385	92.7%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	3,651	3,651
Europe	7	0.2%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	3,644	99.8%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	9,076	9,076
English only	1,623	17.9%
Language other than English	7,453	82.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	3,820	42.1%
Spanish	7,453	82.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	3,820	42.1%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	10,274	10,274
American	66	0.6%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	8	0.1%
German	7	0.1%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	104	1.0%
Italian	46	0.4%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	10	0.1%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	18	0.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	33	0.3%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	2,692	2,692
With a computer	2,161	80.3%
With a broadband Internet	1,623	60.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	6,721	6,721
In labor force	4,004	59.6%
Civilian labor force	4,004	59.6%
Employed	3,415	50.8%
Unemployed	589	8.8%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	2,717	40.4%
Civilian labor force	4,004	4,004
Unemployment Rate	(X)	14.7%
Females 16 years and over	3,436	3,436
In labor force	1,930	56.2%
Civilian labor force	1,930	56.2%
Employed	1,561	45.4%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	1,347	1,347
All parents in family in labor force	950	70.5%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	2,515	2,515
All parents in family in labor force	1,879	74.7%
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	3,330	3,330
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	2,334	70.1%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	550	16.5%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	137	4.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	268	8.0%
Worked from home	41	1.2%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	27.5	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	3,415	3,415
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	334	9.8%
Service occupations	483	14.1%
Sales and office occupations	396	11.6%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	1,442	42.2%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	760	22.3%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	3,415	3,415
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	1,588	46.5%
Construction	43	1.3%
Manufacturing	344	10.1%
Wholesale trade	245	7.2%
Retail trade	248	7.3%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	50	1.5%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	9	0.3%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	134	3.9%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	419	12.3%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	144	4.2%
Other services, except public administration	74	2.2%
Public administration	117	3.4%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	3,415	3,415
Private wage and salary workers	2,994	87.7%
Government workers	344	10.1%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	74	2.2%
Unpaid family workers	3	0.1%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	2,692	2,692

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	256	9.5%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	394	14.6%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	647	24.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	513	19.1%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	441	16.4%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	190	7.1%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	144	5.3%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	55	2.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	46	1.7%
\$200,000 or more	6	0.2%
Median household income (dollars)	25,677	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	34,499	(X)
With earnings	2,186	81.2%
Mean earnings (dollars)	33,094	(X)
With Social Security	512	19.0%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	12,081	(X)
With retirement income	181	6.7%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	12,701	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	200	7.4%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	9,366	(X)
With cash public assistance income	436	16.2%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	4,557	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	1,011	37.6%
Families	2,288	2,288
Less than \$10,000	237	10.4%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	319	13.9%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	543	23.7%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	437	19.1%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	327	14.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	190	8.3%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	128	5.6%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	55	2.4%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	46	2.0%
\$200,000 or more	6	0.3%
Median family income (dollars)	25,750	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	35,448	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	9,698	(X)
Nonfamily households	404	404
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	16,438	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	19,566	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	14,516	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	26,740	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	23,828	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	10,274	10,274
With health insurance coverage	9,096	88.5%
With private health insurance	2,024	19.7%
With public coverage	7,644	74.4%
No health insurance coverage	1,178	11.5%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	4,157	4,157
No health insurance coverage	162	3.9%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	5,358	5,358
In labor force:	3,849	3,849
Employed:	3,308	3,308
With health insurance coverage	2,659	80.4%
With private health insurance	1,067	32.3%
With public coverage	1,675	50.6%
No health insurance coverage	649	19.6%
Unemployed:	541	541



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	468	86.5%
With private health insurance	71	13.1%
With public coverage	397	73.4%
No health insurance coverage	73	13.5%
Not in labor force:	1,509	1,509
With health insurance coverage	1,257	83.3%
With private health insurance	150	9.9%
With public coverage	1,146	75.9%
No health insurance coverage	252	16.7%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	47.9%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	58.4%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	51.2%
Married couple families	(X)	35.8%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	43.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	17.9%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	71.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	83.6%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	100.0%
All people	(X)	48.3%
Under 18 years	(X)	64.8%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	64.8%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	70.9%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	62.3%
18 years and over	(X)	37.9%
18 to 64 years	(X)	38.3%
65 years and over	(X)	34.5%
People in families	(X)	47.6%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	55.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	2,834	2,834
Occupied housing units	2,692	95.0%
Vacant housing units	142	5.0%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	4.7	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	2,834	2,834
1-unit, detached	1,468	51.8%
1-unit, attached	29	1.0%
2 units	240	8.5%
3 or 4 units	367	12.9%
5 to 9 units	361	12.7%
10 to 19 units	90	3.2%
20 or more units	260	9.2%
Mobile home	19	0.7%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	2,834	2,834
Built 2014 or later	18	0.6%
Built 2010 to 2013	110	3.9%
Built 2000 to 2009	600	21.2%
Built 1990 to 1999	699	24.7%
Built 1980 to 1989	215	7.6%
Built 1970 to 1979	289	10.2%
Built 1960 to 1969	263	9.3%
Built 1950 to 1959	237	8.4%
Built 1940 to 1949	128	4.5%
Built 1939 or earlier	275	9.7%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	2,834	2,834
1 room	88	3.1%
2 rooms	94	3.3%
3 rooms	257	9.1%
4 rooms	562	19.8%
5 rooms	876	30.9%
6 rooms	795	28.1%
7 rooms	87	3.1%
8 rooms	57	2.0%
9 rooms or more	18	0.6%
Median rooms	5.0	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	2,834	2,834
No bedroom	88	3.1%
1 bedroom	388	13.7%
2 bedrooms	627	22.1%
3 bedrooms	1,193	42.1%
4 bedrooms	513	18.1%
5 or more bedrooms	25	0.9%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	2,692	2,692
Owner-occupied	991	36.8%
Renter-occupied	1,701	63.2%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.75	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.86	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	2,692	2,692

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	224	8.3%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	416	15.5%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	812	30.2%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	706	26.2%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	296	11.0%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	238	8.8%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	2,692	2,692
No vehicles available	455	16.9%
1 vehicle available	919	34.1%
2 vehicles available	749	27.8%
3 or more vehicles available	569	21.1%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	2,692	2,692
Utility gas	1,759	65.3%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	43	1.6%
Electricity	812	30.2%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	6	0.2%
Solar energy	30	1.1%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	42	1.6%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	2,692	2,692
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	17	0.6%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	17	0.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	46	1.7%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	2,692	2,692
1.00 or less	2,261	84.0%
1.01 to 1.50	340	12.6%
1.51 or more	91	3.4%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	991	991
Less than \$50,000	61	6.2%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	169	17.1%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	246	24.8%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	264	26.6%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	214	21.6%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	18	1.8%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	19	1.9%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	152,300	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	991	991
Housing units with a mortgage	599	60.4%
Housing units without a mortgage	392	39.6%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	599	599
Less than \$500	29	4.8%
\$500 to \$999	212	35.4%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	300	50.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	22	3.7%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	17	2.8%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	10	1.7%
\$3,000 or more	9	1.5%
Median (dollars)	1,055	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	392	392
Less than \$250	43	11.0%
\$250 to \$399	116	29.6%
\$400 to \$599	233	59.4%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	417	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	599	599
Less than 20.0 percent	168	28.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	90	15.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	111	18.5%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	85	14.2%
35.0 percent or more	145	24.2%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	392	392
Less than 10.0 percent	105	26.8%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	80	20.4%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	14	3.6%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	40	10.2%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	87	22.2%
35.0 percent or more	66	16.8%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	1,676	1,676
Less than \$500	256	15.3%
\$500 to \$999	1,187	70.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	225	13.4%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	8	0.5%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	742	(X)
No rent paid	25	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	1,647	1,647
Less than 15.0 percent	6	0.4%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	154	9.4%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	124	7.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	153	9.3%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	175	10.6%
35.0 percent or more	1,035	62.8%
Not computed	54	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	10,274	10,274
Male	5,142	50.0%
Female	5,132	50.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	100.2	(X)
Under 5 years	1,198	11.7%
5 to 9 years	1,275	12.4%
10 to 14 years	948	9.2%
15 to 19 years	909	8.8%
20 to 24 years	787	7.7%
25 to 34 years	1,417	13.8%
35 to 44 years	1,296	12.6%
45 to 54 years	873	8.5%
55 to 59 years	439	4.3%
60 to 64 years	373	3.6%
65 to 74 years	447	4.4%
75 to 84 years	293	2.9%
85 years and over	19	0.2%
Median age (years)	25.1	(X)
Under 18 years	3,999	38.9%
16 years and over	6,721	65.4%
18 years and over	6,275	61.1%
21 years and over	5,776	56.2%
62 years and over	928	9.0%
65 years and over	759	7.4%
18 years and over	6,275	6,275
Male	3,040	48.4%
Female	3,235	51.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	94.0	(X)
65 years and over	759	759
Male	417	54.9%
Female	342	45.1%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	121.9	(X)
RACE		
Total population	10,274	10,274
One race	10,174	99.0%
Two or more races	100	1.0%
One race	10,174	99.0%
White	6,852	66.7%
Black or African American	117	1.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	36	0.4%
Cherokee tribal grouping	17	0.2%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	16	0.2%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	16	0.2%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	55	0.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Orange Cove city, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	55	0.5%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	3,098	30.2%
Two or more races	100	1.0%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	36	0.4%
White and Asian	44	0.4%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	10,274	10,274
White	6,942	67.6%
Black or African American	117	1.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	72	0.7%
Asian	70	0.7%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	55	0.5%
Some other race	3,118	30.3%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	10,274	10,274
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	9,759	95.0%
Mexican	9,311	90.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Orange Cove city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	448	4.4%
Not Hispanic or Latino	515	5.0%
White alone	352	3.4%
Black or African American alone	117	1.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	17	0.2%
Asian alone	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	29	0.3%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	0	0.0%
Total housing units	2,834	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	3,511	3,511
Male	1,656	47.2%
Female	1,855	52.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	2,150	2,150
Married-couple family	1,076	50.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	516	24.0%
Cohabiting couple household	213	9.9%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	168	7.8%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	262	12.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	54	2.5%
Householder living alone	146	6.8%
65 years and over	15	0.7%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	599	27.9%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	228	10.6%
Householder living alone	58	2.7%
65 years and over	40	1.9%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	1,201	55.9%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	594	27.6%
Average household size	3.86	(X)
Average family size	4.08	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	8,300	8,300
Householder	2,150	25.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	1,088	13.1%
Unmarried partner	198	2.4%
Child	3,898	47.0%
Other relatives	868	10.5%
Other nonrelatives	98	1.2%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	3,116	3,116
Never married	1,609	51.6%
Now married, except separated	1,247	40.0%
Separated	40	1.3%
Widowed	47	1.5%
Divorced	173	5.6%
Females 15 years and over	2,969	2,969
Never married	1,076	36.2%
Now married, except separated	1,331	44.8%
Separated	162	5.5%
Widowed	275	9.3%
Divorced	125	4.2%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	123	123
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	91	74.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	83	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	65	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	127	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	454	454
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	0.0%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	2,689	2,689
Nursery school, preschool	246	9.1%
Kindergarten	218	8.1%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Orosi CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	1,250	46.5%
High school (grades 9-12)	442	16.4%
College or graduate school	533	19.8%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	4,738	4,738
Less than 9th grade	1,239	26.2%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	543	11.5%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	1,248	26.3%
Some college, no degree	1,099	23.2%
Associate's degree	251	5.3%
Bachelor's degree	358	7.6%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	2,956	62.4%
Bachelor's degree or higher	358	7.6%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	5,713	5,713
Civilian veterans	55	1.0%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	8,300	8,300
With a disability	977	11.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Orosi CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Under 18 years	2,587	2,587
With a disability	92	3.6%
18 to 64 years	4,873	4,873
With a disability	457	9.4%
65 years and over	840	840
With a disability	428	51.0%
<b>RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO</b>		
Population 1 year and over	8,171	8,171
Same house	7,274	89.0%
Different house in the U.S.	868	10.6%
Same county	708	8.7%
Different county	160	2.0%
Same state	160	2.0%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	29	0.4%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	8,300	8,300
Native	5,779	69.6%
Born in United States	5,656	68.1%
State of residence	5,296	63.8%
Different state	360	4.3%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	123	1.5%
Foreign born	2,521	30.4%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	2,521	2,521
Naturalized U.S. citizen	1,036	41.1%
Not a U.S. citizen	1,485	58.9%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Orosi CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Population born outside the United States	2,644	2,644
Native	123	123
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	123	100.0%
Foreign born	2,521	2,521
Entered 2010 or later	346	13.7%
Entered before 2010	2,175	86.3%
<b>WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN</b>		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	2,521	2,521
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	173	6.9%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	2,348	93.1%
Northern America	0	0.0%
<b>LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME</b>		
Population 5 years and over	7,735	7,735
English only	1,602	20.7%
Language other than English	6,133	79.3%
Speak English less than "very well"	2,447	31.6%
Spanish	5,555	71.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	2,181	28.2%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	522	6.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	266	3.4%
Other languages	56	0.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	8,300	8,300
American	43	0.5%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	0	0.0%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	35	0.4%
Italian	0	0.0%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	76	0.9%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	11	0.1%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	2,150	2,150
With a computer	1,860	86.5%
With a broadband Internet	1,545	71.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	5,793	5,793
In labor force	3,477	60.0%
Civilian labor force	3,477	60.0%
Employed	2,774	47.9%
Unemployed	703	12.1%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	2,316	40.0%
Civilian labor force	3,477	3,477
Unemployment Rate	(X)	20.2%
Females 16 years and over	2,933	2,933
In labor force	1,613	55.0%
Civilian labor force	1,613	55.0%
Employed	1,288	43.9%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	821	821
All parents in family in labor force	524	63.8%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	1,709	1,709
All parents in family in labor force	1,314	76.9%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	2,698	2,698
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	1,977	73.3%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	438	16.2%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	39	1.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	244	9.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	24.2	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	2,774	2,774
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	441	15.9%
Service occupations	588	21.2%
Sales and office occupations	413	14.9%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	919	33.1%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	413	14.9%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	2,774	2,774
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	467	16.8%
Construction	156	5.6%
Manufacturing	126	4.5%
Wholesale trade	176	6.3%
Retail trade	205	7.4%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	209	7.5%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	73	2.6%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	250	9.0%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	589	21.2%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	273	9.8%
Other services, except public administration	113	4.1%
Public administration	137	4.9%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	2,774	2,774
Private wage and salary workers	2,199	79.3%
Government workers	379	13.7%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	196	7.1%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	2,150	2,150



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	155	7.2%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	24	1.1%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	472	22.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	291	13.5%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	404	18.8%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	370	17.2%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	246	11.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	88	4.1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	55	2.6%
\$200,000 or more	45	2.1%
Median household income (dollars)	40,667	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	51,467	(X)
With earnings	1,843	85.7%
Mean earnings (dollars)	48,072	(X)
With Social Security	653	30.4%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	14,177	(X)
With retirement income	168	7.8%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	10,882	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	185	8.6%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	7,139	(X)
With cash public assistance income	87	4.0%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	1,846	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	742	34.5%
Families	1,901	1,901
Less than \$10,000	197	10.4%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	24	1.3%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	329	17.3%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	212	11.2%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	380	20.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	419	22.0%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	197	10.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	43	2.3%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	55	2.9%
\$200,000 or more	45	2.4%
Median family income (dollars)	42,208	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	50,630	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	13,962	(X)
Nonfamily households	249	249
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	23,527	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	45,487	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	22,201	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	32,241	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	39,185	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	8,300	8,300
With health insurance coverage	7,431	89.5%
With private health insurance	3,103	37.4%
With public coverage	4,613	55.6%
No health insurance coverage	869	10.5%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	2,767	2,767
No health insurance coverage	203	7.3%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	4,693	4,693
In labor force:	3,326	3,326
Employed:	2,704	2,704
With health insurance coverage	2,373	87.8%
With private health insurance	1,568	58.0%
With public coverage	848	31.4%
No health insurance coverage	331	12.2%
Unemployed:	622	622

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	379	60.9%
With private health insurance	237	38.1%
With public coverage	196	31.5%
No health insurance coverage	243	39.1%
Not in labor force:	1,367	1,367
With health insurance coverage	1,275	93.3%
With private health insurance	313	22.9%
With public coverage	962	70.4%
No health insurance coverage	92	6.7%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	24.5%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	32.1%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	38.9%
Married couple families	(X)	17.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	25.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	52.7%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	37.1%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	40.8%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
All people	(X)	21.6%
Under 18 years	(X)	37.0%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	37.0%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	54.2%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	32.1%
18 years and over	(X)	14.7%
18 to 64 years	(X)	14.6%
65 years and over	(X)	15.1%
People in families	(X)	22.2%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	13.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	2,214	2,214
Occupied housing units	2,150	97.1%
Vacant housing units	64	2.9%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	2,214	2,214
1-unit, detached	1,592	71.9%
1-unit, attached	19	0.9%
2 units	158	7.1%
3 or 4 units	167	7.5%
5 to 9 units	65	2.9%
10 to 19 units	40	1.8%
20 or more units	56	2.5%
Mobile home	117	5.3%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	2,214	2,214
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	236	10.7%
Built 1990 to 1999	489	22.1%
Built 1980 to 1989	176	7.9%
Built 1970 to 1979	376	17.0%
Built 1960 to 1969	473	21.4%
Built 1950 to 1959	164	7.4%
Built 1940 to 1949	125	5.6%
Built 1939 or earlier	175	7.9%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	2,214	2,214
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	57	2.6%
3 rooms	142	6.4%
4 rooms	539	24.3%
5 rooms	574	25.9%
6 rooms	607	27.4%
7 rooms	152	6.9%
8 rooms	82	3.7%
9 rooms or more	61	2.8%
Median rooms	5.1	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	2,214	2,214
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	81	3.7%
2 bedrooms	649	29.3%
3 bedrooms	1,036	46.8%
4 bedrooms	435	19.6%
5 or more bedrooms	13	0.6%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	2,150	2,150
Owner-occupied	1,226	57.0%
Renter-occupied	924	43.0%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	4.00	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.67	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	2,150	2,150

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Orosi CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Moved in 2017 or later	199	9.3%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	265	12.3%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	338	15.7%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	674	31.3%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	426	19.8%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	248	11.5%
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
Occupied housing units	2,150	2,150
No vehicles available	85	4.0%
1 vehicle available	677	31.5%
2 vehicles available	660	30.7%
3 or more vehicles available	728	33.9%
<b>HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>		
Occupied housing units	2,150	2,150
Utility gas	1,320	61.4%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	39	1.8%
Electricity	791	36.8%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
Occupied housing units	2,150	2,150
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	26	1.2%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	2,150	2,150
1.00 or less	1,917	89.2%
1.01 to 1.50	188	8.7%
1.51 or more	45	2.1%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	1,226	1,226
Less than \$50,000	143	11.7%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	100	8.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	362	29.5%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	306	25.0%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	182	14.8%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	116	9.5%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	17	1.4%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	150,700	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	1,226	1,226
Housing units with a mortgage	773	63.1%
Housing units without a mortgage	453	36.9%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	773	773
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	379	49.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	231	29.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	143	18.5%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	20	2.6%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	1,014	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	453	453
Less than \$250	152	33.6%
\$250 to \$399	37	8.2%
\$400 to \$599	194	42.8%
\$600 to \$799	70	15.5%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	425	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	773	773
Less than 20.0 percent	230	29.8%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	40	5.2%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	117	15.1%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	102	13.2%
35.0 percent or more	284	36.7%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	453	453
Less than 10.0 percent	286	63.1%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	88	19.4%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	79	17.4%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	896	896
Less than \$500	70	7.8%
\$500 to \$999	452	50.4%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	296	33.0%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	78	8.7%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	884	(X)
No rent paid	28	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	896	896
Less than 15.0 percent	88	9.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	9	1.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	112	12.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	50	5.6%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	637	71.1%
Not computed	28	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	8,300	8,300
Male	4,366	52.6%
Female	3,934	47.4%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	111.0	(X)
Under 5 years	565	6.8%
5 to 9 years	870	10.5%
10 to 14 years	780	9.4%
15 to 19 years	633	7.6%
20 to 24 years	714	8.6%
25 to 34 years	1,317	15.9%
35 to 44 years	1,149	13.8%
45 to 54 years	812	9.8%
55 to 59 years	208	2.5%
60 to 64 years	412	5.0%
65 to 74 years	421	5.1%
75 to 84 years	321	3.9%
85 years and over	98	1.2%
Median age (years)	29.4	(X)
Under 18 years	2,587	31.2%
16 years and over	5,793	69.8%
18 years and over	5,713	68.8%
21 years and over	5,416	65.3%
62 years and over	1,132	13.6%
65 years and over	840	10.1%
18 years and over	5,713	5,713
Male	2,831	49.6%
Female	2,882	50.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Orosi CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	98.2	(X)
65 years and over	840	840
Male	361	43.0%
Female	479	57.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	75.4	(X)
RACE		
Total population	8,300	8,300
One race	7,930	95.5%
Two or more races	370	4.5%
One race	7,930	95.5%
White	4,299	51.8%
Black or African American	157	1.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	392	4.7%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	669	8.1%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	632	7.6%
Japanese	37	0.4%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Orosi CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	2,413	29.1%
Two or more races	370	4.5%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	41	0.5%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	8,300	8,300
White	4,429	53.4%
Black or African American	246	3.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	471	5.7%
Asian	858	10.3%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	77	0.9%
Some other race	2,627	31.7%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	8,300	8,300
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	6,984	84.1%
Mexican	6,615	79.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Orosi CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	369	4.4%
Not Hispanic or Latino	1,316	15.9%
White alone	270	3.3%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	248	3.0%
Asian alone	669	8.1%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	129	1.6%
Two races including Some other race	11	0.1%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	118	1.4%
Total housing units	2,214	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	4,366	4,366
Male	2,169	49.7%
Female	2,197	50.3%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	102	102
Married-couple family	53	52.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	9	8.8%
Cohabiting couple household	14	13.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	11	10.8%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	28	27.5%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	11	10.8%
65 years and over	11	10.8%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	7	6.9%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	3	2.9%
65 years and over	3	2.9%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	37	36.3%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	46	45.1%
Average household size	4.06	(X)
Average family size	4.54	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	414	414
Householder	102	24.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	55	13.3%
Unmarried partner	12	2.9%
Child	106	25.6%
Other relatives	129	31.2%
Other nonrelatives	10	2.4%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	192	192
Never married	100	52.1%
Now married, except separated	69	35.9%
Separated	11	5.7%
Widowed	8	4.2%
Divorced	4	2.1%
Females 15 years and over	121	121
Never married	36	29.8%
Now married, except separated	53	43.8%
Separated	8	6.6%
Widowed	21	17.4%
Divorced	3	2.5%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	5	5
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	0	0.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	89	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	185	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	7	7
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	0.0%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	120	120
Nursery school, preschool	16	13.3%
Kindergarten	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Raisin City CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	56	46.7%
High school (grades 9-12)	33	27.5%
College or graduate school	15	12.5%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	249	249
Less than 9th grade	118	47.4%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	40	16.1%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	36	14.5%
Some college, no degree	25	10.0%
Associate's degree	17	6.8%
Bachelor's degree	13	5.2%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	91	36.5%
Bachelor's degree or higher	13	5.2%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	302	302
Civilian veterans	14	4.6%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	414	414
With a disability	34	8.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	112	112
With a disability	0	0.0%
18 to 64 years	236	236
With a disability	13	5.5%
65 years and over	66	66
With a disability	21	31.8%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	414	414
Same house	406	98.1%
Different house in the U.S.	8	1.9%
Same county	8	1.9%
Different county	0	0.0%
Same state	0	0.0%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	414	414
Native	239	57.7%
Born in United States	239	57.7%
State of residence	233	56.3%
Different state	6	1.4%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	0	0.0%
Foreign born	175	42.3%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	175	175
Naturalized U.S. citizen	32	18.3%
Not a U.S. citizen	143	81.7%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	175	175
Native	0	0
Entered 2010 or later	0	-
Entered before 2010	0	-
Foreign born	175	175
Entered 2010 or later	25	14.3%
Entered before 2010	150	85.7%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	175	175
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	175	100.0%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	382	382
English only	28	7.3%
Language other than English	354	92.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	184	48.2%
Spanish	260	68.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	163	42.7%
Other Indo-European languages	7	1.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	87	22.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	21	5.5%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	414	414
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	3	0.7%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	0	0.0%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	3	0.7%
Italian	7	1.7%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	0	0.0%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	102	102
With a computer	76	74.5%
With a broadband Internet	61	59.8%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	313	313
In labor force	187	59.7%
Civilian labor force	187	59.7%
Employed	156	49.8%
Unemployed	31	9.9%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	126	40.3%
Civilian labor force	187	187
Unemployment Rate	(X)	16.6%
Females 16 years and over	121	121
In labor force	59	48.8%
Civilian labor force	59	48.8%
Employed	43	35.5%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	20	20
All parents in family in labor force	20	100.0%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	20	20
All parents in family in labor force	0	0.0%
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	156	156
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	142	91.0%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	14	9.0%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	0	0.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	31.3	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	156	156
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	26	16.7%
Service occupations	20	12.8%
Sales and office occupations	21	13.5%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	64	41.0%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	25	16.0%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	156	156
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	38	24.4%
Construction	21	13.5%
Manufacturing	21	13.5%
Wholesale trade	19	12.2%
Retail trade	11	7.1%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	7	4.5%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	0	0.0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	3	1.9%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	26	16.7%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	3	1.9%
Other services, except public administration	0	0.0%
Public administration	7	4.5%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	156	156
Private wage and salary workers	133	85.3%
Government workers	23	14.7%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	0	0.0%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	102	102

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	3	2.9%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	21	20.6%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	27	26.5%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	37	36.3%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	14	13.7%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	47,500	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	54,723	(X)
With earnings	78	76.5%
Mean earnings (dollars)	54,692	(X)
With Social Security	33	32.4%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	17,439	(X)
With retirement income	7	6.9%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	N	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	6	5.9%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	N	(X)
With cash public assistance income	10	9.8%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	N	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	26	25.5%
Families	82	82
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	0	0.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	21	25.6%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	24	29.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	23	28.0%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	14	17.1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	44,000	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	56,541	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	14,773	(X)
Nonfamily households	20	20
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	50,227	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	41,560	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	21,400	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	38,750	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	35,234	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	414	414
With health insurance coverage	407	98.3%
With private health insurance	118	28.5%
With public coverage	309	74.6%
No health insurance coverage	7	1.7%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	141	141
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	207	207
In labor force:	157	157
Employed:	126	126
With health insurance coverage	119	94.4%
With private health insurance	60	47.6%
With public coverage	59	46.8%
No health insurance coverage	7	5.6%
Unemployed:	31	31

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	31	100.0%
With private health insurance	15	48.4%
With public coverage	16	51.6%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Not in labor force:	50	50
With health insurance coverage	50	100.0%
With private health insurance	23	46.0%
With public coverage	27	54.0%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	15.9%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	35.1%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	21.4%
Married couple families	(X)	24.5%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	59.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	100.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	-
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	33.3%
Under 18 years	(X)	68.8%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	68.8%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	62.5%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	71.3%
18 years and over	(X)	20.2%
18 to 64 years	(X)	19.9%
65 years and over	(X)	21.2%
People in families	(X)	33.1%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	35.7%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	102	102
Occupied housing units	102	100.0%
Vacant housing units	0	0.0%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	102	102
1-unit, detached	67	65.7%
1-unit, attached	11	10.8%
2 units	0	0.0%
3 or 4 units	0	0.0%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	24	23.5%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	102	102
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	0	0.0%
Built 1990 to 1999	20	19.6%
Built 1980 to 1989	15	14.7%
Built 1970 to 1979	17	16.7%
Built 1960 to 1969	26	25.5%
Built 1950 to 1959	0	0.0%
Built 1940 to 1949	13	12.7%
Built 1939 or earlier	11	10.8%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	102	102
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	4	3.9%
4 rooms	14	13.7%
5 rooms	48	47.1%
6 rooms	24	23.5%
7 rooms	0	0.0%
8 rooms	9	8.8%
9 rooms or more	3	2.9%
Median rooms	5.2	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	102	102
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	4	3.9%
2 bedrooms	10	9.8%
3 bedrooms	79	77.5%
4 bedrooms	9	8.8%
5 or more bedrooms	0	0.0%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	102	102
Owner-occupied	49	48.0%
Renter-occupied	53	52.0%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	2.88	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	5.15	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	102	102

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	0	0.0%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	24	23.5%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	14	13.7%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	30	29.4%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	16	15.7%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	18	17.6%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	102	102
No vehicles available	3	2.9%
1 vehicle available	33	32.4%
2 vehicles available	11	10.8%
3 or more vehicles available	55	53.9%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	102	102
Utility gas	66	64.7%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	15	14.7%
Electricity	14	13.7%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	4	3.9%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	3	2.9%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	102	102
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	102	102
1.00 or less	89	87.3%
1.01 to 1.50	13	12.7%
1.51 or more	0	0.0%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	49	49
Less than \$50,000	0	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	4	8.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	3	6.1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	25	51.0%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	6	12.2%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	11	22.4%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	0	0.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	169,900	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	49	49
Housing units with a mortgage	17	34.7%
Housing units without a mortgage	32	65.3%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	17	17
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	3	17.6%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	3	17.6%
\$3,000 or more	11	64.7%
Median (dollars)	3,114	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	32	32
Less than \$250	11	34.4%
\$250 to \$399	6	18.8%
\$400 to \$599	11	34.4%
\$600 to \$799	4	12.5%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	17	17
Less than 20.0 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	3	17.6%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	3	17.6%
35.0 percent or more	11	64.7%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	32	32
Less than 10.0 percent	11	34.4%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	3	9.4%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	11	34.4%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	3	9.4%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	4	12.5%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	53	53
Less than \$500	4	7.5%
\$500 to \$999	34	64.2%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	15	28.3%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	843	(X)
No rent paid	0	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	53	53
Less than 15.0 percent	11	20.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	3	5.7%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	17	32.1%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	19	35.8%
35.0 percent or more	3	5.7%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	414	414
Male	235	56.8%
Female	179	43.2%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	131.3	(X)
Under 5 years	32	7.7%
5 to 9 years	32	7.7%
10 to 14 years	37	8.9%
15 to 19 years	40	9.7%
20 to 24 years	24	5.8%
25 to 34 years	54	13.0%
35 to 44 years	60	14.5%
45 to 54 years	46	11.1%
55 to 59 years	14	3.4%
60 to 64 years	9	2.2%
65 to 74 years	47	11.4%
75 to 84 years	7	1.7%
85 years and over	12	2.9%
Median age (years)	29.3	(X)
Under 18 years	112	27.1%
16 years and over	313	75.6%
18 years and over	302	72.9%
21 years and over	258	62.3%
62 years and over	75	18.1%
65 years and over	66	15.9%
18 years and over	302	302
Male	181	59.9%
Female	121	40.1%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	149.6	(X)
65 years and over	66	66
Male	31	47.0%
Female	35	53.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	88.6	(X)
RACE		
Total population	414	414
One race	408	98.6%
Two or more races	6	1.4%
One race	408	98.6%
White	351	84.8%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	15	3.6%
Asian Indian	15	3.6%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Raisin City CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	42	10.1%
Two or more races	6	1.4%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	3	0.7%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	414	414
White	357	86.2%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	3	0.7%
Asian	15	3.6%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	45	10.9%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	414	414
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	293	70.8%
Mexican	290	70.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Raisin City CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	3	0.7%
Not Hispanic or Latino	121	29.2%
White alone	111	26.8%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	7	1.7%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	3	0.7%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	3	0.7%
Total housing units	102	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	181	181
Male	113	62.4%
Female	68	37.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	7,044	7,044
Married-couple family	4,239	60.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	2,268	32.2%
Cohabiting couple household	510	7.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	307	4.4%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	730	10.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	62	0.9%
Householder living alone	402	5.7%
65 years and over	233	3.3%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	1,565	22.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	449	6.4%
Householder living alone	557	7.9%
65 years and over	436	6.2%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	3,469	49.2%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	2,088	29.6%
Average household size	3.59	(X)
Average family size	3.91	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	25,263	25,263
Householder	7,044	27.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	4,241	16.8%
Unmarried partner	507	2.0%
Child	9,859	39.0%
Other relatives	2,957	11.7%
Other nonrelatives	655	2.6%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	9,184	9,184
Never married	3,455	37.6%
Now married, except separated	5,067	55.2%
Separated	13	0.1%
Widowed	183	2.0%
Divorced	466	5.1%
Females 15 years and over	9,592	9,592
Never married	3,018	31.5%
Now married, except separated	4,703	49.0%
Separated	253	2.6%
Widowed	888	9.3%
Divorced	730	7.6%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	338	338
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	202	59.8%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	66	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	56	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	122	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	11	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	1,133	1,133
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	235	20.7%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	50	4.4%
1 or 2 years	79	7.0%
3 or 4 years	106	9.4%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	235	235
Who are female	148	63.0%
Who are married	222	94.5%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	7,766	7,766
Nursery school, preschool	475	6.1%
Kindergarten	357	4.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	3,397	43.7%
High school (grades 9-12)	1,622	20.9%
College or graduate school	1,915	24.7%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	14,905	14,905
Less than 9th grade	3,871	26.0%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	1,639	11.0%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	3,245	21.8%
Some college, no degree	2,998	20.1%
Associate's degree	1,261	8.5%
Bachelor's degree	1,462	9.8%
Graduate or professional degree	429	2.9%
High school graduate or higher	9,395	63.0%
Bachelor's degree or higher	1,891	12.7%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	17,449	17,449
Civilian veterans	771	4.4%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	25,363	25,363
With a disability	2,999	11.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	8,047	8,047
With a disability	240	3.0%
18 to 64 years	14,293	14,293
With a disability	1,498	10.5%
65 years and over	3,023	3,023
With a disability	1,261	41.7%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	25,230	25,230
Same house	23,142	91.7%
Different house in the U.S.	2,076	8.2%
Same county	1,686	6.7%
Different county	390	1.5%
Same state	334	1.3%
Different state	56	0.2%
Abroad	12	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	25,591	25,591
Native	17,326	67.7%
Born in United States	17,194	67.2%
State of residence	14,731	57.6%
Different state	2,463	9.6%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	132	0.5%
Foreign born	8,265	32.3%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	8,265	8,265
Naturalized U.S. citizen	2,501	30.3%
Not a U.S. citizen	5,764	69.7%
YEAR OF ENTRY		



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	8,397	8,397
Native	132	132
Entered 2010 or later	12	9.1%
Entered before 2010	120	90.9%
Foreign born	8,265	8,265
Entered 2010 or later	834	10.1%
Entered before 2010	7,431	89.9%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	8,265	8,265
Europe	146	1.8%
Asia	494	6.0%
Africa	15	0.2%
Oceania	5	0.1%
Latin America	7,497	90.7%
Northern America	108	1.3%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	23,087	23,087
English only	7,930	34.3%
Language other than English	15,157	65.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	7,293	31.6%
Spanish	14,447	62.6%
Speak English less than "very well"	7,122	30.8%
Other Indo-European languages	129	0.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	56	0.2%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	399	1.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	115	0.5%
Other languages	182	0.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	25,591	25,591
American	292	1.1%
Arab	19	0.1%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	53	0.2%
Dutch	91	0.4%
English	302	1.2%
French (except Basque)	14	0.1%
French Canadian	8	0.0%
German	1,337	5.2%
Greek	6	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	448	1.8%
Italian	175	0.7%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	84	0.3%
Polish	9	0.0%
Portuguese	201	0.8%
Russian	11	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	77	0.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	56	0.2%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	19	0.1%
Swiss	17	0.1%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	25	0.1%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	6	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	7,044	7,044
With a computer	6,243	88.6%
With a broadband Internet	4,854	68.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	18,107	18,107
In labor force	11,001	60.8%
Civilian labor force	10,906	60.2%
Employed	9,483	52.4%
Unemployed	1,423	7.9%
Armed Forces	95	0.5%
Not in labor force	7,106	39.2%
Civilian labor force	10,906	10,906
Unemployment Rate	(X)	13.0%
Females 16 years and over	9,203	9,203
In labor force	5,076	55.2%
Civilian labor force	5,076	55.2%
Employed	4,244	46.1%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	2,735	2,735
All parents in family in labor force	1,600	58.5%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	4,858	4,858
All parents in family in labor force	3,043	62.6%
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	9,343	9,343
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	6,899	73.8%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	1,706	18.3%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	68	0.7%
Walked	127	1.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	344	3.7%
Worked from home	199	2.1%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	23.9	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	9,483	9,483
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	1,694	17.9%
Service occupations	1,465	15.4%
Sales and office occupations	1,471	15.5%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	3,186	33.6%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	1,667	17.6%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	9,483	9,483
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	2,846	30.0%
Construction	461	4.9%
Manufacturing	777	8.2%
Wholesale trade	515	5.4%
Retail trade	731	7.7%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	343	3.6%
Information	112	1.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	319	3.4%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	353	3.7%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	1,894	20.0%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	537	5.7%
Other services, except public administration	301	3.2%
Public administration	294	3.1%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	9,483	9,483
Private wage and salary workers	7,735	81.6%
Government workers	1,329	14.0%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	395	4.2%
Unpaid family workers	24	0.3%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	7,044	7,044

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	386	5.5%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	408	5.8%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	797	11.3%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	892	12.7%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,235	17.5%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,406	20.0%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	659	9.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	877	12.5%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	238	3.4%
\$200,000 or more	146	2.1%
Median household income (dollars)	46,490	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	60,347	(X)
With earnings	5,673	80.5%
Mean earnings (dollars)	58,132	(X)
With Social Security	2,041	29.0%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	17,896	(X)
With retirement income	1,040	14.8%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	25,778	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	486	6.9%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	10,477	(X)
With cash public assistance income	693	9.8%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	2,870	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	1,554	22.1%
Families	5,857	5,857
Less than \$10,000	364	6.2%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	228	3.9%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	482	8.2%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	816	13.9%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,120	19.1%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,238	21.1%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	566	9.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	696	11.9%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	238	4.1%
\$200,000 or more	109	1.9%
Median family income (dollars)	47,921	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	61,438	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	17,423	(X)
Nonfamily households	1,187	1,187
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	24,957	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	40,881	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	22,093	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	39,898	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	33,953	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	25,363	25,363
With health insurance coverage	22,796	89.9%
With private health insurance	9,773	38.5%
With public coverage	15,256	60.2%
No health insurance coverage	2,567	10.1%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	8,590	8,590
No health insurance coverage	85	1.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	13,750	13,750
In labor force:	10,329	10,329
Employed:	8,955	8,955
With health insurance coverage	7,445	83.1%
With private health insurance	4,643	51.8%
With public coverage	2,973	33.2%
No health insurance coverage	1,510	16.9%
Unemployed:	1,374	1,374

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	920	67.0%
With private health insurance	274	19.9%
With public coverage	659	48.0%
No health insurance coverage	454	33.0%
Not in labor force:	3,421	3,421
With health insurance coverage	3,005	87.8%
With private health insurance	926	27.1%
With public coverage	2,389	69.8%
No health insurance coverage	416	12.2%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	18.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	24.8%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	9.3%
Married couple families	(X)	14.4%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	22.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	5.3%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	31.4%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	31.4%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	7.6%
All people	(X)	21.1%
Under 18 years	(X)	30.2%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	29.9%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	29.2%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	30.2%
18 years and over	(X)	16.9%
18 to 64 years	(X)	18.6%
65 years and over	(X)	9.1%
People in families	(X)	20.6%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	26.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	7,333	7,333
Occupied housing units	7,044	96.1%
Vacant housing units	289	3.9%
Homeowner vacancy rate	1.7	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.5	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	7,333	7,333
1-unit, detached	5,285	72.1%
1-unit, attached	196	2.7%
2 units	253	3.5%
3 or 4 units	580	7.9%
5 to 9 units	549	7.5%
10 to 19 units	94	1.3%
20 or more units	154	2.1%
Mobile home	222	3.0%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	7,333	7,333
Built 2014 or later	86	1.2%
Built 2010 to 2013	191	2.6%
Built 2000 to 2009	1,209	16.5%
Built 1990 to 1999	1,425	19.4%
Built 1980 to 1989	847	11.6%
Built 1970 to 1979	1,445	19.7%
Built 1960 to 1969	541	7.4%
Built 1950 to 1959	749	10.2%
Built 1940 to 1949	271	3.7%
Built 1939 or earlier	569	7.8%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	7,333	7,333
1 room	51	0.7%
2 rooms	20	0.3%
3 rooms	442	6.0%
4 rooms	1,390	19.0%
5 rooms	2,068	28.2%
6 rooms	1,727	23.6%
7 rooms	871	11.9%
8 rooms	406	5.5%
9 rooms or more	358	4.9%
Median rooms	5.4	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	7,333	7,333
No bedroom	51	0.7%
1 bedroom	327	4.5%
2 bedrooms	1,936	26.4%
3 bedrooms	3,461	47.2%
4 bedrooms	1,059	14.4%
5 or more bedrooms	499	6.8%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	7,044	7,044
Owner-occupied	4,428	62.9%
Renter-occupied	2,616	37.1%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.54	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.67	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	7,044	7,044

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	554	7.9%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	880	12.5%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	1,701	24.1%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	1,945	27.6%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	1,161	16.5%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	803	11.4%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	7,044	7,044
No vehicles available	349	5.0%
1 vehicle available	2,272	32.3%
2 vehicles available	2,743	38.9%
3 or more vehicles available	1,680	23.9%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	7,044	7,044
Utility gas	5,610	79.6%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	74	1.1%
Electricity	1,215	17.2%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	17	0.2%
Solar energy	79	1.1%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	49	0.7%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	7,044	7,044
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	38	0.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	182	2.6%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	7,044	7,044
1.00 or less	6,238	88.6%
1.01 to 1.50	627	8.9%
1.51 or more	179	2.5%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	4,428	4,428
Less than \$50,000	179	4.0%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	416	9.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	486	11.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	990	22.4%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	1,581	35.7%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	705	15.9%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	60	1.4%
\$1,000,000 or more	11	0.2%
Median (dollars)	207,500	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	4,428	4,428
Housing units with a mortgage	2,940	66.4%
Housing units without a mortgage	1,488	33.6%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	2,940	2,940
Less than \$500	37	1.3%
\$500 to \$999	637	21.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	1,076	36.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	735	25.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	241	8.2%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	99	3.4%
\$3,000 or more	115	3.9%
Median (dollars)	1,349	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	1,488	1,488
Less than \$250	57	3.8%
\$250 to \$399	505	33.9%
\$400 to \$599	546	36.7%
\$600 to \$799	231	15.5%
\$800 to \$999	149	10.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	461	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	2,932	2,932
Less than 20.0 percent	1,199	40.9%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	483	16.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	320	10.9%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	172	5.9%
35.0 percent or more	758	25.9%
Not computed	8	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	1,488	1,488
Less than 10.0 percent	558	37.5%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	396	26.6%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	45	3.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	87	5.8%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	67	4.5%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	82	5.5%
35.0 percent or more	253	17.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	2,539	2,539
Less than \$500	138	5.4%
\$500 to \$999	1,292	50.9%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	886	34.9%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	218	8.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	5	0.2%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	960	(X)
No rent paid	77	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	2,510	2,510
Less than 15.0 percent	251	10.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	150	6.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	112	4.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	395	15.7%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	259	10.3%
35.0 percent or more	1,343	53.5%
Not computed	106	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	25,591	25,591
Male	12,910	50.4%
Female	12,681	49.6%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	101.8	(X)
Under 5 years	2,504	9.8%
5 to 9 years	1,893	7.4%
10 to 14 years	2,418	9.4%
15 to 19 years	2,128	8.3%
20 to 24 years	1,743	6.8%
25 to 34 years	3,567	13.9%
35 to 44 years	2,857	11.2%
45 to 54 years	2,766	10.8%
55 to 59 years	1,329	5.2%
60 to 64 years	1,264	4.9%
65 to 74 years	1,538	6.0%
75 to 84 years	805	3.1%
85 years and over	779	3.0%
Median age (years)	31.2	(X)
Under 18 years	8,047	31.4%
16 years and over	18,107	70.8%
18 years and over	17,544	68.6%
21 years and over	16,272	63.6%
62 years and over	3,906	15.3%
65 years and over	3,122	12.2%
18 years and over	17,544	17,544
Male	8,645	49.3%
Female	8,899	50.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	97.1	(X)
65 years and over	3,122	3,122
Male	1,411	45.2%
Female	1,711	54.8%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	82.5	(X)
RACE		
Total population	25,591	25,591
One race	24,266	94.8%
Two or more races	1,325	5.2%
One race	24,266	94.8%
White	19,234	75.2%
Black or African American	301	1.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	258	1.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	885	3.5%
Asian Indian	85	0.3%
Chinese	28	0.1%
Filipino	549	2.1%
Japanese	173	0.7%
Korean	5	0.0%
Vietnamese	45	0.2%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	32	0.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	6	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	26	0.1%
Some other race	3,556	13.9%
Two or more races	1,325	5.2%
White and Black or African American	173	0.7%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	229	0.9%
White and Asian	352	1.4%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	6	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	25,591	25,591
White	20,342	79.5%
Black or African American	483	1.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	514	2.0%
Asian	1,531	6.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	332	1.3%
Some other race	3,807	14.9%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	25,591	25,591
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	19,941	77.9%
Mexican	19,325	75.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Reedley city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	72	0.3%
Cuban	13	0.1%
Other Hispanic or Latino	531	2.1%
Not Hispanic or Latino	5,650	22.1%
White alone	3,668	14.3%
Black or African American alone	279	1.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	16	0.1%
Asian alone	885	3.5%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	32	0.1%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	770	3.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	770	3.0%
Total housing units	7,333	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	12,101	12,101
Male	5,984	49.5%
Female	6,117	50.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	980	980
Married-couple family	630	64.3%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	218	22.2%
Cohabiting couple household	102	10.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	84	8.6%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	107	10.9%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	57	5.8%
65 years and over	50	5.1%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	141	14.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	74	7.6%
Householder living alone	32	3.3%
65 years and over	32	3.3%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	440	44.9%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	342	34.9%
Average household size	3.50	(X)
Average family size	3.59	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	3,433	3,433
Householder	980	28.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	635	18.5%
Unmarried partner	106	3.1%
Child	1,328	38.7%
Other relatives	347	10.1%
Other nonrelatives	37	1.1%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	1,339	1,339
Never married	396	29.6%
Now married, except separated	773	57.7%
Separated	66	4.9%
Widowed	63	4.7%
Divorced	41	3.1%
Females 15 years and over	1,190	1,190
Never married	321	27.0%
Now married, except separated	803	67.5%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	31	2.6%
Divorced	35	2.9%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	31	31
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	31	100.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	93	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	40	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	60	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	103	103
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	28	27.2%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	28	27.2%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	28	28
Who are female	18	64.3%
Who are married	20	71.4%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	719	719
Nursery school, preschool	50	7.0%
Kindergarten	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Riverdale CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	484	67.3%
High school (grades 9-12)	115	16.0%
College or graduate school	70	9.7%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	2,266	2,266
Less than 9th grade	534	23.6%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	397	17.5%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	505	22.3%
Some college, no degree	417	18.4%
Associate's degree	179	7.9%
Bachelor's degree	138	6.1%
Graduate or professional degree	96	4.2%
High school graduate or higher	1,335	58.9%
Bachelor's degree or higher	234	10.3%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	2,486	2,486
Civilian veterans	123	4.9%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	3,428	3,428
With a disability	450	13.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Riverdale CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Under 18 years	942	942
With a disability	31	3.3%
18 to 64 years	1,952	1,952
With a disability	171	8.8%
65 years and over	534	534
With a disability	248	46.4%
<b>RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO</b>		
Population 1 year and over	3,397	3,397
Same house	2,861	84.2%
Different house in the U.S.	525	15.5%
Same county	525	15.5%
Different county	0	0.0%
Same state	0	0.0%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	11	0.3%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	3,433	3,433
Native	2,269	66.1%
Born in United States	2,200	64.1%
State of residence	1,949	56.8%
Different state	251	7.3%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	69	2.0%
Foreign born	1,164	33.9%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	1,164	1,164
Naturalized U.S. citizen	356	30.6%
Not a U.S. citizen	808	69.4%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	1,233	1,233
Native	69	69
Entered 2010 or later	41	59.4%
Entered before 2010	28	40.6%
Foreign born	1,164	1,164
Entered 2010 or later	80	6.9%
Entered before 2010	1,084	93.1%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	1,164	1,164
Europe	29	2.5%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	1,125	96.6%
Northern America	10	0.9%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	3,064	3,064
English only	958	31.3%
Language other than English	2,106	68.7%
Speak English less than "very well"	902	29.4%
Spanish	2,055	67.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	902	29.4%
Other Indo-European languages	51	1.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	3,433	3,433
American	60	1.7%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	18	0.5%
English	135	3.9%
French (except Basque)	20	0.6%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	89	2.6%
Greek	33	1.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	142	4.1%
Italian	89	2.6%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	134	3.9%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	83	2.4%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	7	0.2%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	980	980
With a computer	822	83.9%
With a broadband Internet	682	69.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	2,505	2,505
In labor force	1,328	53.0%
Civilian labor force	1,323	52.8%
Employed	1,273	50.8%
Unemployed	50	2.0%
Armed Forces	5	0.2%
Not in labor force	1,177	47.0%
Civilian labor force	1,323	1,323
Unemployment Rate	(X)	3.8%
Females 16 years and over	1,185	1,185
In labor force	607	51.2%
Civilian labor force	607	51.2%
Employed	581	49.0%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	361	361
All parents in family in labor force	154	42.7%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	555	555
All parents in family in labor force	303	54.6%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	1,238	1,238
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	916	74.0%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	155	12.5%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	65	5.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	71	5.7%
Worked from home	31	2.5%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	22.8	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,273	1,273
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	223	17.5%
Service occupations	217	17.0%
Sales and office occupations	262	20.6%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	391	30.7%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	180	14.1%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,273	1,273
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	371	29.1%
Construction	93	7.3%
Manufacturing	66	5.2%
Wholesale trade	14	1.1%
Retail trade	88	6.9%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	41	3.2%
Information	12	0.9%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	73	5.7%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	26	2.0%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	392	30.8%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	58	4.6%
Other services, except public administration	30	2.4%
Public administration	9	0.7%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,273	1,273
Private wage and salary workers	934	73.4%
Government workers	177	13.9%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	162	12.7%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	980	980

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	18	1.8%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	118	12.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	92	9.4%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	180	18.4%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	52	5.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	242	24.7%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	195	19.9%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	65	6.6%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	4	0.4%
\$200,000 or more	14	1.4%
Median household income (dollars)	55,833	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	58,402	(X)
With earnings	747	76.2%
Mean earnings (dollars)	56,008	(X)
With Social Security	392	40.0%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	22,117	(X)
With retirement income	100	10.2%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	31,928	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	113	11.5%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	10,893	(X)
With cash public assistance income	82	8.4%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	5,959	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	207	21.1%
Families	891	891
Less than \$10,000	71	8.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	42	4.7%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	65	7.3%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	180	20.2%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	52	5.8%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	211	23.7%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	187	21.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	65	7.3%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	4	0.4%
\$200,000 or more	14	1.6%
Median family income (dollars)	56,033	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	59,251	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	17,730	(X)
Nonfamily households	89	89
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	20,781	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	26,902	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	26,383	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	32,929	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	31,142	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	3,428	3,428
With health insurance coverage	3,140	91.6%
With private health insurance	1,489	43.4%
With public coverage	2,037	59.4%
No health insurance coverage	288	8.4%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	991	991
No health insurance coverage	30	3.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	1,903	1,903
In labor force:	1,266	1,266
Employed:	1,216	1,216
With health insurance coverage	1,056	86.8%
With private health insurance	695	57.2%
With public coverage	373	30.7%
No health insurance coverage	160	13.2%
Unemployed:	50	50

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	0	0.0%
No health insurance coverage	50	100.0%
Not in labor force:	637	637
With health insurance coverage	589	92.5%
With private health insurance	183	28.7%
With public coverage	481	75.5%
No health insurance coverage	48	7.5%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	21.3%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	40.2%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Married couple families	(X)	9.8%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	22.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	66.3%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	69.3%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	26.4%
Under 18 years	(X)	46.8%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	46.8%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	25.2%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	60.5%
18 years and over	(X)	18.8%
18 to 64 years	(X)	23.2%
65 years and over	(X)	2.4%
People in families	(X)	26.5%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	24.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	1,036	1,036
Occupied housing units	980	94.6%
Vacant housing units	56	5.4%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	1,036	1,036
1-unit, detached	971	93.7%
1-unit, attached	18	1.7%
2 units	0	0.0%
3 or 4 units	0	0.0%
5 to 9 units	33	3.2%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	7	0.7%
Mobile home	7	0.7%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	1,036	1,036
Built 2014 or later	44	4.2%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	54	5.2%
Built 1990 to 1999	126	12.2%
Built 1980 to 1989	136	13.1%
Built 1970 to 1979	191	18.4%
Built 1960 to 1969	218	21.0%
Built 1950 to 1959	104	10.0%
Built 1940 to 1949	111	10.7%
Built 1939 or earlier	52	5.0%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	1,036	1,036
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	0	0.0%
4 rooms	141	13.6%
5 rooms	412	39.8%
6 rooms	324	31.3%
7 rooms	136	13.1%
8 rooms	10	1.0%
9 rooms or more	13	1.3%
Median rooms	5.4	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	1,036	1,036
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	0	0.0%
2 bedrooms	185	17.9%
3 bedrooms	648	62.5%
4 bedrooms	188	18.1%
5 or more bedrooms	15	1.4%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	980	980
Owner-occupied	635	64.8%
Renter-occupied	345	35.2%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.20	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	4.07	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	980	980



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	116	11.8%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	58	5.9%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	192	19.6%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	236	24.1%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	184	18.8%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	194	19.8%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	980	980
No vehicles available	37	3.8%
1 vehicle available	228	23.3%
2 vehicles available	469	47.9%
3 or more vehicles available	246	25.1%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	980	980
Utility gas	647	66.0%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	31	3.2%
Electricity	286	29.2%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	16	1.6%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	980	980
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	17	1.7%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	980	980
1.00 or less	866	88.4%
1.01 to 1.50	95	9.7%
1.51 or more	19	1.9%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	635	635
Less than \$50,000	15	2.4%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	61	9.6%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	139	21.9%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	219	34.5%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	179	28.2%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	8	1.3%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	14	2.2%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	166,400	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	635	635
Housing units with a mortgage	426	67.1%
Housing units without a mortgage	209	32.9%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	426	426
Less than \$500	15	3.5%
\$500 to \$999	79	18.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	219	51.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	91	21.4%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	8	1.9%
\$3,000 or more	14	3.3%
Median (dollars)	1,161	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	209	209
Less than \$250	31	14.8%
\$250 to \$399	139	66.5%
\$400 to \$599	29	13.9%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	10	4.8%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	338	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	426	426
Less than 20.0 percent	223	52.3%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	30	7.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	78	18.3%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	95	22.3%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	209	209
Less than 10.0 percent	105	50.2%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	22	10.5%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	42	20.1%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	30	14.4%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	10	4.8%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	337	337
Less than \$500	47	13.9%
\$500 to \$999	152	45.1%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	138	40.9%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	902	(X)
No rent paid	8	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	329	329
Less than 15.0 percent	16	4.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	22	6.7%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	54	16.4%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	40	12.2%
35.0 percent or more	197	59.9%
Not computed	16	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	3,433	3,433
Male	1,752	51.0%
Female	1,681	49.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	104.2	(X)
Under 5 years	369	10.7%
5 to 9 years	200	5.8%
10 to 14 years	335	9.8%
15 to 19 years	87	2.5%
20 to 24 years	176	5.1%
25 to 34 years	794	23.1%
35 to 44 years	300	8.7%
45 to 54 years	326	9.5%
55 to 59 years	168	4.9%
60 to 64 years	144	4.2%
65 to 74 years	306	8.9%
75 to 84 years	172	5.0%
85 years and over	56	1.6%
Median age (years)	31.7	(X)
Under 18 years	942	27.4%
16 years and over	2,505	73.0%
18 years and over	2,491	72.6%
21 years and over	2,414	70.3%
62 years and over	638	18.6%
65 years and over	534	15.6%
18 years and over	2,491	2,491
Male	1,306	52.4%
Female	1,185	47.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	110.2	(X)
65 years and over	534	534
Male	292	54.7%
Female	242	45.3%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	120.7	(X)
RACE		
Total population	3,433	3,433
One race	3,416	99.5%
Two or more races	17	0.5%
One race	3,416	99.5%
White	2,158	62.9%
Black or African American	10	0.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	100	2.9%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	100	2.9%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Riverdale CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	1,148	33.4%
Two or more races	17	0.5%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	3,433	3,433
White	2,175	63.4%
Black or African American	10	0.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	100	2.9%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	1,165	33.9%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	3,433	3,433
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	2,501	72.9%
Mexican	2,501	72.9%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Riverdale CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0%
Not Hispanic or Latino	932	27.1%
White alone	922	26.9%
Black or African American alone	10	0.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	0	0.0%
Total housing units	1,036	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	1,717	1,717
Male	834	48.6%
Female	883	51.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	7,081	7,081
Married-couple family	3,543	50.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	1,840	26.0%
Cohabiting couple household	705	10.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	308	4.3%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	1,201	17.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	206	2.9%
Householder living alone	518	7.3%
65 years and over	201	2.8%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	1,632	23.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	374	5.3%
Householder living alone	879	12.4%
65 years and over	571	8.1%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	3,446	48.7%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	1,952	27.6%
Average household size	3.47	(X)
Average family size	3.97	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	24,540	24,540
Householder	7,081	28.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	3,537	14.4%
Unmarried partner	697	2.8%
Child	9,073	37.0%
Other relatives	3,500	14.3%
Other nonrelatives	652	2.7%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	9,019	9,019
Never married	3,876	43.0%
Now married, except separated	4,324	47.9%
Separated	47	0.5%
Widowed	170	1.9%
Divorced	602	6.7%
Females 15 years and over	9,291	9,291
Never married	2,924	31.5%
Now married, except separated	4,097	44.1%
Separated	220	2.4%
Widowed	919	9.9%
Divorced	1,131	12.2%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	351	351
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	176	50.1%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	53	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	59	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	134	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	1,350	1,350
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	628	46.5%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	174	12.9%
1 or 2 years	292	21.6%
3 or 4 years	114	8.4%
5 or more years	48	3.6%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	628	628
Who are female	287	45.7%
Who are married	515	82.0%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	7,281	7,281
Nursery school, preschool	442	6.1%
Kindergarten	411	5.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	3,609	49.6%
High school (grades 9-12)	1,306	17.9%
College or graduate school	1,513	20.8%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	14,351	14,351
Less than 9th grade	2,772	19.3%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	1,537	10.7%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	4,166	29.0%
Some college, no degree	3,471	24.2%
Associate's degree	1,044	7.3%
Bachelor's degree	936	6.5%
Graduate or professional degree	425	3.0%
High school graduate or higher	10,042	70.0%
Bachelor's degree or higher	1,361	9.5%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	17,077	17,077
Civilian veterans	663	3.9%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	24,556	24,556
With a disability	2,745	11.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	7,588	7,588
With a disability	179	2.4%
18 to 64 years	14,362	14,362
With a disability	1,409	9.8%
65 years and over	2,606	2,606
With a disability	1,157	44.4%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	24,294	24,294
Same house	22,071	90.8%
Different house in the U.S.	2,185	9.0%
Same county	1,907	7.8%
Different county	278	1.1%
Same state	93	0.4%
Different state	185	0.8%
Abroad	38	0.2%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	24,675	24,675
Native	18,493	74.9%
Born in United States	18,259	74.0%
State of residence	16,879	68.4%
Different state	1,380	5.6%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	234	0.9%
Foreign born	6,182	25.1%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	6,182	6,182
Naturalized U.S. citizen	1,783	28.8%
Not a U.S. citizen	4,399	71.2%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	6,416	6,416
Native	234	234
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	234	100.0%
Foreign born	6,182	6,182
Entered 2010 or later	539	8.7%
Entered before 2010	5,643	91.3%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	6,182	6,182
Europe	42	0.7%
Asia	380	6.1%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	5,721	92.5%
Northern America	39	0.6%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	22,636	22,636
English only	9,246	40.8%
Language other than English	13,390	59.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	5,604	24.8%
Spanish	12,722	56.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	5,389	23.8%
Other Indo-European languages	559	2.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	155	0.7%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	53	0.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	40	0.2%
Other languages	56	0.2%
Speak English less than "very well"	20	0.1%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	24,675	24,675
American	184	0.7%
Arab	31	0.1%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	109	0.4%
Dutch	164	0.7%
English	574	2.3%
French (except Basque)	93	0.4%
French Canadian	20	0.1%
German	652	2.6%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	22	0.1%
Irish	725	2.9%
Italian	109	0.4%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	28	0.1%
Polish	16	0.1%
Portuguese	28	0.1%
Russian	67	0.3%
Scotch-Irish	14	0.1%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	100	0.4%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	1	0.0%
Swedish	25	0.1%
Swiss	3	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	15	0.1%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	7,081	7,081
With a computer	5,973	84.4%
With a broadband Internet	5,318	75.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	17,880	17,880
In labor force	10,529	58.9%
Civilian labor force	10,519	58.8%
Employed	9,782	54.7%
Unemployed	737	4.1%
Armed Forces	10	0.1%
Not in labor force	7,351	41.1%
Civilian labor force	10,519	10,519
Unemployment Rate	(X)	7.0%
Females 16 years and over	9,046	9,046
In labor force	4,339	48.0%
Civilian labor force	4,339	48.0%
Employed	4,079	45.1%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	2,356	2,356
All parents in family in labor force	1,281	54.4%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	4,865	4,865
All parents in family in labor force	3,059	62.9%
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	9,562	9,562
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	7,903	82.7%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	813	8.5%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	78	0.8%
Walked	89	0.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	494	5.2%
Worked from home	185	1.9%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	22.9	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	9,782	9,782
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	1,653	16.9%
Service occupations	1,992	20.4%
Sales and office occupations	2,288	23.4%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	1,954	20.0%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	1,895	19.4%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	9,782	9,782
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	1,507	15.4%
Construction	496	5.1%
Manufacturing	1,084	11.1%
Wholesale trade	523	5.3%
Retail trade	1,098	11.2%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	490	5.0%
Information	118	1.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	200	2.0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	760	7.8%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	1,836	18.8%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	684	7.0%
Other services, except public administration	337	3.4%
Public administration	649	6.6%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	9,782	9,782
Private wage and salary workers	7,718	78.9%
Government workers	1,699	17.4%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	356	3.6%
Unpaid family workers	9	0.1%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	7,081	7,081

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	533	7.5%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	310	4.4%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	847	12.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,242	17.5%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,157	16.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,191	16.8%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	698	9.9%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	735	10.4%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	241	3.4%
\$200,000 or more	127	1.8%
Median household income (dollars)	40,815	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	57,229	(X)
With earnings	5,498	77.6%
Mean earnings (dollars)	59,541	(X)
With Social Security	1,937	27.4%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	15,605	(X)
With retirement income	1,240	17.5%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	19,185	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	386	5.5%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	8,306	(X)
With cash public assistance income	878	12.4%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	3,400	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	1,492	21.1%
Families	5,424	5,424
Less than \$10,000	231	4.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	271	5.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	602	11.1%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	794	14.6%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	888	16.4%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,050	19.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	660	12.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	640	11.8%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	205	3.8%
\$200,000 or more	83	1.5%
Median family income (dollars)	48,496	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	61,769	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	17,198	(X)
Nonfamily households	1,657	1,657
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	26,210	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	35,913	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	26,231	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	34,717	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	35,582	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	24,556	24,556
With health insurance coverage	21,626	88.1%
With private health insurance	10,980	44.7%
With public coverage	12,454	50.7%
No health insurance coverage	2,930	11.9%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	7,868	7,868
No health insurance coverage	276	3.5%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	14,082	14,082
In labor force:	10,093	10,093
Employed:	9,543	9,543
With health insurance coverage	7,822	82.0%
With private health insurance	5,739	60.1%
With public coverage	2,264	23.7%
No health insurance coverage	1,721	18.0%
Unemployed:	550	550

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	466	84.7%
With private health insurance	206	37.5%
With public coverage	261	47.5%
No health insurance coverage	84	15.3%
Not in labor force:	3,989	3,989
With health insurance coverage	3,140	78.7%
With private health insurance	977	24.5%
With public coverage	2,290	57.4%
No health insurance coverage	849	21.3%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	19.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	26.3%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	20.8%
Married couple families	(X)	16.1%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	22.3%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	4.5%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	33.7%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	43.7%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	54.0%
All people	(X)	24.4%
Under 18 years	(X)	30.3%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	30.3%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	42.2%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	25.9%
18 years and over	(X)	21.7%
18 to 64 years	(X)	22.5%
65 years and over	(X)	17.3%
People in families	(X)	22.0%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	41.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	7,511	7,511
Occupied housing units	7,081	94.3%
Vacant housing units	430	5.7%
Homeowner vacancy rate	2.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	2.4	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	7,511	7,511
1-unit, detached	5,734	76.3%
1-unit, attached	160	2.1%
2 units	83	1.1%
3 or 4 units	476	6.3%
5 to 9 units	383	5.1%
10 to 19 units	87	1.2%
20 or more units	140	1.9%
Mobile home	434	5.8%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	14	0.2%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	7,511	7,511
Built 2014 or later	58	0.8%
Built 2010 to 2013	173	2.3%
Built 2000 to 2009	1,318	17.5%
Built 1990 to 1999	1,364	18.2%
Built 1980 to 1989	990	13.2%
Built 1970 to 1979	1,205	16.0%
Built 1960 to 1969	411	5.5%
Built 1950 to 1959	937	12.5%
Built 1940 to 1949	510	6.8%
Built 1939 or earlier	545	7.3%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	7,511	7,511
1 room	53	0.7%
2 rooms	204	2.7%
3 rooms	334	4.4%
4 rooms	1,644	21.9%
5 rooms	2,173	28.9%
6 rooms	1,683	22.4%
7 rooms	861	11.5%
8 rooms	325	4.3%
9 rooms or more	234	3.1%
Median rooms	5.2	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	7,511	7,511
No bedroom	53	0.7%
1 bedroom	558	7.4%
2 bedrooms	1,848	24.6%
3 bedrooms	3,590	47.8%
4 bedrooms	1,338	17.8%
5 or more bedrooms	124	1.7%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	7,081	7,081
Owner-occupied	4,147	58.6%
Renter-occupied	2,934	41.4%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.32	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.67	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	7,081	7,081

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	391	5.5%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	939	13.3%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	1,750	24.7%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	2,299	32.5%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	858	12.1%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	844	11.9%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	7,081	7,081
No vehicles available	528	7.5%
1 vehicle available	2,347	33.1%
2 vehicles available	2,497	35.3%
3 or more vehicles available	1,709	24.1%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	7,081	7,081
Utility gas	5,013	70.8%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	44	0.6%
Electricity	1,654	23.4%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	121	1.7%
Solar energy	118	1.7%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	131	1.9%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	7,081	7,081
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	47	0.7%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	28	0.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	125	1.8%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	7,081	7,081
1.00 or less	6,366	89.9%
1.01 to 1.50	588	8.3%
1.51 or more	127	1.8%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	4,147	4,147
Less than \$50,000	250	6.0%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	333	8.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	458	11.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	1,334	32.2%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	1,337	32.2%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	356	8.6%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	49	1.2%
\$1,000,000 or more	30	0.7%
Median (dollars)	186,300	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	4,147	4,147
Housing units with a mortgage	3,094	74.6%
Housing units without a mortgage	1,053	25.4%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	3,094	3,094
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	593	19.2%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	1,055	34.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	1,067	34.5%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	289	9.3%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	75	2.4%
\$3,000 or more	15	0.5%
Median (dollars)	1,456	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	1,053	1,053
Less than \$250	165	15.7%
\$250 to \$399	414	39.3%
\$400 to \$599	210	19.9%
\$600 to \$799	179	17.0%
\$800 to \$999	54	5.1%
\$1,000 or more	31	2.9%
Median (dollars)	368	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	3,038	3,038
Less than 20.0 percent	989	32.6%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	558	18.4%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	296	9.7%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	299	9.8%
35.0 percent or more	896	29.5%
Not computed	56	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	1,044	1,044
Less than 10.0 percent	392	37.5%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	168	16.1%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	143	13.7%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	69	6.6%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	58	5.6%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	30	2.9%
35.0 percent or more	184	17.6%
Not computed	9	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	2,852	2,852
Less than \$500	310	10.9%
\$500 to \$999	1,259	44.1%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	1,006	35.3%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	277	9.7%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	899	(X)
No rent paid	82	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	2,817	2,817
Less than 15.0 percent	263	9.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	254	9.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	247	8.8%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	299	10.6%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	303	10.8%
35.0 percent or more	1,451	51.5%
Not computed	117	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	24,675	24,675
Male	12,459	50.5%
Female	12,216	49.5%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	102.0	(X)
Under 5 years	2,039	8.3%
5 to 9 years	2,171	8.8%
10 to 14 years	2,155	8.7%
15 to 19 years	1,781	7.2%
20 to 24 years	2,178	8.8%
25 to 34 years	3,508	14.2%
35 to 44 years	3,252	13.2%
45 to 54 years	2,507	10.2%
55 to 59 years	1,161	4.7%
60 to 64 years	1,219	4.9%
65 to 74 years	1,492	6.0%
75 to 84 years	900	3.6%
85 years and over	312	1.3%
Median age (years)	30.1	(X)
Under 18 years	7,588	30.8%
16 years and over	17,880	72.5%
18 years and over	17,087	69.2%
21 years and over	16,119	65.3%
62 years and over	3,407	13.8%
65 years and over	2,704	11.0%
18 years and over	17,087	17,087
Male	8,514	49.8%
Female	8,573	50.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	99.3	(X)
65 years and over	2,704	2,704
Male	1,006	37.2%
Female	1,698	62.8%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	59.2	(X)
RACE		
Total population	24,675	24,675
One race	23,625	95.7%
Two or more races	1,050	4.3%
One race	23,625	95.7%
White	19,891	80.6%
Black or African American	83	0.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	248	1.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	837	3.4%
Asian Indian	634	2.6%
Chinese	54	0.2%
Filipino	103	0.4%
Japanese	46	0.2%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	200	0.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Native Hawaiian	200	0.8%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	2,366	9.6%
Two or more races	1,050	4.3%
White and Black or African American	52	0.2%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	741	3.0%
White and Asian	112	0.5%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	2	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	24,675	24,675
White	20,922	84.8%
Black or African American	149	0.6%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,016	4.1%
Asian	961	3.9%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	200	0.8%
Some other race	2,497	10.1%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	24,675	24,675
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	20,708	83.9%
Mexican	20,192	81.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Selma city, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	101	0.4%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	415	1.7%
Not Hispanic or Latino	3,967	16.1%
White alone	2,683	10.9%
Black or African American alone	83	0.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	32	0.1%
Asian alone	822	3.3%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	22	0.1%
Two or more races	325	1.3%
Two races including Some other race	8	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	317	1.3%
Total housing units	7,511	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	12,951	12,951
Male	6,296	48.6%
Female	6,655	51.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	246	246
Married-couple family	74	30.1%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	45	18.3%
Cohabiting couple household	46	18.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	34	13.8%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	53	21.5%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	20	8.1%
Householder living alone	21	8.5%
65 years and over	14	5.7%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	73	29.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	45	18.3%
Householder living alone	12	4.9%
65 years and over	12	4.9%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	155	63.0%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	52	21.1%
Average household size	4.07	(X)
Average family size	4.40	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	1,002	1,002
Householder	246	24.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Sultana CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Spouse	73	7.3%
Unmarried partner	47	4.7%
Child	539	53.8%
Other relatives	71	7.1%
Other nonrelatives	26	2.6%
<b>MARITAL STATUS</b>		
Males 15 years and over	308	308
Never married	202	65.6%
Now married, except separated	73	23.7%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	7	2.3%
Divorced	26	8.4%
Females 15 years and over	307	307
Never married	167	54.4%
Now married, except separated	87	28.3%
Separated	6	2.0%
Widowed	28	9.1%
Divorced	19	6.2%
<b>FERTILITY</b>		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	13	13
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	13	100.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	74	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	53	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	124	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	29	29
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	0.0%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	0	0.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	381	381
Nursery school, preschool	26	6.8%
Kindergarten	36	9.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Sultana CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	195	51.2%
High school (grades 9-12)	62	16.3%
College or graduate school	62	16.3%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	471	471
Less than 9th grade	172	36.5%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	89	18.9%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	143	30.4%
Some college, no degree	36	7.6%
Associate's degree	19	4.0%
Bachelor's degree	12	2.5%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	210	44.6%
Bachelor's degree or higher	12	2.5%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	570	570
Civilian veterans	22	3.9%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	1,002	1,002
With a disability	89	8.9%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Sultana CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Under 18 years	432	432
With a disability	11	2.5%
18 to 64 years	515	515
With a disability	68	13.2%
65 years and over	55	55
With a disability	10	18.2%
<b>RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO</b>		
Population 1 year and over	990	990
Same house	852	86.1%
Different house in the U.S.	138	13.9%
Same county	93	9.4%
Different county	45	4.5%
Same state	45	4.5%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	1,002	1,002
Native	695	69.4%
Born in United States	682	68.1%
State of residence	610	60.9%
Different state	72	7.2%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	13	1.3%
Foreign born	307	30.6%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	307	307
Naturalized U.S. citizen	80	26.1%
Not a U.S. citizen	227	73.9%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Sultana CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Population born outside the United States	320	320
Native	13	13
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	13	100.0%
Foreign born	307	307
Entered 2010 or later	70	22.8%
Entered before 2010	237	77.2%
<b>WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN</b>		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	307	307
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	7	2.3%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	300	97.7%
Northern America	0	0.0%
<b>LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME</b>		
Population 5 years and over	877	877
English only	237	27.0%
Language other than English	640	73.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	213	24.3%
Spanish	626	71.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	209	23.8%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	14	1.6%
Speak English less than "very well"	4	0.5%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	1,002	1,002
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	25	2.5%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	0	0.0%
Italian	12	1.2%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	0	0.0%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Sultana CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	5	0.5%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
<b>COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE</b>		
Total households	246	246
With a computer	210	85.4%
With a broadband Internet	132	53.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	581	581
In labor force	331	57.0%
Civilian labor force	331	57.0%
Employed	318	54.7%
Unemployed	13	2.2%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	250	43.0%
Civilian labor force	331	331
Unemployment Rate	(X)	3.9%
Females 16 years and over	293	293
In labor force	124	42.3%
Civilian labor force	124	42.3%
Employed	124	42.3%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	142	142
All parents in family in labor force	66	46.5%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	282	282
All parents in family in labor force	240	85.1%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	296	296
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	241	81.4%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	27	9.1%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	7	2.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	21	7.1%
Worked from home	0	0.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	22.5	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	318	318
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	34	10.7%
Service occupations	32	10.1%
Sales and office occupations	54	17.0%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	90	28.3%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	108	34.0%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	318	318
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	115	36.2%
Construction	0	0.0%
Manufacturing	12	3.8%
Wholesale trade	28	8.8%
Retail trade	47	14.8%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	21	6.6%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	6	1.9%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	28	8.8%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	56	17.6%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	5	1.6%
Other services, except public administration	0	0.0%
Public administration	0	0.0%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	318	318
Private wage and salary workers	251	78.9%
Government workers	35	11.0%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	32	10.1%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	246	246

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	27	11.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	39	15.9%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	29	11.8%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	35	14.2%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	33	13.4%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	64	26.0%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	19	7.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	30,313	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	36,582	(X)
With earnings	211	85.8%
Mean earnings (dollars)	37,964	(X)
With Social Security	56	22.8%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	8,302	(X)
With retirement income	30	12.2%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	5,183	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	11	4.5%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	N	(X)
With cash public assistance income	49	19.9%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	6,510	(X)



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	90	36.6%
Families	201	201
Less than \$10,000	16	8.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	23	11.4%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	17	8.5%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	39	19.4%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	52	25.9%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	35	17.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	19	9.5%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	39,792	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	38,867	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	9,631	(X)
Nonfamily households	45	45
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	11,307	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	10,773	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	20,417	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	36,016	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	20,208	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	1,002	1,002
With health insurance coverage	840	83.8%
With private health insurance	228	22.8%
With public coverage	627	62.6%
No health insurance coverage	162	16.2%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	456	456
No health insurance coverage	26	5.7%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	491	491
In labor force:	299	299
Employed:	286	286
With health insurance coverage	199	69.6%
With private health insurance	101	35.3%
With public coverage	98	34.3%
No health insurance coverage	87	30.4%
Unemployed:	13	13

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	13	100.0%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	13	100.0%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Not in labor force:	192	192
With health insurance coverage	143	74.5%
With private health insurance	28	14.6%
With public coverage	119	62.0%
No health insurance coverage	49	25.5%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	33.3%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	39.4%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	100.0%
Married couple families	(X)	35.1%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	35.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	100.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	41.2%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	50.7%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	38.5%
Under 18 years	(X)	43.3%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	43.3%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	59.2%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	36.8%
18 years and over	(X)	34.9%
18 to 64 years	(X)	32.4%
65 years and over	(X)	58.2%
People in families	(X)	34.8%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	66.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	296	296
Occupied housing units	246	83.1%
Vacant housing units	50	16.9%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	2.3	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	296	296
1-unit, detached	140	47.3%
1-unit, attached	23	7.8%
2 units	0	0.0%
3 or 4 units	31	10.5%
5 to 9 units	8	2.7%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	81	27.4%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	13	4.4%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	296	296
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	12	4.1%
Built 2000 to 2009	19	6.4%
Built 1990 to 1999	70	23.6%
Built 1980 to 1989	18	6.1%
Built 1970 to 1979	64	21.6%
Built 1960 to 1969	5	1.7%
Built 1950 to 1959	31	10.5%
Built 1940 to 1949	36	12.2%
Built 1939 or earlier	41	13.9%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	296	296
1 room	21	7.1%
2 rooms	6	2.0%
3 rooms	10	3.4%
4 rooms	100	33.8%
5 rooms	96	32.4%
6 rooms	63	21.3%
7 rooms	0	0.0%
8 rooms	0	0.0%
9 rooms or more	0	0.0%
Median rooms	4.6	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	296	296
No bedroom	21	7.1%
1 bedroom	6	2.0%
2 bedrooms	119	40.2%
3 bedrooms	133	44.9%
4 bedrooms	17	5.7%
5 or more bedrooms	0	0.0%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	246	246
Owner-occupied	59	24.0%
Renter-occupied	187	76.0%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.73	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	4.18	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	246	246

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Sultana CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Moved in 2017 or later	25	10.2%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	30	12.2%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	70	28.5%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	48	19.5%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	38	15.4%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	35	14.2%
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
Occupied housing units	246	246
No vehicles available	16	6.5%
1 vehicle available	85	34.6%
2 vehicles available	106	43.1%
3 or more vehicles available	39	15.9%
<b>HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>		
Occupied housing units	246	246
Utility gas	134	54.5%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	22	8.9%
Electricity	70	28.5%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	20	8.1%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
Occupied housing units	246	246
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
<b>OCCUPANTS PER ROOM</b>		
Occupied housing units	246	246
1.00 or less	208	84.6%
1.01 to 1.50	32	13.0%
1.51 or more	6	2.4%
<b>VALUE</b>		
Owner-occupied units	59	59
Less than \$50,000	10	16.9%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	6	10.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	19	32.2%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	4	6.8%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	0	0.0%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	13	22.0%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	7	11.9%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
<b>MORTGAGE STATUS</b>		
Owner-occupied units	59	59
Housing units with a mortgage	30	50.8%
Housing units without a mortgage	29	49.2%
<b>SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)</b>		
Housing units with a mortgage	30	30
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	6	20.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	4	13.3%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	7	23.3%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	13	43.3%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	1,929	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	29	29
Less than \$250	21	72.4%
\$250 to \$399	0	0.0%
\$400 to \$599	8	27.6%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	235	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	30	30
Less than 20.0 percent	6	20.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	24	80.0%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	29	29
Less than 10.0 percent	10	34.5%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	0	0.0%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	11	37.9%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	8	27.6%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	175	175
Less than \$500	38	21.7%
\$500 to \$999	117	66.9%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	16	9.1%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	4	2.3%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	799	(X)
No rent paid	12	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	175	175
Less than 15.0 percent	31	17.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Sultana CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
15.0 to 19.9 percent	19	10.9%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	41	23.4%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	6	3.4%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	13	7.4%
35.0 percent or more	65	37.1%
Not computed	12	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	1,002	1,002
Male	501	50.0%
Female	501	50.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	100.0	(X)
Under 5 years	125	12.5%
5 to 9 years	157	15.7%
10 to 14 years	105	10.5%
15 to 19 years	90	9.0%
20 to 24 years	54	5.4%
25 to 34 years	143	14.3%
35 to 44 years	116	11.6%
45 to 54 years	117	11.7%
55 to 59 years	23	2.3%
60 to 64 years	17	1.7%
65 to 74 years	27	2.7%
75 to 84 years	22	2.2%
85 years and over	6	0.6%
Median age (years)	21.8	(X)
Under 18 years	432	43.1%
16 years and over	581	58.0%
18 years and over	570	56.9%
21 years and over	517	51.6%
62 years and over	72	7.2%
65 years and over	55	5.5%
18 years and over	570	570
Male	288	50.5%
Female	282	49.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Sultana CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	102.1	(X)
65 years and over	55	55
Male	27	49.1%
Female	28	50.9%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	96.4	(X)
RACE		
Total population	1,002	1,002
One race	978	97.6%
Two or more races	24	2.4%
One race	978	97.6%
White	728	72.7%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	13	1.3%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	13	1.3%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	14	1.4%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	14	1.4%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Sultana CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	223	22.3%
Two or more races	24	2.4%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	4	0.4%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	1,002	1,002
White	748	74.7%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	17	1.7%
Asian	18	1.8%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	243	24.3%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	1,002	1,002
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	890	88.8%
Mexican	807	80.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Sultana CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	83	8.3%
Not Hispanic or Latino	112	11.2%
White alone	81	8.1%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	13	1.3%
Asian alone	14	1.4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	4	0.4%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	4	0.4%
Total housing units	296	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	372	372
Male	202	54.3%
Female	170	45.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	1,569	1,569
Married-couple family	911	58.1%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	341	21.7%
Cohabiting couple household	132	8.4%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	93	5.9%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	123	7.8%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	3	0.2%
Householder living alone	120	7.6%
65 years and over	78	5.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	403	25.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	44	2.8%
Householder living alone	242	15.4%
65 years and over	188	12.0%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	552	35.2%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	556	35.4%
Average household size	2.84	(X)
Average family size	3.31	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	4,458	4,458
Householder	1,569	35.2%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	908	20.4%
Unmarried partner	125	2.8%
Child	1,503	33.7%
Other relatives	247	5.5%
Other nonrelatives	106	2.4%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	1,575	1,575
Never married	492	31.2%
Now married, except separated	955	60.6%
Separated	3	0.2%
Widowed	28	1.8%
Divorced	97	6.2%
Females 15 years and over	2,058	2,058
Never married	768	37.3%
Now married, except separated	982	47.7%
Separated	9	0.4%
Widowed	133	6.5%
Divorced	166	8.1%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	40	40
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	0	0.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	34	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	76	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	132	132
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	78	59.1%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	5	3.8%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	73	55.3%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	78	78
Who are female	70	89.7%
Who are married	43	55.1%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	1,496	1,496
Nursery school, preschool	42	2.8%
Kindergarten	84	5.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Sunnyside CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	459	30.7%
High school (grades 9-12)	361	24.1%
College or graduate school	550	36.8%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	2,923	2,923
Less than 9th grade	236	8.1%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	192	6.6%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	433	14.8%
Some college, no degree	768	26.3%
Associate's degree	160	5.5%
Bachelor's degree	629	21.5%
Graduate or professional degree	505	17.3%
High school graduate or higher	2,495	85.4%
Bachelor's degree or higher	1,134	38.8%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	3,367	3,367
Civilian veterans	297	8.8%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	4,464	4,464
With a disability	438	9.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Sunnyside CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Under 18 years	1,097	1,097
With a disability	61	5.6%
18 to 64 years	2,628	2,628
With a disability	159	6.1%
65 years and over	739	739
With a disability	218	29.5%
<b>RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO</b>		
Population 1 year and over	4,419	4,419
Same house	4,078	92.3%
Different house in the U.S.	341	7.7%
Same county	314	7.1%
Different county	27	0.6%
Same state	26	0.6%
Different state	1	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
<b>PLACE OF BIRTH</b>		
Total population	4,464	4,464
Native	3,822	85.6%
Born in United States	3,773	84.5%
State of residence	3,148	70.5%
Different state	625	14.0%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	49	1.1%
Foreign born	642	14.4%
<b>U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS</b>		
Foreign-born population	642	642
Naturalized U.S. citizen	303	47.2%
Not a U.S. citizen	339	52.8%
<b>YEAR OF ENTRY</b>		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Sunnyside CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Population born outside the United States	691	691
Native	49	49
Entered 2010 or later	19	38.8%
Entered before 2010	30	61.2%
Foreign born	642	642
Entered 2010 or later	80	12.5%
Entered before 2010	562	87.5%
<b>WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN</b>		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	642	642
Europe	6	0.9%
Asia	179	27.9%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	3	0.5%
Latin America	454	70.7%
Northern America	0	0.0%
<b>LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME</b>		
Population 5 years and over	4,292	4,292
English only	2,724	63.5%
Language other than English	1,568	36.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	464	10.8%
Spanish	1,293	30.1%
Speak English less than "very well"	348	8.1%
Other Indo-European languages	25	0.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	250	5.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	116	2.7%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	4,464	4,464
American	91	2.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	24	0.5%
Danish	19	0.4%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	332	7.4%
French (except Basque)	35	0.8%
French Canadian	11	0.2%
German	490	11.0%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	10	0.2%
Irish	229	5.1%
Italian	278	6.2%
Lithuanian	3	0.1%
Norwegian	110	2.5%
Polish	41	0.9%
Portuguese	35	0.8%
Russian	11	0.2%
Scotch-Irish	25	0.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>Sunnyside CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Scottish	83	1.9%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	6	0.1%
Swedish	11	0.2%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	10	0.2%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	4	0.1%
<b>COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE</b>		
Total households	1,569	1,569
With a computer	1,432	91.3%
With a broadband Internet	1,332	84.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	3,573	3,573
In labor force	1,905	53.3%
Civilian labor force	1,905	53.3%
Employed	1,869	52.3%
Unemployed	36	1.0%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	1,668	46.7%
Civilian labor force	1,905	1,905
Unemployment Rate	(X)	1.9%
Females 16 years and over	2,001	2,001
In labor force	860	43.0%
Civilian labor force	860	43.0%
Employed	839	41.9%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	258	258
All parents in family in labor force	102	39.5%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	722	722
All parents in family in labor force	319	44.2%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	1,816	1,816
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	1,657	91.2%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	103	5.7%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	3	0.2%
Worked from home	53	2.9%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	23.0	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,869	1,869
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	856	45.8%
Service occupations	343	18.4%
Sales and office occupations	406	21.7%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	76	4.1%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	188	10.1%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,869	1,869
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	54	2.9%
Construction	55	2.9%
Manufacturing	155	8.3%
Wholesale trade	20	1.1%
Retail trade	193	10.3%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	88	4.7%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	118	6.3%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	270	14.4%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	582	31.1%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	141	7.5%
Other services, except public administration	56	3.0%
Public administration	137	7.3%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,869	1,869
Private wage and salary workers	1,179	63.1%
Government workers	530	28.4%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	148	7.9%
Unpaid family workers	12	0.6%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	1,569	1,569

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	49	3.1%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	102	6.5%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	201	12.8%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	203	12.9%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	79	5.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	150	9.6%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	145	9.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	335	21.4%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	143	9.1%
\$200,000 or more	162	10.3%
Median household income (dollars)	77,527	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	93,563	(X)
With earnings	1,138	72.5%
Mean earnings (dollars)	94,205	(X)
With Social Security	495	31.5%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	18,975	(X)
With retirement income	427	27.2%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	36,297	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	91	5.8%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	9,230	(X)
With cash public assistance income	90	5.7%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	3,331	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	177	11.3%
Families	1,149	1,149
Less than \$10,000	16	1.4%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	36	3.1%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	97	8.4%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	126	11.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	49	4.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	95	8.3%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	152	13.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	314	27.3%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	135	11.7%
\$200,000 or more	129	11.2%
Median family income (dollars)	100,186	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	104,710	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	33,493	(X)
Nonfamily households	420	420
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	26,750	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	56,118	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	32,453	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	71,442	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	60,786	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	4,464	4,464
With health insurance coverage	4,247	95.1%
With private health insurance	3,280	73.5%
With public coverage	1,824	40.9%
No health insurance coverage	217	4.9%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	1,111	1,111
No health insurance coverage	15	1.4%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	2,614	2,614
In labor force:	1,731	1,731
Employed:	1,695	1,695
With health insurance coverage	1,595	94.1%
With private health insurance	1,475	87.0%
With public coverage	149	8.8%
No health insurance coverage	100	5.9%
Unemployed:	36	36

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	36	100.0%
With private health insurance	30	83.3%
With public coverage	6	16.7%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Not in labor force:	883	883
With health insurance coverage	781	88.4%
With private health insurance	515	58.3%
With public coverage	363	41.1%
No health insurance coverage	102	11.6%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	11.7%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	20.8%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Married couple families	(X)	7.2%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	13.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	46.3%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	79.7%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	17.8%
Under 18 years	(X)	33.0%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	32.7%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	33.1%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	32.7%
18 years and over	(X)	12.8%
18 to 64 years	(X)	12.9%
65 years and over	(X)	12.4%
People in families	(X)	14.9%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	34.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	1,637	1,637
Occupied housing units	1,569	95.8%
Vacant housing units	68	4.2%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	1,637	1,637
1-unit, detached	1,362	83.2%
1-unit, attached	34	2.1%
2 units	104	6.4%
3 or 4 units	24	1.5%
5 to 9 units	33	2.0%
10 to 19 units	71	4.3%
20 or more units	9	0.5%
Mobile home	0	0.0%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	1,637	1,637
Built 2014 or later	5	0.3%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	7	0.4%
Built 1990 to 1999	0	0.0%
Built 1980 to 1989	165	10.1%
Built 1970 to 1979	258	15.8%
Built 1960 to 1969	519	31.7%
Built 1950 to 1959	389	23.8%
Built 1940 to 1949	174	10.6%
Built 1939 or earlier	120	7.3%
ROOMS		



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	1,637	1,637
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	76	4.6%
4 rooms	172	10.5%
5 rooms	357	21.8%
6 rooms	454	27.7%
7 rooms	283	17.3%
8 rooms	131	8.0%
9 rooms or more	164	10.0%
Median rooms	6.0	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	1,637	1,637
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	68	4.2%
2 bedrooms	313	19.1%
3 bedrooms	1,009	61.6%
4 bedrooms	203	12.4%
5 or more bedrooms	44	2.7%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	1,569	1,569
Owner-occupied	1,140	72.7%
Renter-occupied	429	27.3%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	2.66	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.33	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	1,569	1,569

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	<b>Sunnyside CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Moved in 2017 or later	111	7.1%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	119	7.6%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	472	30.1%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	369	23.5%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	190	12.1%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	308	19.6%
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
Occupied housing units	1,569	1,569
No vehicles available	58	3.7%
1 vehicle available	441	28.1%
2 vehicles available	577	36.8%
3 or more vehicles available	493	31.4%
<b>HOUSE HEATING FUEL</b>		
Occupied housing units	1,569	1,569
Utility gas	1,204	76.7%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	26	1.7%
Electricity	325	20.7%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	3	0.2%
Solar energy	11	0.7%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
Occupied housing units	1,569	1,569
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	33	2.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	26	1.7%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	1,569	1,569
1.00 or less	1,533	97.7%
1.01 to 1.50	18	1.1%
1.51 or more	18	1.1%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	1,140	1,140
Less than \$50,000	10	0.9%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	41	3.6%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	24	2.1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	27	2.4%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	498	43.7%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	455	39.9%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	85	7.5%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	295,000	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	1,140	1,140
Housing units with a mortgage	769	67.5%
Housing units without a mortgage	371	32.5%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	769	769
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	27	3.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	169	22.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	262	34.1%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	212	27.6%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	36	4.7%
\$3,000 or more	63	8.2%
Median (dollars)	1,834	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	371	371
Less than \$250	29	7.8%
\$250 to \$399	96	25.9%
\$400 to \$599	39	10.5%
\$600 to \$799	112	30.2%
\$800 to \$999	72	19.4%
\$1,000 or more	23	6.2%
Median (dollars)	652	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	769	769
Less than 20.0 percent	354	46.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	183	23.8%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	42	5.5%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	23	3.0%
35.0 percent or more	167	21.7%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	360	360
Less than 10.0 percent	159	44.2%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	56	15.6%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	35	9.7%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	9	2.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	34	9.4%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	32	8.9%
35.0 percent or more	35	9.7%
Not computed	11	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	413	413
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	268	64.9%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	59	14.3%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	56	13.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	30	7.3%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	943	(X)
No rent paid	16	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	413	413
Less than 15.0 percent	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	10	2.4%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	43	10.4%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	59	14.3%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	24	5.8%
35.0 percent or more	277	67.1%
Not computed	16	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	4,464	4,464
Male	2,004	44.9%
Female	2,460	55.1%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	81.5	(X)
Under 5 years	172	3.9%
5 to 9 years	324	7.3%
10 to 14 years	335	7.5%
15 to 19 years	316	7.1%
20 to 24 years	394	8.8%
25 to 34 years	410	9.2%
35 to 44 years	579	13.0%
45 to 54 years	630	14.1%
55 to 59 years	282	6.3%
60 to 64 years	283	6.3%
65 to 74 years	439	9.8%
75 to 84 years	192	4.3%
85 years and over	108	2.4%
Median age (years)	40.3	(X)
Under 18 years	1,097	24.6%
16 years and over	3,573	80.0%
18 years and over	3,367	75.4%
21 years and over	3,193	71.5%
62 years and over	936	21.0%
65 years and over	739	16.6%
18 years and over	3,367	3,367
Male	1,417	42.1%
Female	1,950	57.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Sunnyside CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	72.7	(X)
65 years and over	739	739
Male	307	41.5%
Female	432	58.5%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	71.1	(X)
RACE		
Total population	4,464	4,464
One race	4,274	95.7%
Two or more races	190	4.3%
One race	4,274	95.7%
White	3,298	73.9%
Black or African American	252	5.6%
American Indian and Alaska Native	12	0.3%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	343	7.7%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	15	0.3%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	71	1.6%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	5	0.1%
Other Asian	252	5.6%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	24	0.5%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Sunnyside CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	24	0.5%
Some other race	345	7.7%
Two or more races	190	4.3%
White and Black or African American	101	2.3%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	11	0.2%
White and Asian	15	0.3%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	4,464	4,464
White	3,461	77.5%
Black or African American	380	8.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	23	0.5%
Asian	358	8.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	24	0.5%
Some other race	408	9.1%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	4,464	4,464
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	1,892	42.4%
Mexican	1,612	36.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>Sunnyside CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	280	6.3%
Not Hispanic or Latino	2,572	57.6%
White alone	1,883	42.2%
Black or African American alone	188	4.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	343	7.7%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	24	0.5%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	134	3.0%
Two races including Some other race	27	0.6%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	107	2.4%
Total housing units	1,637	(X)
<b>CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION</b>		
Citizen, 18 and over population	3,050	3,050
Male	1,261	41.3%
Female	1,789	58.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	187	187
Married-couple family	93	49.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	46	24.6%
Cohabiting couple household	20	10.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	12	6.4%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	16	8.6%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	3	1.6%
Householder living alone	7	3.7%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	58	31.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	25	13.4%
Householder living alone	17	9.1%
65 years and over	7	3.7%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	102	54.5%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	49	26.2%
Average household size	4.03	(X)
Average family size	4.23	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	754	754
Householder	187	24.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	94	12.5%
Unmarried partner	21	2.8%
Child	320	42.4%
Other relatives	99	13.1%
Other nonrelatives	33	4.4%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	281	281
Never married	126	44.8%
Now married, except separated	126	44.8%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	10	3.6%
Divorced	19	6.8%
Females 15 years and over	277	277
Never married	94	33.9%
Now married, except separated	129	46.6%
Separated	11	4.0%
Widowed	24	8.7%
Divorced	19	6.9%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	8	8
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	0	0.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	41	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	101	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	21	21
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	10	47.6%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	4	19.0%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	6	28.6%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	10	10
Who are female	4	40.0%
Who are married	6	60.0%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	223	223
Nursery school, preschool	13	5.8%
Kindergarten	5	2.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	125	56.1%
High school (grades 9-12)	55	24.7%
College or graduate school	25	11.2%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	418	418
Less than 9th grade	133	31.8%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	85	20.3%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	135	32.3%
Some college, no degree	39	9.3%
Associate's degree	24	5.7%
Bachelor's degree	2	0.5%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	200	47.8%
Bachelor's degree or higher	2	0.5%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	520	520
Civilian veterans	15	2.9%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	754	754
With a disability	86	11.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	234	234
With a disability	8	3.4%
18 to 64 years	449	449
With a disability	41	9.1%
65 years and over	71	71
With a disability	37	52.1%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	747	747
Same house	692	92.6%
Different house in the U.S.	55	7.4%
Same county	29	3.9%
Different county	26	3.5%
Same state	26	3.5%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	754	754
Native	466	61.8%
Born in United States	466	61.8%
State of residence	415	55.0%
Different state	51	6.8%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	0	0.0%
Foreign born	288	38.2%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	288	288
Naturalized U.S. citizen	63	21.9%
Not a U.S. citizen	225	78.1%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	288	288
Native	0	0
Entered 2010 or later	0	-
Entered before 2010	0	-
Foreign born	288	288
Entered 2010 or later	20	6.9%
Entered before 2010	268	93.1%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	288	288
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	3	1.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	285	99.0%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	705	705
English only	145	20.6%
Language other than English	560	79.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	242	34.3%
Spanish	557	79.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	239	33.9%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	3	0.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	3	0.4%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	754	754
American	12	1.6%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	5	0.7%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	2	0.3%
English	6	0.8%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	26	3.4%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	2	0.3%
Italian	3	0.4%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	0	0.0%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	5	0.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	187	187
With a computer	161	86.1%
With a broadband Internet	117	62.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	546	546
In labor force	289	52.9%
Civilian labor force	289	52.9%
Employed	277	50.7%
Unemployed	12	2.2%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	257	47.1%
Civilian labor force	289	289
Unemployment Rate	(X)	4.2%
Females 16 years and over	271	271
In labor force	122	45.0%
Civilian labor force	122	45.0%
Employed	122	45.0%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	54	54
All parents in family in labor force	43	79.6%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	165	165
All parents in family in labor force	117	70.9%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	261	261
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	194	74.3%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	41	15.7%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	6	2.3%
Walked	7	2.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	13	5.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	23.9	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	277	277
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	34	12.3%
Service occupations	39	14.1%
Sales and office occupations	34	12.3%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	126	45.5%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	44	15.9%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	277	277
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	122	44.0%
Construction	3	1.1%
Manufacturing	15	5.4%
Wholesale trade	13	4.7%
Retail trade	13	4.7%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	31	11.2%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	2	0.7%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	3	1.1%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	33	11.9%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	17	6.1%
Other services, except public administration	14	5.1%
Public administration	11	4.0%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	277	277
Private wage and salary workers	233	84.1%
Government workers	31	11.2%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	13	4.7%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	187	187

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	19	10.2%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	13	7.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	15	8.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	24	12.8%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	35	18.7%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	41	21.9%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	20	10.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	16	8.6%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	4	2.1%
Median household income (dollars)	44,375	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	51,657	(X)
With earnings	151	80.7%
Mean earnings (dollars)	50,699	(X)
With Social Security	74	39.6%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	15,999	(X)
With retirement income	11	5.9%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	9,464	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	21	11.2%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	4,233	(X)
With cash public assistance income	45	24.1%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	3,556	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	66	35.3%
Families	159	159
Less than \$10,000	16	10.1%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	18	11.3%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	10	6.3%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	18	11.3%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	38	23.9%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	36	22.6%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	12	7.5%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	11	6.9%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	42,083	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	44,857	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	13,484	(X)
Nonfamily households	28	28
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	64,111	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	17,500	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	50,417	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	37,500	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	754	754
With health insurance coverage	588	78.0%
With private health insurance	222	29.4%
With public coverage	403	53.4%
No health insurance coverage	166	22.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	254	254
No health insurance coverage	12	4.7%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	429	429
In labor force:	269	269
Employed:	263	263
With health insurance coverage	176	66.9%
With private health insurance	105	39.9%
With public coverage	71	27.0%
No health insurance coverage	87	33.1%
Unemployed:	6	6



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	6	100.0%
With private health insurance	3	50.0%
With public coverage	3	50.0%
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Not in labor force:	160	160
With health insurance coverage	98	61.3%
With private health insurance	14	8.8%
With public coverage	84	52.5%
No health insurance coverage	62	38.8%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	27.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	35.3%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Married couple families	(X)	18.3%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	32.7%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	45.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	46.3%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	29.3%
Under 18 years	(X)	36.3%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	36.3%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	18.4%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	41.1%
18 years and over	(X)	26.2%
18 to 64 years	(X)	27.2%
65 years and over	(X)	19.7%
People in families	(X)	27.4%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	45.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	196	196
Occupied housing units	187	95.4%
Vacant housing units	9	4.6%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	196	196
1-unit, detached	162	82.7%
1-unit, attached	10	5.1%
2 units	9	4.6%
3 or 4 units	6	3.1%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	9	4.6%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	196	196
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	10	5.1%
Built 1990 to 1999	27	13.8%
Built 1980 to 1989	9	4.6%
Built 1970 to 1979	47	24.0%
Built 1960 to 1969	33	16.8%
Built 1950 to 1959	38	19.4%
Built 1940 to 1949	23	11.7%
Built 1939 or earlier	9	4.6%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	196	196
1 room	5	2.6%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	12	6.1%
4 rooms	57	29.1%
5 rooms	73	37.2%
6 rooms	35	17.9%
7 rooms	12	6.1%
8 rooms	2	1.0%
9 rooms or more	0	0.0%
Median rooms	4.8	(X)
<b>BEDROOMS</b>		
Total housing units	196	196
No bedroom	5	2.6%
1 bedroom	9	4.6%
2 bedrooms	35	17.9%
3 bedrooms	119	60.7%
4 bedrooms	28	14.3%
5 or more bedrooms	0	0.0%
<b>HOUSING TENURE</b>		
Occupied housing units	187	187
Owner-occupied	91	48.7%
Renter-occupied	96	51.3%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	4.69	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	3.41	(X)
<b>YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT</b>		
Occupied housing units	187	187

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	18	9.6%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	18	9.6%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	53	28.3%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	26	13.9%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	36	19.3%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	36	19.3%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	187	187
No vehicles available	19	10.2%
1 vehicle available	38	20.3%
2 vehicles available	82	43.9%
3 or more vehicles available	48	25.7%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	187	187
Utility gas	156	83.4%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	2	1.1%
Electricity	29	15.5%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	187	187
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	2	1.1%
<b>OCCUPANTS PER ROOM</b>		
Occupied housing units	187	187
1.00 or less	147	78.6%
1.01 to 1.50	34	18.2%
1.51 or more	6	3.2%
<b>VALUE</b>		
Owner-occupied units	91	91
Less than \$50,000	7	7.7%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	24	26.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	16	17.6%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	24	26.4%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	18	19.8%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	2	2.2%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	0	0.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	146,300	(X)
<b>MORTGAGE STATUS</b>		
Owner-occupied units	91	91
Housing units with a mortgage	61	67.0%
Housing units without a mortgage	30	33.0%
<b>SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)</b>		
Housing units with a mortgage	61	61
Less than \$500	6	9.8%
\$500 to \$999	5	8.2%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	26	42.6%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	18	29.5%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	6	9.8%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	1,352	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	30	30
Less than \$250	8	26.7%
\$250 to \$399	13	43.3%
\$400 to \$599	9	30.0%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	350	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	59	59
Less than 20.0 percent	17	28.8%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	14	23.7%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	6	10.2%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	3	5.1%
35.0 percent or more	19	32.2%
Not computed	2	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	30	30
Less than 10.0 percent	20	66.7%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	7	23.3%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	3	10.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	91	91
Less than \$500	5	5.5%
\$500 to \$999	71	78.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	11	12.1%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	4	4.4%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	803	(X)
No rent paid	5	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	85	85
Less than 15.0 percent	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	24	28.2%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	14	16.5%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	6	7.1%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	6	7.1%
35.0 percent or more	35	41.2%
Not computed	11	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	754	754
Male	357	47.3%
Female	397	52.7%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	89.9	(X)
Under 5 years	49	6.5%
5 to 9 years	52	6.9%
10 to 14 years	95	12.6%
15 to 19 years	84	11.1%
20 to 24 years	56	7.4%
25 to 34 years	84	11.1%
35 to 44 years	106	14.1%
45 to 54 years	66	8.8%
55 to 59 years	27	3.6%
60 to 64 years	64	8.5%
65 to 74 years	50	6.6%
75 to 84 years	14	1.9%
85 years and over	7	0.9%
Median age (years)	28.0	(X)
Under 18 years	234	31.0%
16 years and over	546	72.4%
18 years and over	520	69.0%
21 years and over	456	60.5%
62 years and over	103	13.7%
65 years and over	71	9.4%
18 years and over	520	520
Male	256	49.2%
Female	264	50.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	97.0	(X)
65 years and over	71	71
Male	35	49.3%
Female	36	50.7%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	97.2	(X)
RACE		
Total population	754	754
One race	732	97.1%
Two or more races	22	2.9%
One race	732	97.1%
White	625	82.9%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	3	0.4%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	3	0.4%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	104	13.8%
Two or more races	22	2.9%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	15	2.0%
White and Asian	7	0.9%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	754	754
White	647	85.8%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	15	2.0%
Asian	10	1.3%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	104	13.8%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	754	754
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	670	88.9%
Mexican	670	88.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Traver CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0%
Not Hispanic or Latino	84	11.1%
White alone	59	7.8%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	3	0.4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	22	2.9%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	22	2.9%
Total housing units	196	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	295	295
Male	154	52.2%
Female	141	47.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	258	258
Married-couple family	139	53.9%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	31	12.0%
Cohabiting couple household	54	20.9%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	22	8.5%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	34	13.2%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	27	10.5%
65 years and over	5	1.9%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	31	12.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	16	6.2%
Householder living alone	4	1.6%
65 years and over	4	1.6%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	96	37.2%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	76	29.5%
Average household size	4.15	(X)
Average family size	4.63	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	1,071	1,071
Householder	258	24.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	136	12.7%
Unmarried partner	51	4.8%
Child	388	36.2%
Other relatives	217	20.3%
Other nonrelatives	21	2.0%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	455	455
Never married	230	50.5%
Now married, except separated	177	38.9%
Separated	21	4.6%
Widowed	5	1.1%
Divorced	22	4.8%
Females 15 years and over	376	376
Never married	158	42.0%
Now married, except separated	173	46.0%
Separated	19	5.1%
Widowed	15	4.0%
Divorced	11	2.9%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	4	4
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	4	100.0%
Per 1,000 unmarried women	25	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	18	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	78	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	64	64
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	24	37.5%
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	0.0%
1 or 2 years	24	37.5%
3 or 4 years	0	0.0%
5 or more years	0	0.0%
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	24	24
Who are female	10	41.7%
Who are married	24	100.0%
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	215	215
Nursery school, preschool	17	7.9%
Kindergarten	29	13.5%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>West Park CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	97	45.1%
High school (grades 9-12)	28	13.0%
College or graduate school	44	20.5%
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	684	684
Less than 9th grade	174	25.4%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	102	14.9%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	192	28.1%
Some college, no degree	114	16.7%
Associate's degree	52	7.6%
Bachelor's degree	39	5.7%
Graduate or professional degree	11	1.6%
High school graduate or higher	408	59.6%
Bachelor's degree or higher	50	7.3%
<b>VETERAN STATUS</b>		
Civilian population 18 years and over	815	815
Civilian veterans	28	3.4%
<b>DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION</b>		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	1,071	1,071
With a disability	159	14.8%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	256	256
With a disability	4	1.6%
18 to 64 years	701	701
With a disability	87	12.4%
65 years and over	114	114
With a disability	68	59.6%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	1,055	1,055
Same house	1,014	96.1%
Different house in the U.S.	41	3.9%
Same county	37	3.5%
Different county	4	0.4%
Same state	4	0.4%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	1,071	1,071
Native	880	82.2%
Born in United States	872	81.4%
State of residence	780	72.8%
Different state	92	8.6%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	8	0.7%
Foreign born	191	17.8%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	191	191
Naturalized U.S. citizen	111	58.1%
Not a U.S. citizen	80	41.9%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	199	199
Native	8	8
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	8	100.0%
Foreign born	191	191
Entered 2010 or later	8	4.2%
Entered before 2010	183	95.8%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	191	191
Europe	0	0.0%
Asia	54	28.3%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	137	71.7%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	969	969
English only	322	33.2%
Language other than English	647	66.8%
Speak English less than "very well"	236	24.4%
Spanish	551	56.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	193	19.9%
Other Indo-European languages	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	92	9.5%
Speak English less than "very well"	43	4.4%
Other languages	4	0.4%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
ANCESTRY		
Total population	1,071	1,071
American	5	0.5%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	2	0.2%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	9	0.8%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	9	0.8%
Italian	3	0.3%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	2	0.2%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	<b>West Park CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
<b>COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE</b>		
Total households	258	258
With a computer	211	81.8%
With a broadband Internet	171	66.3%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	827	827
In labor force	532	64.3%
Civilian labor force	532	64.3%
Employed	417	50.4%
Unemployed	115	13.9%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	295	35.7%
Civilian labor force	532	532
Unemployment Rate	(X)	21.6%
Females 16 years and over	372	372
In labor force	220	59.1%
Civilian labor force	220	59.1%
Employed	171	46.0%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	127	127
All parents in family in labor force	75	59.1%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	129	129
All parents in family in labor force	70	54.3%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	413	413
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	275	66.6%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	62	15.0%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	6	1.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	23	5.6%
Worked from home	47	11.4%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	24.6	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	417	417
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	94	22.5%
Service occupations	124	29.7%
Sales and office occupations	83	19.9%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	62	14.9%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	54	12.9%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	417	417
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	50	12.0%
Construction	14	3.4%
Manufacturing	42	10.1%
Wholesale trade	0	0.0%
Retail trade	67	16.1%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	4	1.0%
Information	6	1.4%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	0	0.0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	41	9.8%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	78	18.7%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	55	13.2%
Other services, except public administration	24	5.8%
Public administration	36	8.6%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	417	417
Private wage and salary workers	304	72.9%
Government workers	72	17.3%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	31	7.4%
Unpaid family workers	10	2.4%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	258	258



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	17	6.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	30	11.6%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	20	7.8%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	14	5.4%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	28	10.9%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	42	16.3%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	33	12.8%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	33	12.8%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	32	12.4%
\$200,000 or more	9	3.5%
Median household income (dollars)	58,929	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	76,390	(X)
With earnings	232	89.9%
Mean earnings (dollars)	68,641	(X)
With Social Security	82	31.8%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	18,405	(X)
With retirement income	47	18.2%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	13,698	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	33	12.8%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	11,524	(X)
With cash public assistance income	34	13.2%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	7,979	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	69	26.7%
Families	204	204
Less than \$10,000	4	2.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	25	12.3%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	31	15.2%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	11	5.4%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	17	8.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	33	16.2%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	29	14.2%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	43	21.1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	2	1.0%
\$200,000 or more	9	4.4%
Median family income (dollars)	58,571	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	68,845	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	19,539	(X)
Nonfamily households	54	54
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	84,263	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	29,022	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	37,902	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	39,904	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	1,071	1,071
With health insurance coverage	950	88.7%
With private health insurance	330	30.8%
With public coverage	692	64.6%
No health insurance coverage	121	11.3%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	256	256
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	701	701
In labor force:	517	517
Employed:	402	402
With health insurance coverage	352	87.6%
With private health insurance	193	48.0%
With public coverage	169	42.0%
No health insurance coverage	50	12.4%
Unemployed:	115	115

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	87	75.7%
With private health insurance	10	8.7%
With public coverage	77	67.0%
No health insurance coverage	28	24.3%
Not in labor force:	184	184
With health insurance coverage	141	76.6%
With private health insurance	28	15.2%
With public coverage	118	64.1%
No health insurance coverage	43	23.4%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	21.6%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	30.2%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Married couple families	(X)	12.9%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	18.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	0.0%
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	28.9%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	25.8%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	23.7%
Under 18 years	(X)	16.8%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	16.8%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	0.0%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	27.9%
18 years and over	(X)	25.9%
18 to 64 years	(X)	27.1%
65 years and over	(X)	18.4%
People in families	(X)	22.5%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	32.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	282	282
Occupied housing units	258	91.5%
Vacant housing units	24	8.5%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	8.9	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	282	282
1-unit, detached	235	83.3%
1-unit, attached	4	1.4%
2 units	0	0.0%
3 or 4 units	0	0.0%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	43	15.2%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	282	282
Built 2014 or later	10	3.5%
Built 2010 to 2013	0	0.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	6	2.1%
Built 1990 to 1999	21	7.4%
Built 1980 to 1989	61	21.6%
Built 1970 to 1979	27	9.6%
Built 1960 to 1969	39	13.8%
Built 1950 to 1959	35	12.4%
Built 1940 to 1949	47	16.7%
Built 1939 or earlier	36	12.8%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	282	282
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	3	1.1%
3 rooms	9	3.2%
4 rooms	72	25.5%
5 rooms	100	35.5%
6 rooms	74	26.2%
7 rooms	8	2.8%
8 rooms	10	3.5%
9 rooms or more	6	2.1%
Median rooms	5.1	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	282	282
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	3	1.1%
2 bedrooms	75	26.6%
3 bedrooms	166	58.9%
4 bedrooms	38	13.5%
5 or more bedrooms	0	0.0%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	258	258
Owner-occupied	146	56.6%
Renter-occupied	112	43.4%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.99	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	4.36	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	258	258

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	36	14.0%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	45	17.4%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	50	19.4%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	39	15.1%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	14	5.4%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	74	28.7%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	258	258
No vehicles available	7	2.7%
1 vehicle available	77	29.8%
2 vehicles available	90	34.9%
3 or more vehicles available	84	32.6%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	258	258
Utility gas	104	40.3%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	61	23.6%
Electricity	64	24.8%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	2	0.8%
Solar energy	12	4.7%
Other fuel	15	5.8%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	258	258
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	0	0.0%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	258	258
1.00 or less	180	69.8%
1.01 to 1.50	64	24.8%
1.51 or more	14	5.4%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	146	146
Less than \$50,000	9	6.2%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	14	9.6%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	46	31.5%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	21	14.4%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	28	19.2%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	14	9.6%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	11	7.5%
\$1,000,000 or more	3	2.1%
Median (dollars)	155,600	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	146	146
Housing units with a mortgage	86	58.9%
Housing units without a mortgage	60	41.1%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	86	86
Less than \$500	4	4.7%
\$500 to \$999	14	16.3%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	30	34.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	16	18.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	11	12.8%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	11	12.8%
Median (dollars)	1,386	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	60	60
Less than \$250	15	25.0%
\$250 to \$399	27	45.0%
\$400 to \$599	18	30.0%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	297	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	86	86
Less than 20.0 percent	36	41.9%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	24	27.9%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	8	9.3%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	18	20.9%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	60	60
Less than 10.0 percent	39	65.0%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	0	0.0%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	11	18.3%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	10	16.7%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	88	88
Less than \$500	12	13.6%
\$500 to \$999	30	34.1%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	46	52.3%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	1,020	(X)
No rent paid	24	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	88	88
Less than 15.0 percent	22	25.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	11	12.5%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	9	10.2%
35.0 percent or more	46	52.3%
Not computed	24	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	1,071	1,071
Male	530	49.5%
Female	541	50.5%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	98.0	(X)
Under 5 years	102	9.5%
5 to 9 years	76	7.1%
10 to 14 years	62	5.8%
15 to 19 years	34	3.2%
20 to 24 years	113	10.6%
25 to 34 years	214	20.0%
35 to 44 years	66	6.2%
45 to 54 years	113	10.6%
55 to 59 years	104	9.7%
60 to 64 years	73	6.8%
65 to 74 years	70	6.5%
75 to 84 years	7	0.7%
85 years and over	37	3.5%
Median age (years)	30.1	(X)
Under 18 years	256	23.9%
16 years and over	827	77.2%
18 years and over	815	76.1%
21 years and over	758	70.8%
62 years and over	157	14.7%
65 years and over	114	10.6%
18 years and over	815	815
Male	449	55.1%
Female	366	44.9%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	122.7	(X)
65 years and over	114	114
Male	60	52.6%
Female	54	47.4%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	111.1	(X)
RACE		
Total population	1,071	1,071
One race	1,061	99.1%
Two or more races	10	0.9%
One race	1,061	99.1%
White	638	59.6%
Black or African American	24	2.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	89	8.3%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	92	8.6%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	23	2.1%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	69	6.4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	<b>West Park CDP, California</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	218	20.4%
Two or more races	10	0.9%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	3	0.3%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	1,071	1,071
White	644	60.1%
Black or African American	24	2.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	96	9.0%
Asian	92	8.6%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	225	21.0%
<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
Total population	1,071	1,071
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	916	85.5%
Mexican	916	85.5%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	West Park CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0%
Not Hispanic or Latino	155	14.5%
White alone	36	3.4%
Black or African American alone	13	1.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	14	1.3%
Asian alone	92	8.6%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	0	0.0%
Total housing units	282	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	735	735
Male	400	54.4%
Female	335	45.6%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Total households	109	109
Married-couple family	57	52.3%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	34	31.2%
Cohabiting couple household	0	0.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Male householder, no spouse/partner present	0	0.0%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	0	0.0%
Householder living alone	0	0.0%
65 years and over	0	0.0%
Female householder, no spouse/partner present	52	47.7%
With own children of the householder under 18 years	21	19.3%
Householder living alone	10	9.2%
65 years and over	10	9.2%
Households with one or more people under 18 years	76	69.7%
Households with one or more people 65 years and over	10	9.2%
Average household size	4.73	(X)
Average family size	4.41	(X)
RELATIONSHIP		
Population in households	516	516
Householder	109	21.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Spouse	57	11.0%
Unmarried partner	0	0.0%
Child	212	41.1%
Other relatives	69	13.4%
Other nonrelatives	69	13.4%
MARITAL STATUS		
Males 15 years and over	134	134
Never married	74	55.2%
Now married, except separated	60	44.8%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	0	0.0%
Divorced	0	0.0%
Females 15 years and over	197	197
Never married	133	67.5%
Now married, except separated	54	27.4%
Separated	0	0.0%
Widowed	10	5.1%
Divorced	0	0.0%
FERTILITY		
Number of women 15 to 50 years old who had a birth in the past 12 months	0	0
Unmarried women (widowed, divorced, and never married)	0	-
Per 1,000 unmarried women	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Per 1,000 women 15 to 50 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 15 to 19 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 20 to 34 years old	0	(X)
Per 1,000 women 35 to 50 years old	0	(X)
<b>GRANDPARENTS</b>		
Number of grandparents living with own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Grandparents responsible for grandchildren	0	-
Years responsible for grandchildren		
Less than 1 year	0	-
1 or 2 years	0	-
3 or 4 years	0	-
5 or more years	0	-
Number of grandparents responsible for own grandchildren under 18 years	0	0
Who are female	0	-
Who are married	0	-
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	163	163
Nursery school, preschool	0	0.0%
Kindergarten	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	103	63.2%
High school (grades 9-12)	60	36.8%
College or graduate school	0	0.0%
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	238	238
Less than 9th grade	162	68.1%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	21	8.8%
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	55	23.1%
Some college, no degree	0	0.0%
Associate's degree	0	0.0%
Bachelor's degree	0	0.0%
Graduate or professional degree	0	0.0%
High school graduate or higher	55	23.1%
Bachelor's degree or higher	0	0.0%
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	309	309
Civilian veterans	0	0.0%
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	516	516
With a disability	68	13.2%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Under 18 years	207	207
With a disability	0	0.0%
18 to 64 years	299	299
With a disability	68	22.7%
65 years and over	10	10
With a disability	0	0.0%
RESIDENCE 1 YEAR AGO		
Population 1 year and over	516	516
Same house	490	95.0%
Different house in the U.S.	26	5.0%
Same county	26	5.0%
Different county	0	0.0%
Same state	0	0.0%
Different state	0	0.0%
Abroad	0	0.0%
PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	516	516
Native	278	53.9%
Born in United States	257	49.8%
State of residence	257	49.8%
Different state	0	0.0%
Born in Puerto Rico, U.S. Island areas, or born abroad to American parent(s)	21	4.1%
Foreign born	238	46.1%
U.S. CITIZENSHIP STATUS		
Foreign-born population	238	238
Naturalized U.S. citizen	10	4.2%
Not a U.S. citizen	228	95.8%
YEAR OF ENTRY		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Population born outside the United States	259	259
Native	21	21
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	21	100.0%
Foreign born	238	238
Entered 2010 or later	0	0.0%
Entered before 2010	238	100.0%
WORLD REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Foreign-born population, excluding population born at sea	238	238
Europe	10	4.2%
Asia	0	0.0%
Africa	0	0.0%
Oceania	0	0.0%
Latin America	228	95.8%
Northern America	0	0.0%
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	472	472
English only	0	0.0%
Language other than English	472	100.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	210	44.5%
Spanish	462	97.9%
Speak English less than "very well"	200	42.4%
Other Indo-European languages	10	2.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Speak English less than "very well"	10	2.1%
Asian and Pacific Islander languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
Other languages	0	0.0%
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0%
<b>ANCESTRY</b>		
Total population	516	516
American	0	0.0%
Arab	0	0.0%
Czech	0	0.0%
Danish	0	0.0%
Dutch	0	0.0%
English	0	0.0%
French (except Basque)	0	0.0%
French Canadian	0	0.0%
German	0	0.0%
Greek	0	0.0%
Hungarian	0	0.0%
Irish	0	0.0%
Italian	0	0.0%
Lithuanian	0	0.0%
Norwegian	0	0.0%
Polish	0	0.0%
Portuguese	10	1.9%
Russian	0	0.0%
Scotch-Irish	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP02

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Scottish	0	0.0%
Slovak	0	0.0%
Subsaharan African	0	0.0%
Swedish	0	0.0%
Swiss	0	0.0%
Ukrainian	0	0.0%
Welsh	0	0.0%
West Indian (excluding Hispanic origin groups)	0	0.0%
COMPUTERS AND INTERNET USE		
Total households	109	109
With a computer	99	90.8%
With a broadband Internet	99	90.8%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	331	331
In labor force	249	75.2%
Civilian labor force	249	75.2%
Employed	249	75.2%
Unemployed	0	0.0%
Armed Forces	0	0.0%
Not in labor force	82	24.8%
Civilian labor force	249	249
Unemployment Rate	(X)	0.0%
Females 16 years and over	197	197
In labor force	141	71.6%
Civilian labor force	141	71.6%
Employed	141	71.6%
Own children of the householder under 6 years	43	43
All parents in family in labor force	43	100.0%
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	99	99
All parents in family in labor force	99	100.0%
<b>COMMUTING TO WORK</b>		
Workers 16 years and over	249	249
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	127	51.0%
Car, truck, or van -- carpoled	122	49.0%
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	0	0.0%
Walked	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Other means	0	0.0%
Worked from home	0	0.0%
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	21.4	(X)
OCCUPATION		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	249	249
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	0	0.0%
Service occupations	0	0.0%
Sales and office occupations	34	13.7%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	194	77.9%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	21	8.4%
INDUSTRY		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	249	249
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	150	60.2%
Construction	0	0.0%
Manufacturing	0	0.0%
Wholesale trade	76	30.5%
Retail trade	0	0.0%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	0	0.0%
Information	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	0	0.0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	0	0.0%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	0	0.0%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	0	0.0%
Other services, except public administration	23	9.2%
Public administration	0	0.0%
CLASS OF WORKER		
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	249	249
Private wage and salary workers	249	100.0%
Government workers	0	0.0%
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	0	0.0%
Unpaid family workers	0	0.0%
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2019 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)		
Total households	109	109

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	0	0.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	21	19.3%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	78	71.6%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	10	9.2%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median household income (dollars)	37,463	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	40,834	(X)
With earnings	99	90.8%
Mean earnings (dollars)	36,002	(X)
With Social Security	10	9.2%
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	N	(X)
With retirement income	0	0.0%
Mean retirement income (dollars)	-	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	0	0.0%
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	-	(X)
With cash public assistance income	55	50.5%
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	N	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	76	69.7%
Families	99	99
Less than \$10,000	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	42	42.4%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	0	0.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	57	57.6%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	0	0.0%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median family income (dollars)	35,551	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	31,086	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	9,035	(X)
Nonfamily households	10	10
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	-	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	N	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	15,489	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	-	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Median earnings for female full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	-	(X)
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE		
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	516	516
With health insurance coverage	399	77.3%
With private health insurance	32	6.2%
With public coverage	377	73.1%
No health insurance coverage	117	22.7%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population under 19 years	252	252
No health insurance coverage	0	0.0%
Civilian noninstitutionalized population 19 to 64 years	254	254
In labor force:	204	204
Employed:	204	204
With health insurance coverage	113	55.4%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	113	55.4%
No health insurance coverage	91	44.6%
Unemployed:	0	0

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With health insurance coverage	0	-
With private health insurance	0	-
With public coverage	0	-
No health insurance coverage	0	-
Not in labor force:	50	50
With health insurance coverage	24	48.0%
With private health insurance	0	0.0%
With public coverage	24	48.0%
No health insurance coverage	26	52.0%
PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL		
All families	(X)	42.4%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	55.3%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
Married couple families	(X)	0.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP03

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
Families with female householder, no spouse present	(X)	100.0%
With related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	100.0%
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	(X)	-
All people	(X)	48.4%
Under 18 years	(X)	79.5%
Related children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	79.5%
Related children of the householder under 5 years	(X)	100.0%
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	(X)	76.7%
18 years and over	(X)	29.8%
18 to 64 years	(X)	30.8%
65 years and over	(X)	0.0%
People in families	(X)	49.2%
Unrelated individuals 15 years	(X)	42.1%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Total housing units	126	126
Occupied housing units	109	86.5%
Vacant housing units	17	13.5%
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
Rental vacancy rate	0.0	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
Total housing units	126	126
1-unit, detached	50	39.7%
1-unit, attached	0	0.0%
2 units	0	0.0%
3 or 4 units	34	27.0%
5 to 9 units	0	0.0%
10 to 19 units	0	0.0%
20 or more units	0	0.0%
Mobile home	42	33.3%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
Total housing units	126	126
Built 2014 or later	23	18.3%
Built 2010 to 2013	34	27.0%
Built 2000 to 2009	0	0.0%
Built 1990 to 1999	0	0.0%
Built 1980 to 1989	21	16.7%
Built 1970 to 1979	21	16.7%
Built 1960 to 1969	0	0.0%
Built 1950 to 1959	10	7.9%
Built 1940 to 1949	17	13.5%
Built 1939 or earlier	0	0.0%
ROOMS		

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Total housing units	126	126
1 room	0	0.0%
2 rooms	0	0.0%
3 rooms	0	0.0%
4 rooms	17	13.5%
5 rooms	99	78.6%
6 rooms	0	0.0%
7 rooms	10	7.9%
8 rooms	0	0.0%
9 rooms or more	0	0.0%
Median rooms	5.0	(X)
BEDROOMS		
Total housing units	126	126
No bedroom	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	0	0.0%
2 bedrooms	17	13.5%
3 bedrooms	109	86.5%
4 bedrooms	0	0.0%
5 or more bedrooms	0	0.0%
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	109	109
Owner-occupied	10	9.2%
Renter-occupied	99	90.8%
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	-	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	5.11	(X)
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT		
Occupied housing units	109	109

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Moved in 2017 or later	0	0.0%
Moved in 2015 to 2016	42	38.5%
Moved in 2010 to 2014	57	52.3%
Moved in 2000 to 2009	0	0.0%
Moved in 1990 to 1999	0	0.0%
Moved in 1989 and earlier	10	9.2%
VEHICLES AVAILABLE		
Occupied housing units	109	109
No vehicles available	21	19.3%
1 vehicle available	10	9.2%
2 vehicles available	21	19.3%
3 or more vehicles available	57	52.3%
HOUSE HEATING FUEL		
Occupied housing units	109	109
Utility gas	21	19.3%
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	10	9.2%
Electricity	78	71.6%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%
Coal or coke	0	0.0%
Wood	0	0.0%
Solar energy	0	0.0%
Other fuel	0	0.0%
No fuel used	0	0.0%
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Occupied housing units	109	109
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	21	19.3%
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
No telephone service available	0	0.0%
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
Occupied housing units	109	109
1.00 or less	88	80.7%
1.01 to 1.50	0	0.0%
1.51 or more	21	19.3%
VALUE		
Owner-occupied units	10	10
Less than \$50,000	0	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	10	100.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0.0%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	0	0.0%
\$300,000 to \$499,999	0	0.0%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	0	0.0%
\$1,000,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
MORTGAGE STATUS		
Owner-occupied units	10	10
Housing units with a mortgage	0	0.0%
Housing units without a mortgage	10	100.0%
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS (SMOC)		
Housing units with a mortgage	0	0
Less than \$500	0	-
\$500 to \$999	0	-
\$1,000 to \$1,499	0	-

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	-
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	-
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	-
\$3,000 or more	0	-
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
Housing units without a mortgage	10	10
Less than \$250	10	100.0%
\$250 to \$399	0	0.0%
\$400 to \$599	0	0.0%
\$600 to \$799	0	0.0%
\$800 to \$999	0	0.0%
\$1,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	-	(X)
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (SMOCAPI)		
Housing units with a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	0	0
Less than 20.0 percent	0	-
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	-
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	-
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	-
35.0 percent or more	0	-
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Housing unit without a mortgage (excluding units where SMOCAPI cannot be computed)	10	10
Less than 10.0 percent	10	100.0%
10.0 to 14.9 percent	0	0.0%
15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	0	0.0%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	0	0.0%
Not computed	0	(X)
GROSS RENT		
Occupied units paying rent	99	99
Less than \$500	0	0.0%
\$500 to \$999	99	100.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	0	0.0%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%
\$3,000 or more	0	0.0%
Median (dollars)	724	(X)
No rent paid	0	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME (GRAPI)		
Occupied units paying rent (excluding units where GRAPI cannot be computed)	99	99
Less than 15.0 percent	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP04

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
15.0 to 19.9 percent	44	44.4%
20.0 to 24.9 percent	34	34.3%
25.0 to 29.9 percent	0	0.0%
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%
35.0 percent or more	21	21.2%
Not computed	0	(X)

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
SEX AND AGE		
Total population	516	516
Male	214	41.5%
Female	302	58.5%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	70.9	(X)
Under 5 years	44	8.5%
5 to 9 years	83	16.1%
10 to 14 years	58	11.2%
15 to 19 years	67	13.0%
20 to 24 years	26	5.0%
25 to 34 years	45	8.7%
35 to 44 years	69	13.4%
45 to 54 years	94	18.2%
55 to 59 years	20	3.9%
60 to 64 years	0	0.0%
65 to 74 years	0	0.0%
75 to 84 years	10	1.9%
85 years and over	0	0.0%
Median age (years)	21.2	(X)
Under 18 years	207	40.1%
16 years and over	331	64.1%
18 years and over	309	59.9%
21 years and over	264	51.2%
62 years and over	10	1.9%
65 years and over	10	1.9%
18 years and over	309	309
Male	134	43.4%
Female	175	56.6%



Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	76.6	(X)
65 years and over	10	10
Male	0	0.0%
Female	10	100.0%
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	-	(X)
RACE		
Total population	516	516
One race	516	100.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
One race	516	100.0%
White	294	57.0%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Cherokee tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Chippewa tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Navajo tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Sioux tribal grouping	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Asian Indian	0	0.0%
Chinese	0	0.0%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Japanese	0	0.0%
Korean	0	0.0%
Vietnamese	0	0.0%
Other Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
Guamanian or Chamorro	0	0.0%
Samoan	0	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	222	43.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
White and Black or African American	0	0.0%
White and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
White and Asian	0	0.0%
Black or African American and American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Race alone or in combination with one or more other races		
Total population	516	516
White	294	57.0%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	222	43.0%
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
Total population	516	516
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	506	98.1%
Mexican	506	98.1%

Table: ACSDP5Y2019.DP05

	Yettem CDP, California	
Label	Estimate	Percent
Puerto Rican	0	0.0%
Cuban	0	0.0%
Other Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0%
Not Hispanic or Latino	10	1.9%
White alone	10	1.9%
Black or African American alone	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0	0.0%
Asian alone	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0.0%
Some other race alone	0	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0.0%
Two races including Some other race	0	0.0%
Two races excluding Some other race, and Three or more races	0	0.0%
Total housing units	126	(X)
CITIZEN, VOTING AGE POPULATION		
Citizen, 18 and over population	81	81
Male	26	32.1%
Female	55	67.9%

## APPENDIX D INTERIM REPLACEMENT WATER PROGRAM - PARTICIPATION REQUEST FORM

### Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Participation Request Form

The Kings Water Alliance Management Zone is implementing an **Interim Replacement Water Program** to ensure that residents who are dependent on water for drinking and cooking that exceeds the nitrate drinking water standard of 10 milligrams/liter as Nitrogen (10 mg/L-N) have access to safe water.

Residents may receive safe drinking water at no cost through one of the following options (see INSERT URL for more information):

- Delivery of bottled water to their residence
- Installation of a Point of Use (POU) Treatment System (“POU System”) in their home

If neither of these options are suitable, the resident may be able to obtain at no cost safe drinking water from a public water fill station in your area. Click here to see if there are any water fill stations currently operating in this Management Zone (<http://kingswateralliance.org/residents/fillstations/>):

To request participation in the bottled water or POU System program, you must meet the following criteria:

- Your residence is within the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone and does not receive drinking water from a state or county regulated Public Water System that already provides water that meets the nitrate drinking water standard.
- Demonstration that your domestic well has nitrate levels that have unsafe nitrate levels (nitrate > 10 mg/L-N). Well tests provided by the Management Zone to evaluate nitrate levels in the well will be conducted at no cost to the resident.
- Be willing to sign an agreement with the Management Zones approved vendor(s) to receive bottled water or have a POU treatment system installed in your home.

To apply, please complete all of the information in the attached form to request service at your residence (form may also be filled out online at: <http://kingswateralliance.org/>). Note: If you are unsure if you meet the criteria, please submit the form and the Management Zone will help you determine if you are eligible.

## Request to Participate in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone Interim Replacement Water Program

Please complete the entire form and submit to the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone. Submittal of an incomplete form may delay evaluation of this application.

Applicant Information (Main contact for phone calls and correspondence about this request form)			
<b>Applicant Name:</b>			
<b>Is the applicant above the property owner? (circle one)</b>	Yes	No	<b>Address:</b>
<b>Phone:</b>			<b>City:</b>
<b>Secondary Phone:</b>			<b>State, Zip Code:</b>
<b>Email:</b>			
Property Owner Contact Information (this must be provided if the applicant is not the owner of the residence requesting participation in this program)			
<b>Property Owner(s) Name(s):</b>			
<b>Address:</b>			
<b>City:</b>			<b>State, Zip:</b>
<b>Phone:</b>			<b>Email:</b>
Nitrate Water Quality			
Has your well been tested for nitrate within the last 5 years? (circle one)	Yes	No	Don't Know
<i>Note:</i>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If yes, please attach the laboratory report with the test result for Management Zone review</li> <li>• If no, or don't know, our representative will contact you to request a <b>free</b> well test</li> </ul>			
Authorizing Signature(s)			
<b>Applicant:</b>			<b>Date:</b>
<b>Property Owner: (if applicable)</b>			<b>Date:</b>

Submit the completed form and attachments (if you have a laboratory report) to the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone in one of the following ways:

- Mail to: Kings Water Alliance, c/o Kings River Water Quality Coalition
- P.O. Box 8259 • Fresno, CA 93747
- Electronically scan and email to: [info@kingswateralliance.org](mailto:info@kingswateralliance.org)
- Go to <http://kingswateralliance.org/> and complete the request to participate online

*NOTE: The Management Zone will keep your information private. It will not be shared with any local, state, or federal agency, including those involved with law enforcement or immigration enforcement.*

## **APPENDIX E      PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM AND SUPPLY WELL NITRATE TABLES**

Table E-1. Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status

Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells

Table E-3. Treatment of Water Systems with Nitrate-Impacted Wells (Shaded cells indicate past exceedance of treated source(s))

Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate as Nitrogen (mg/L)	Maximum Nitrate as Nitrogen (mg/L)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	10003-69-001	WELL 01 - ABANDONED	07/10/2002 - 01/03/2007	3	3.19	13	1/3/2007	AB	ZONNEVELD DAIRY - CEDAR	C	15	70
Kings	10005-13-001	WELL 01 ABANDONED	07/01/2008 - 07/24/2008	2	8	10.6	7/1/2008	AB	KINGDOM HALL OF JEHOVAH S WITNESSES	NC	2	100
Kings	54100-08-009	WELL 09 - TEST HOLE	01/20/2005	1	11	11	1/20/2005	AB	OROSI PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	C	1578	8770
Kings	10004-59-001	WELL 01 - RAW	11/29/2006 - 07/10/2020	50	1.75	20	7/10/2020	AR	ROAD RUNNER FOOD AND FUEL	NC	1	300
Kings	10004-61-002	WELL 02 - RAW	03/12/1996 - 07/01/2020	60	4.5	13	3/19/1998	AR	CARGILL MEAT SOLUTIONS CORPORATION	NTNC	4	1100
Kings	10004-61-003	WELL 04 - RAW	04/24/2003 - 01/08/2020	45	4.2	16	3/13/2013	AR	CARGILL MEAT SOLUTIONS CORPORATION	NTNC	4	1100
Kings	10004-65-001	WELL 01 (DEHYDRATOR WELL)	07/25/2002 - 01/06/2020	47	1.1	12	4/7/2016	AR	BATTH DEHYDRATOR	NTNC	3	155

<sup>13</sup> Well Status can be defined as follows: AB = Abandoned; AR = Active Raw; AU = Active Untreated; DS = Destroyed; IR = Inactive Raw; IU = Inactive Untreated; PN = Pending

<sup>14</sup> Public Water System Types can be defined as follows: C = Community; NC = Non-Community; NTNC = Non-Transient Non-Community

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	1000479-001	WELL 01 - BEFORE GAC (DBCP) - RAW	01/21/2009 - 01/10/2020	48	6.5	12	7/2/2014	AR	FRANZIA WINERY-SANGER	NTNC	2	39
Kings	1000485-013	WELL 01 - RAW	06/19/2009 - 07/14/2020	88	1.4	11	8/2/2013	AR	TESSENDERLO KERLEY INC	NTNC	2	70
Kings	1000512-001	WELL 01 - RAW	06/17/2003 - 10/10/2016	24	5.8	12	1/21/2014	AR	YERGAT PACKING COMPANY	NTNC	2	150
Kings	1000592-001	WELL 01	03/20/2013 - 01/27/2020	26	11	18.3	1/27/2020	AR	SHANT BHAVAN PUNJABI FUNERAL HOME	NC	1	250
Kings	1000608-001	WELL 01 - RAW	10/23/2012 - 01/17/2020	21	10	15	1/17/2020	AR	GERAWAN FRUIT PACKING	NC	1	298
Kings	1000608-006	WELL 03	05/24/2016 - 01/17/2020	14	7.3	11	4/4/2019	AR	GERAWAN FRUIT PACKING	NC	1	298
Kings	1000625-001	WELL 01 - ABANDONED	04/12/2016 - 01/16/2018	7	12	15	1/16/2018	AR	DINO MART 1	NC	3	25
Kings	1000627-001	WELL 03 (CERINI) - RAW	10/05/2005 - 01/09/2020	51	0.0225	21	10/10/2018	AR	ZONNEVELD DAIRY - CERINI	C	17	48
Kings	1000629-001	WELL 01 - RAW	03/08/2016 - 06/01/2020	18	0.2	11.9	12/5/2018	AR	KINGS RIVER WINERY	NC	2	50



**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	100647-001	WELL 01	12/23/2019 - 07/08/2020	5	6.5	14	3/9/2020	AR	ST. PETERS CATHOLIC CEMETERY	NC	10	64
Kings	101001-009	WELL 09 - RAW	05/12/1989 - 02/06/2019	157	0.36	11	6/9/1998	AR	BAKMAN WATER COMPANY	C	2609	16756
Kings	101007-017	WELL 209 - RAW	09/11/1984 - 11/22/2019	74	2.7	11	3/13/2000	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	101007-036	WELL 225 - INF	09/11/1984 - 05/13/2020	768	0.0225	11	9/1/2009	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	101007-090	WELL 274 INF	09/11/1984 - 12/15/2014	115	0.2	11	6/25/2014	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	101007-130	WELL 008A - RAW	12/18/1992 - 02/28/2018	282	0.0225	11	7/6/2017	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	101007-163	WELL 033A - RAW	10/22/1984 - 03/19/2019	48	2.2	40.2	10/22/1984	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	101007-189	WELL 055-1 INF	08/16/1995 - 06/03/2011	327	2.7	18	4/9/1999	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	101007-218	WELL 084 - RAW	09/17/1985 - 10/25/2012	74	0.77	11	10/25/2012	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	101007-280	WELL 055-2 - RAW	01/19/1996 - 03/19/2019	347	2	15	2/26/1997	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	101007-281	WELL 140 - RAW	08/26/1993 - 06/15/2020	594	0.52	12	7/29/2002	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-297	WELL 100-2 - RAW	04/16/1997 - 03/09/2015	106	5.6	13	10/20/2010	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-312	WELL 297-2 INF	07/31/1996 - 12/12/2017	724	4.5	23.5	8/27/2007	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-348	WELL 180-1 - RAW	03/14/2000 - 06/15/2020	595	2.1	12	6/12/2006	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-349	WELL 180-2 - INF	05/03/2004 - 05/20/2020	581	2.7	20	5/20/2020	AR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-23-002	FRIANT KERN CANAL - RAW	10/19/1989 - 06/29/2012	8	0.01129433	13.73390558	9/4/2001	AR	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	C	1694	9780
<b>Kings</b>	10100-25-010	WELL 02A - RAW	05/18/1995 - 01/22/2020	48	2.7	14	1/8/2020	AR	CITY OF PARLIER	C	2638	15286
<b>Kings</b>	10100-49-003	WELL 03 - RAW	03/29/1999 - 12/30/2019	23	0.54	27.2	9/15/2016	AR	BIOLA COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST	C	300	1623
<b>Kings</b>	10100-49-004	WELL 04 - RAW	07/08/2004 - 12/30/2019	15	1.2	25.9	9/15/2016	AR	BIOLA COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST	C	300	1623
<b>Kings</b>	10100-57-003	WELL 03A - RAW	08/16/2000 - 07/04/2019	84	0.8	24.2	3/17/2014	AR	FRESNO CITY WASTEWATER PLANT	NTNC	18	190
<b>Kings</b>	54009-17-001	WELL 01 - RAW	10/11/2005 - 04/14/2016	74	0.43	53.5	4/14/2016	AR	SHOP N GO	NC	1	25

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	54020-24-001	WELL 01 - DESTROYED	02/20/2002 - 10/17/2019	21	0.54	12	10/24/2012	AR	KINGS RIVER MARKET WATER SYSTEM	NC	2	100
Kings	54030-43-001	WELL 01 - PRE NO3 BLEND	03/27/2002 - 07/08/2020	222	4.2	15	12/18/2019	AR	YETTEM WATER SYSTEM	C	66	350
Kings	54030-46-002	WELL 02	10/07/2016 - 01/02/2020	16	6.6	49	1/2/2020	AR	VISALIA CITRUS PACKING GROUP-ORANGE COVE	NTNC	7	70
Kings	54032-05-001	WELL 01	07/27/2016 - 06/07/2020	15	17.7	36	6/7/2020	AR	PENA'S DISPOSAL SERVICES	NTNC	4	86
Kings	54032-10-001	WELL 01	10/27/2016 - 09/05/2017	2	19	23	9/5/2017	AR	HAPPY APPLES	NC	1	22
Kings	54032-11-002	WELL 02 - WEST WELL	01/27/2017 - 01/17/2020	14	16	39	1/17/2020	AR	BOOTH RANCHES LLC	NTNC	3	150
Kings	54032-15-001	NORTH WELL	08/28/2018 - 02/12/2020	7	8.9	25	2/12/2020	AR	FRESH SELECT, LLC	NTNC	1	30
Kings	54032-15-002	WEST WELL	08/28/2018 - 02/12/2020	7	22	32	2/12/2020	AR	FRESH SELECT, LLC	NTNC	1	30
Kings	54100-01-004	WELL 06 - RAW (OFFLINE NO3 & DBCP)	02/12/1987 - 03/10/2015	163	1.1	13	3/10/2015	AR	CUTLER PUD	C	1218	6200

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
<b>Kings</b>	54100-08-008	WELL 08-RAW	08/01/1996 - 05/20/2020	88	3.2	11	3/10/2003	AR	OROSI PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	C	1578	8770
<b>Kings</b>	10000-57-001	WELL 01	01/31/2003 - 02/10/2020	53	0.63	12	2/10/2020	AU	DEL ORO WATER CO - METROPOLITAN DISTRICT	C	29	96
<b>Kings</b>	10001-12-001	WELL 03	06/29/1994 - 04/16/2019	38	6.28	16	4/16/2019	AU	FAIRMONT SCHOOL	NTN C	6	483
<b>Kings</b>	10002-07-001	WELL 01	04/28/2004 - 10/15/2018	53	6.1	14	10/5/2016	AU	CENTRAL WEST ARTS CENTER	NTN C	7	56
<b>Kings</b>	10002-85-053	PRIMARY WELL	04/02/2003 - 03/29/2010	10	11	28.4	3/29/2010	AU	WASHINGTON COLONY SCHOOL	NTN C	12	550
<b>Kings</b>	10003-66-001	WELL 01	08/29/2003 - 07/17/2020	32	2.8	18	4/11/2011	AU	SUNNYSIDE CONVALESCENT HOSP	C	1	275
<b>Kings</b>	10003-69-002	WELL 02 (CEDAR)	01/13/2003 - 02/13/2020	23	0.0225	11	1/5/2016	AU	ZONNEVELD DAIRY - CEDAR	C	15	70
<b>Kings</b>	10003-69-023	WELL 03	01/04/2011 - 07/17/2013	12	5.5116	18.31940366	7/17/2013	AU	ZONNEVELD DAIRY - CEDAR	C	15	70
<b>Kings</b>	10004-52-001	WELL 01	07/07/2005 - 10/05/2016	25	0.0225	29.4	7/11/2016	AU	RAY MOLES FARMS (MARKS AVE)	NTN C	1	90
<b>Kings</b>	10004-83-002	WELL 02 - PRIMARY	12/01/2008 - 02/07/2019	16	3.9	14.2	12/2/2013	AU	RIVER RANCH RAISINS	NC	1	40

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	1000486-001	WELL 01	04/29/2008 - 11/18/2019	19	2.9	14.3	10/17/2019	AU	LION RAISINS PACKING COMPANY	NTNC	3	80
Kings	1000505-001	WELL 01	03/19/2003 - 01/05/2018	32	6.98	18	1/5/2018	AU	RAY & LARRY MOLES (HENDERSON RD)	NC	1	56
Kings	1000511-001	WELL 01	07/10/2002 - 03/20/2019	20	6.3	45.2	2/18/2010	AU	THE WORSHIP CENTER EASTON	NC	1	80
Kings	1000576-001	WELL 01	09/30/2008 - 02/03/2020	21	0.75	11	3/22/2018	AU	LONE STAR DEHYDRATOR	NTNC	2	175
Kings	1000591-001	WELL 01	03/28/2011 - 03/06/2019	14	2	16	7/1/2013	AU	SUMNER PECK RANCH WINERY & FRUIT STAND	NC	2	100
Kings	1000614-001	WELL 01	08/13/2014 - 10/14/2019	12	3	40	7/9/2018	AU	QUAIL LAKE COMMUNITY CHURCH	NC	3	125
Kings	1009210-001	WELL	12/18/2001 - 08/01/2002	2	14.9	31.5	8/1/2002	AU	DE GROOT & SON DAIRY	NTNC	8	0
Kings	101001-011	WELL 11	01/06/1986 - 06/19/2019	53	2.5	14.367	12/24/1986	AU	BAKMAN WATER COMPANY	C	2609	16756
Kings	101001-015	WELL 14	12/16/1985 - 02/04/2019	60	1.2	10.2	1/23/2017	AU	BAKMAN WATER COMPANY	C	2609	16756
Kings	1010039-004	WELL 04	05/06/1991 - 09/25/2014	25	0.0225	11.4	8/5/2002	AU	CARUTHERS COMM SERV DIST	C	696	2503

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	20009-39-001	Source Well	08/08/2007 - 03/25/2010	4	23.7	37.2	3/25/2010	AU	BONITA MINI MART - WATER	NTNC	3	25
Kings	54005-23-001	WELL 01	10/28/2002 - 05/18/2020	50	0.045	17.6	4/15/2015	AU	EL MONTE VILLAGE MHP	C	47	100
Kings	54005-48-001	WELL 01	06/07/2002 - 06/15/2020	64	0.0225	17	6/18/2018	AU	KINGS INN MOTEL	NC	225	6
Kings	54005-53-001	WELL 01 - NORTH	12/21/2001 - 08/07/2018	42	0.845	24.3	8/7/2018	AU	DEL ORO TRAVER DISTRICT	C	200	660
Kings	54008-64-003	WELL 03	01/04/2002 - 04/08/2009	4	15	17	4/8/2009	AU	WELLSPRING ACADEMY	NTNC	13	300
Kings	54010-03-001	WELL 01 - EAST - RAW	01/14/2002 - 01/22/2020	47	0.43	14.4	9/19/2018	AU	EAST OROSI CSD	C	103	932
Kings	54010-03-002	WELL 02 - WEST - RAW	01/14/2002 - 01/22/2020	65	0.045	14.3	7/22/2019	AU	EAST OROSI CSD	C	103	932
Kings	54010-76-002	WELL 02	10/18/2005 - 01/08/2020	44	2	14	7/9/2019	AU	GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS CUTLER	NTNC	3	46
Kings	54010-94-002	WELL 02	12/23/2008 - 03/11/2020	8	4.1	14	12/21/2012	AU	RJ'S TRAVEL CENTER	NC	1	103
Kings	54020-43-001	WELL 01	01/07/2003 - 11/08/2019	60	12.6	18.5	11/8/2019	AU	MONSON MARKET	NC	2	30
Kings	54020-56-001	WELL 01	06/05/2002 - 11/09/2016	40	2.5	45.2	5/24/2016	AU	PEOPLE'S FOOD AND DELI INC.	NC	1	100

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
<b>Kings</b>	54030-41-001	WELL 01	04/16/2002 - 06/17/2020	56	4.16	15.2	6/17/2020	AU	FAMILY TREE FARMS	NTNC	3	30
<b>Kings</b>	54030-46-001	WELL 01 - OFFLINE 2016	03/14/2002 - 01/02/2020	44	0.93	34	1/2/2020	AU	VISALIA CITRUS PACKING GROUP-ORANGE COVE	NTNC	7	70
<b>Kings</b>	54030-80-001	WELL 01	06/19/2002 - 02/18/2020	38	3.5	10.8	5/3/2007	AU	LEGACY PACKING	NTNC	1	125
<b>Kings</b>	54030-81-001	WELL 01	12/24/2002 - 07/13/2020	36	2.3	17.7	7/13/2020	AU	PETERS FRUIT FARMS, INC	NTNC	1	125
<b>Kings</b>	54031-52-001	WELL 01 NORTH	01/07/2015 - 03/26/2019	3	7.1	11	12/6/2016	AU	MOUNTAIN VIEW COLD STORAGE	NTNC	6	140
<b>Tulare Lake</b>	16000-32-001	WELL 01	07/10/2002 - 01/21/2020	29	0.25	15.3	1/16/2008	AU	KINGS REST MOTEL	NC	2	30
<b>Tulare Lake</b>	16006-04-002	WELL #2 (NORTHERNMOST, S OF SHOP)	04/01/2003 - 12/16/2019	34	0.2	13.6	12/16/2019	AU	WARMERDAM PACKING LP	NC	5	150
<b>Tulare Lake</b>	16006-04-003	WELL #3 (NORTHWEST OF WELL#1)	07/15/2003 - 10/24/2019	32	0.2	12.2	10/23/2018	AU	WARMERDAM PACKING LP	NC	5	150
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-012	WELL 114 - DESTROYED	07/20/1994 - 09/12/1994	2	11	13	9/12/1994	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	10100-07-018	WELL 210 - DESTROYED	09/11/1984 - 03/22/1989	3	8.6	12.153	10/26/1987	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-07-024	WELL 216 - DESTROYED	09/11/1984 - 03/20/1989	3	8.9002	12	3/20/1989	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-07-039	WELL 227 - DESTROYED	09/16/1994 - 09/16/1994	2	2.484752654	2500	9/16/1994	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-07-060	WELL 248 - DESTROYED	02/14/1994 - 02/10/1997	3	3.8	13	2/10/1997	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-07-067	SITE 008A (GAC) - ABANDONED	08/03/2001 - 09/28/2009	231	0.0225	10.16489722	7/8/2002	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-07-074	WELL 259 - DESTROYED	09/11/1984 - 09/02/1994	6	7.9	14	10/21/1987	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-07-077	WELL 262 -	09/11/1984 - 09/19/1994	4	1.9	11.3	3/3/1989	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148



**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate as Nitrogen (mg/L)	Maximum Nitrate as Nitrogen (mg/L)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
		DESTROYED										
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-222	WELL 088 - DESTROYED	01/21/1988 - 06/04/1997	13	0.2	17	7/21/1993	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-244	WELL 110 INF - DESTROYED	03/13/1989 - 10/05/2005	17	5.2	11	10/5/2005	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-291	SITE 186 (GAC AT 168) - DESTROYED	05/03/2000 - 01/12/2012	168	0.0225	13.7790829	7/7/2005	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-379	SITE 113 (GAC) - DESTROYED	12/11/1998 - 03/03/1999	4	8.583690987	12.87553648	2/10/1999	DS	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-27-002	WELL 02 - DESTROYED	10/16/1986 - 12/21/2012	42	0.99	12	10/16/1986	DS	REEDLEY, CITY OF	C	6342	24194
<b>Kings</b>	10100-27-003	WELL 03 - DESTROYED	10/16/1986 - 03/27/1990	2	4.7	12	10/16/1986	DS	REEDLEY, CITY OF	C	6342	24194

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	10100-27-004	WELL 04 - DESTROYED	10/16/1986 - 11/21/2007	22	0.54	12	10/16/1986	DS	REEDLEY, CITY OF	C	6342	24194
Kings	10100-57-002	WELL 03 - DESTROYED	08/16/2000 - 03/12/2002	2	4.95	20	3/12/2002	DS	FRESNO CITY WASTEWATER PLANT	NTNC	18	190
Kings	54005-45-001	WELL 01	03/12/2003 - 02/16/2005	7	2	16	2/16/2005	DS	NORSEMAN M.H.P.	C	31	70
Kings	54100-02-009	WELL 09 - DESTROYED	07/19/1989 - 03/17/1997	15	3.8	12	6/17/1996	DS	DINUBA, CITY OF	C	6036	26305
Kings	54100-02-012	WELL 12 - DESTROYED	07/19/1989 - 11/13/1998	21	0.32	12	11/10/1998	DS	DINUBA, CITY OF	C	6036	26305
Kings	10003-43-001	WELL 01 - RAW	05/22/2008 - 10/11/2012	17	5.2	15.8	7/13/2012	IR	HARDING & LEGGETT-PACKING FACILITY	NTNC	1	85
Kings	10004-61-033	WELL 03 - RAW - INACTIVE	02/04/1998 - 03/11/2010	25	5.4	18	3/11/2010	IR	CARGILL MEAT SOLUTIONS CORPORATION	NTNC	4	1100
Kings	10005-98-001	WELL 01 - INACTIVE	12/23/2011 - 05/14/2012	2	11.97 1990 06	11.97 1990 06	5/14/2012	IR	MANHEIM CENTRAL CALIFORNIA	NTNC	2	85

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	10100-07-005	WELL 201 - INF - INACTIVE	09/11/1984 - 01/11/2016	61	4.4952	16	1/11/2016	IR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-07-311	WELL 182-2 - RAW INACTIVE	08/21/2003 - 10/26/2011	134	5	19	5/16/2008	IR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-07-317	WELL 152 - INF - INACTIVE	07/02/2001 - 12/05/2006	87	7	18	8/14/2006	IR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-07-320	WELL 185 - INF - INACTIVE	06/16/1997 - 06/08/2020	182	2	15	7/11/2005	IR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-07-378	WELL 253-2A INF - INACTIVE	05/22/1998 - 12/17/1998	12	3.6	12	12/17/1998	IR	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
Kings	10100-23-005	WELL 06 - INACT 2004	09/08/1988 - 07/18/2003	6	0.0055	20	7/18/2003	IR	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	C	1694	9780
Kings	10100-23-008	WELLS ONLY (COMBINED) - INACT 2004	09/04/2001 - 03/01/2004	27	1.102326632	21.45922747	3/1/2004	IR	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	C	1694	9780

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	1010023-009	BLEND AT CLEARWELL-INACT 2004	09/04/2001 - 04/23/2004	124	0.0225	16.48972216	11/14/2003	IR	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	C	1694	9780
Kings	1010339-001	WELL 02 - INACTIVE 2006	01/20/1994 - 11/02/2005	43	0.9	13	11/2/2005	IR	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY FRESNO	C	159	41000
Kings	5400864-001	WELL 01 WEST - INACTIVE	01/09/2003 - 10/22/2008	2	15.7	17	10/22/2008	IR	WELLSPRING ACADEMY	NTN C	13	300
Kings	1000078-001	WELL 01 - INACTIVE DUE TO NITRATE	10/27/2006 - 12/30/2011	24	7.2	14	12/30/2011	IU	FCWWD #42/ALLUVIAL & FANCHER	C	104	291
Kings	1000221-001	WELL 01 - INACTIVE	02/28/2002 - 01/18/2019	41	4.7	13	2/6/2015	IU	EASTON AUTHORITY JPA	NTN C	23	1000
Kings	1000332-001	LPA REPORTED PRIMARY SOURCE	02/28/2003 - 05/04/2004	4	6.663654845	14.90851593	5/4/2004	IU	ROCHA S DAIRY	NTN C	11	0
Kings	1000366-002	WELL 02-INACTIVE	08/29/2003 - 06/29/2009	7	11	14	6/29/2009	IU	SUNNYSIDE CONVALESCENT HOSP	C	1	275

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	10004-13-001	WELL 01	04/05/2002 - 11/24/2009	4	2.91	17.2	11/26/2008	IU	GARDEN APARTMENTS	C	10	35
Kings	10004-42-002	WELL 02 - INACTIVE	07/29/2003 - 04/01/2011	12	8.6	11	5/21/2010	IU	CHERRY AUCTION	NC	8	7000
Kings	10004-42-015	WELL 01A - INACTIVE	04/01/2011	1	12.6	12.6	4/1/2011	IU	CHERRY AUCTION	NC	8	7000
Kings	10004-49-001	WELL 01	10/24/2002 - 10/02/2007	5	3.12	16	10/29/2004	IU	CAMPOS BROTHERS LABOR CAMP	NC	1	50
Kings	10004-69-001	WELL 01	08/23/2002 - 10/08/2014	17	1.8	13.5	10/8/2014	IU	LION RAISINS EMPLOYEE LABOR CAMP	NC	1	35
Kings	10004-83-001	WELL 01 - INACTIVE DUE TO NO3	01/20/2010 - 02/11/2010	2	21	22.2	2/11/2010	IU	RIVER RANCH RAISINS	NC	1	40
Kings	10005-15-001	WELL 01	01/09/2008 - 10/16/2017	23	0.75	12	1/22/2014	IU	MICHELSÉN PACKAGING	NC	1	40
Kings	10005-30-002	WELL 02	12/08/2008 - 11/09/2010	19	7	12	11/9/2010	IU	AMERICAN AVENUE LANDFILL	NTNC	2	29
Kings	10005-47-001	WELL 01 INACTIVE	08/13/2008 - 01/07/2016	21	7.97	46.3	1/7/2016	IU	CAL PRODUCE SALES CORP - INACTIVE	NC	1	80

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate as Nitrogen (mg/L)	Maximum Nitrate as Nitrogen (mg/L)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
<b>Kings</b>	10006-08-004	WELL 02 - INACTIVE	02/04/2014 - 04/07/2017	2	0.0225	12	2/4/2014	IU	GERAWAN FRUIT PACKING	NC	1	298
<b>Kings</b>	10100-01-002	WELL 02 INACTIVE	05/19/1987 - 09/12/2018	42	4.1	11.5	9/12/2018	IU	BAKMAN WATER COMPANY	C	2609	16756
<b>Kings</b>	10100-01-005	WELL 06 - INACTIVE	05/19/1987 - 09/12/2018	29	0.77	19	7/28/2010	IU	BAKMAN WATER COMPANY	C	2609	16756
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-045	WELL 233 - DESTROYED	09/11/1984 - 02/23/1994	4	0.34	22	10/13/1987	IU	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-110	WELL 294 - DESTROYED	05/23/1997 - 07/08/1997	4	9.3	11	6/26/1997	IU	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-07-318	WELL 155-2 - INACTIVE	03/03/1998 - 09/18/2003	31	4.7	21	9/18/2003	IU	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148
<b>Kings</b>	10100-23-001	WELL 10 (CITRUSSC H) - INACTIVE 2004	10/19/1988 - 07/18/2003	5	0.0055	11	7/18/2003	IU	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	C	1694	9780
<b>Kings</b>	10100-23-003	WELL 03 - INACT 2004	09/15/1988 - 07/18/2003	7	0.0055	15	7/18/2003	IU	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	C	1694	9780

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	10100-23-004	WELL 05 - INACTIVE 2003	09/08/1988 - 06/24/1998	6	11	20	6/24/1998	IU	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	C	1694	9780
Kings	10100-23-006	WELL 08 - INACT 2004	09/30/1988 - 07/18/2003	8	0.0055	12	7/18/2003	IU	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	C	1694	9780
Kings	10100-42-003	WELL 03 - INACTIVE	04/07/1986 - 10/15/2009	35	1	12	3/9/2005	IU	MALAGA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	C	462	5979
Kings	54006-34-001	WELL 01	04/25/2002 - 04/07/2011	9	8.54	13	4/7/2011	IU	LOVELL HIGH SCHOOL	NTN C	1	60
Kings	54006-36-001	WELL 01 - DESTROYED	04/25/2002 - 09/09/2014	17	7.5	20.3	2/12/2009	IU	OROSI HIGH SCHOOL	NTN C	8	1200
Kings	54020-22-001	WELL 01 - IRRIGATION	07/16/2002 - 07/16/2007	11	3.07	15.5	1/8/2007	IU	BRAVO FARMS TRAVER	NC	2	25
Kings	54020-47-001	WELL 01	04/12/2002 - 01/14/2016	29	0.25	26	10/11/2010	IU	GLEANINGS FOR THE HUNGRY	C	12	31
Kings	54030-41-002	WELL 02 - INACTIVE	01/06/1998 - 12/10/1998	2	43.2	91.1	12/10/1998	IU	FAMILY TREE FARMS	NTN C	3	30
Kings	54030-45-001	WELL 01	08/18/1994 - 02/06/2001	13	1	85	2/6/2001	IU	IMMANUEL SCHOOL	NTN C	1	35
Kings	54030-45-002	WELL 02	02/06/2002 - 01/31/2011	28	4.88	12.1	10/6/2009	IU	IMMANUEL SCHOOL	NTN C	1	35

**Table E-1 Nitrate-Impacted Public Supply Well Summary, by Well Status**

Area of the KWAMZ	Well ID	Other Well Name	Date Range	Number of Measurements	Minimum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Maximum Nitrate (mg/L as Nitrogen)	Most Recent NO3N exceeding the Nitrate MCL of 10 mg/L as Nitrogen	Well Status <sup>13</sup>	DDW Water System Name	PWS Type <sup>14</sup>	Number of Connections	Population Served
Kings	54030-80-002	WELL 02 - DESTROYED	07/16/2002 - 10/19/2016	26	3.7	12.1	7/14/2014	IU	LEGACY PACKING	NTNC	1	125
Kings	54100-01-001	WELL 04 - INACTIVE - NITRATES	02/12/1987 - 12/10/1998	22	7.18	11	12/10/1998	IU	CUTLER PUD	C	1218	6200
Kings	54100-01-002	WELL 03 - INACTIVE	02/12/1987 - 03/26/1992	10	7.9	14	3/26/1992	IU	CUTLER PUD	C	1218	6200
Kings	54100-02-003	WELL 03	07/19/1989 - 09/22/2009	59	0.34	18	9/30/2008	IU	DINUBA, CITY OF	C	6036	26305
Kings	54100-08-005	WELL 06 - INACTIVE	11/20/1986 - 07/17/2002	12	8.4	44.7	7/17/2002	IU	OROSI PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	C	1578	8770
Tulare Lake	16000-06-001	WELL #1 - INACTIVE	03/11/2002 - 07/17/2002	2	12.4	13.5	7/17/2002	IU	DELTA VIEW SCHOOL	NTNC	2	2
Tulare Lake	16002-67-001	WELL 01	01/30/2006	1	15	15	1/30/2006	IU	41 CAFE	NC	2	26
Kings	54006-36-002	WELL 02	03/23/2010 - 12/09/2019	20	4.11	11	6/13/2019	PN	OROSI HIGH SCHOOL	NTNC	8	1200
Kings	54020-47-018	WELL 03	01/24/2011 - 06/15/2020	66	16.2	52	6/15/2020	PN	GLEANINGS FOR THE HUNGRY	C	12	31
Kings	54032-11-004	WELL 03 - PENDING	03/24/2017 - 01/17/2020	14	9	40	1/17/2020	PN	BOOTH RANCHES LLC	NTNC	3	150



**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
Kings	1000057	DEL ORO WATER CO - METROPOLITAN DISTRICT	C	29	1	0	0	0	0	1	96	96
Kings	1000078	FCWWD #42/ALLUVIAL & FANCHER	C	104	0	0	0	0	1	0	291	0
Kings	1000112	FAIRMONT SCHOOL	NTNC	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	483	483
Kings	1000207	CENTRAL WEST ARTS CENTER	NTNC	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	56	56
Kings	1000221	EASTON AUTHORITY JPA	NTNC	23	0	0	0	0	1	0	1000	0
Kings	1000285	WASHINGTON COLONY SCHOOL	NTNC	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	550	550
Kings	1000332	ROCHA S DAIRY	NTNC	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kings	1000343	HARDING & LEGGETT-	NTNC	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	85	0

**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
		PACKING FACILITY										
Kings	1000366	SUNNYSIDE CONVALESCENT HOSP	C	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	275	275
Kings	1000369	ZONNEVELD DAIRY - CEDAR	C	15	2	0	1	0	0	2	70	70
Kings	1000413	GARDEN APARTMENTS	C	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	35	0
Kings	1000442	CHERRY AUCTION	NC	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	7000	0
Kings	1000449	CAMPOS BROTHERS LABOR CAMP	NC	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	50	0
Kings	1000452	RAY MOLES FARMS (MARKS AVE)	NTNC	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	90	90
Kings	1000459	ROAD RUNNER FOOD AND FUEL	NC	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	300	300

**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
Kings	1000461	CARGILL MEAT SOLUTIONS CORPORATION	NTNC	4	2	0	0	0	1	2	1100	1100
Kings	1000465	BATTH DEHYDRATOR	NTNC	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	155	155
Kings	1000469	LION RAISINS EMPLOYEE LABOR CAMP	NC	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	35	0
Kings	1000479	FRANZIA WINERY-SANGER	NTNC	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	39	39
Kings	1000483	RIVER RANCH RAISINS	NC	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	40	40
Kings	1000485	TESSENDERLO KERLEY INC	NTNC	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	70	70
Kings	1000486	LION RAISINS PACKING COMPANY	NTNC	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	80	80
Kings	1000505	RAY & LARRY MOLES	NC	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	56	56

**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

ARea of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
		(HENDERSON RD)										
<b>Kings</b>	1000511	THE WORSHIP CENTER EASTON	NC	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	80	80
<b>Kings</b>	1000512	YERGAT PACKING COMPANY	NTNC	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	150	150
<b>Kings</b>	1000513	KINGDOM HALL OF JEHOVAH S WITNESSES	NC	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	100	0
<b>Kings</b>	1000515	MICHELSEN PACKAGING	NC	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	40	0
<b>Kings</b>	1000530	AMERICAN AVENUE LANDFILL	NTNC	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	29	0
<b>Kings</b>	1000547	CAL PRODUCE SALES CORP - INACTIVE	NC	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	80	0

**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
Kings	1000576	LONE STAR DEHYDRATOR	NTNC	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	175	175
Kings	1000591	SUMNER PECK RANCH WINERY & FRUIT STAND	NC	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	100	100
Kings	1000592	SHANT BHAVAN PUNJABI FUNERAL HOME	NC	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	250	250
Kings	1000598	MANHEIM CENTRAL CALIFORNIA	NTNC	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	85	0
Kings	1000608	GERAWAN FRUIT PACKING	NC	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	298	298
Kings	1000614	QUAIL LAKE COMMUNITY CHURCH	NC	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	125	125
Kings	1000625	DINO MART 1	NC	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	25	25
Kings	1000627	ZONNEVELD DAIRY - CERINI	C	17	1	0	0	0	0	1	48	48

**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
Kings	1000629	KINGS RIVER WINERY	NC	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	50	50
Kings	1000647	ST. PETERS CATHOLIC CEMETERY	NC	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	64	64
Kings	1009210	DE GROOT & SON DAIRY	NTNC	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Kings	1010001	BAKMAN WATER COMPANY	C	2609	3	0	0	0	2	3	16756	16756
Kings	1010007	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	13	0	0	12	8	13	542148	542148
Kings	1010023	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	C	1694	1	0	0	0	7	1	9780	9780
Kings	1010025	CITY OF PARLIER	C	2638	1	0	0	0	0	1	15286	15286
Kings	1010027	REEDLEY, CITY OF	C	6342	0	0	0	3	0	0	24194	0
Kings	1010039	CARUTHERS COMM SERV DIST	C	696	1	0	0	0	0	1	2503	2503

**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
Kings	1010042	MALAGA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	C	462	0	0	0	0	1	0	5979	0
Kings	1010049	BIOLA COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST	C	300	2	0	0	0	0	2	1623	1623
Kings	1010057	FRESNO CITY WASTEWATER PLANT	NTNC	18	1	0	0	1	0	1	190	190
Kings	1010339	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY FRESNO	C	159	0	0	0	0	1	0	41000	0
Kings	2000939	BONITA MINI MART - WATER	NTNC	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	25	25
Kings	5400523	EL MONTE VILLAGE MHP	C	47	1	0	0	0	0	1	100	100
Kings	5400545	NORSEMAN M.H.P.	C	31	0	0	0	1	0	0	70	0

**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
Kings	5400548	KINGS INN MOTEL	NC	225	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	6
Kings	5400553	DEL ORO TRAVER DISTRICT	C	200	1	0	0	0	0	1	660	660
Kings	5400634	LOVELL HIGH SCHOOL	NTNC	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	60	0
Kings	5400636	OROSI HIGH SCHOOL	NTNC	8	0	1	0	0	1	1	1200	1200
Kings	5400864	WELLSPRING ACADEMY	NTNC	13	1	0	0	0	1	1	300	300
Kings	5400917	SHOP N GO	NC	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	25	25
Kings	5401003	EAST OROSI CSD	C	103	2	0	0	0	0	2	932	932
Kings	5401076	GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS CUTLER	NTNC	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	46	46
Kings	5401094	RJ'S TRAVEL CENTER	NC	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	103	103



**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
Kings	5402022	BRAVO FARMS TRAVER	NC	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	25	0
Kings	5402024	KINGS RIVER MARKET WATER SYSTEM	NC	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	100	100
Kings	5402043	MONSON MARKET	NC	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	30	30
Kings	5402047	GLEANINGS FOR THE HUNGRY	C	12	0	1	0	0	1	1	31	31
Kings	5402056	PEOPLE'S FOOD AND DELI INC.	NC	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	100	100
Kings	5403041	FAMILY TREE FARMS	NTNC	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	30	30
Kings	5403043	YETTEM WATER SYSTEM	C	66	1	0	0	0	0	1	350	350
Kings	5403045	IMMANUEL SCHOOL	NTNC	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	35	0
Kings	5403046	VISALIA CITRUS PACKING	NTNC	7	2	0	0	0	0	2	70	70

**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
		GROUP-ORANGE COVE										
Kings	5403080	LEGACY PACKING	NTNC	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	125	125
Kings	5403081	PETERS FRUIT FARMS, INC	NTNC	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	125	125
Kings	5403152	MOUNTAIN VIEW COLD STORAGE	NTNC	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	140	140
Kings	5403205	PENA'S DISPOSAL SERVICES	NTNC	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	86	86
Kings	5403210	HAPPY APPLES	NC	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	22	22
Kings	5403211	BOOTH RANCHES LLC	NTNC	3	1	1	0	0	0	2	150	150
Kings	5403215	FRESH SELECT, LLC	NTNC	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	30	30
Kings	5410001	CUTLER PUD	C	1218	1	0	0	0	2	1	6200	6200
Kings	5410002	DINUBA, CITY OF	C	6036	0	0	0	2	1	0	26305	0

**Table E-2. Summary of Public Water Supply Systems that have had Nitrate-Impacted Wells by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Number of Wells in Public Water Supply Systems > 10mg/L as N by Well Status						Population Served	Estimated Potentially Affected Population with Active Wells >10mg/L as N
					Active Wells	Pending	Abandoned Wells	Destroyed Wells	Inactive Wells	Number of Active or Pending Wells That Have Exceeded 10 mg/L as N		
<b>Kings</b>	5410008	OROSI PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	C	1578	1	0	1	0	1	1	8770	8770
<b>Tulare Lake</b>	1600006	DELTA VIEW SCHOOL	NTNC	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
<b>Tulare Lake</b>	1600032	KINGS REST MOTEL	NC	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	30	30
<b>Tulare Lake</b>	1600267	41 CAFE	NC	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	26	0
<b>Tulare Lake</b>	1600604	WARMERDAM PACKING LP	NC	5	2	0	0	0	0	2	150	150

**Table E-3. Treatment of Water Systems with Nitrate-Impacted Wells (Shaded cells indicate past exceedance of treated source(s)), by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Population Served	Has a Treated Water Sourced Filed with DDW?	Has Possible Nitrate Treatment (As Mentioned in Treated Source Name*)	Has Nitrate Samples from Treated Sources filed with DDW?	Has Treated Sources with Nitrate Exceeding MCL (>10 mg/L as N)	Most Recent Exceedance for a Treated Source
Kings	1000057	DEL ORO WATER CO - METROPOLITAN DISTRICT	C	29	96	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000078	FCWWD #42/ALLUVIAL & FANCHER	C	104	291	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000112	FAIRMONT SCHOOL	NTNC	6	483	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000207	CENTRAL WEST ARTS CENTER	NTNC	7	56	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000221	EASTON AUTHORITY JPA	NTNC	23	1000	Yes	Yes	No	No	
Kings	1000285	WASHINGTON COLONY SCHOOL	NTNC	12	550	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000332	ROCHA S DAIRY	NTNC	11	0	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000343	HARDING & LEGGETT-PACKING FACILITY	NTNC	1	85	Yes	No	No	No	
Kings	1000366	SUNNYSIDE CONVALESCENT HOSP	C	1	275	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000369	ZONNEVELD DAIRY - CEDAR	C	15	70	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Kings	1000413	GARDEN APARTMENTS	C	10	35	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000442	CHERRY AUCTION	NC	8	7000	Yes	No	No	No	
Kings	1000449	CAMPOS BROTHERS LABOR CAMP	NC	1	50	No	-	-	-	-

**Table E-3. Treatment of Water Systems with Nitrate-Impacted Wells (Shaded cells indicate past exceedance of treated source(s)), by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Population Served	Has a Treated Water Sourced Filed with DDW?	Has Possible Nitrate Treatment (As Mentioned in Treated Source Name*)	Has Nitrate Samples from Treated Sources filed with DDW?	Has Treated Sources with Nitrate Exceeding MCL (>10 mg/L as N)	Most Recent Exceedance for a Treated Source
Kings	1000452	RAY MOLES FARMS (MARKS AVE)	NTNC	1	90	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000459	ROAD RUNNER FOOD AND FUEL	NC	1	300	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8/12/2020
Kings	1000461	CARGILL MEAT SOLUTIONS CORPORATION	NTNC	4	1100	Yes	No	No	No	
Kings	1000465	BATTH DEHYDRATOR	NTNC	3	155	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	12/2/2014
Kings	1000469	LION RAISINS EMPLOYEE LABOR CAMP	NC	1	35	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000479	FRANZIA WINERY-SANGER	NTNC	2	39	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6/6/2014
Kings	1000483	RIVER RANCH RAISINS	NC	1	40	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000485	TESSENDERLO KERLEY INC	NTNC	2	70	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	8/9/2013
Kings	1000486	LION RAISINS PACKING COMPANY	NTNC	3	80	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	1000505	RAY & LARRY MOLES (HENDERSON RD)	NC	1	56	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000511	THE WORSHIP CENTER EASTON	NC	1	80	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000512	YERGAT PACKING COMPANY	NTNC	2	150	Yes	No	No	No	-

**Table E-3. Treatment of Water Systems with Nitrate-Impacted Wells (Shaded cells indicate past exceedance of treated source(s)), by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Population Served	Has a Treated Water Sourced Filed with DDW?	Has Possible Nitrate Treatment (As Mentioned in Treated Source Name*)	Has Nitrate Samples from Treated Sources filed with DDW?	Has Treated Sources with Nitrate Exceeding MCL (>10 mg/L as N)	Most Recent Exceedance for a Treated Source
Kings	1000513	KINGDOM HALL OF JEHOVAH S WITNESSES	NC	2	100	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000515	MICHELSEN PACKAGING	NC	1	40	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000530	AMERICAN AVENUE LANDFILL	NTNC	2	29	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000547	CAL PRODUCE SALES CORP - INACTIVE	NC	1	80	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000576	LONE STAR DEHYDRATOR	NTNC	2	175	Yes	No	Yes	No	-
Kings	1000591	SUMNER PECK RANCH WINERY & FRUIT STAND	NC	2	100	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000592	SHANT BHAVAN PUNJABI FUNERAL HOME	NC	1	250	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	-
Kings	1000598	MANHEIM CENTRAL CALIFORNIA	NTNC	2	85	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000608	GERAWAN FRUIT PACKING	NC	1	298	Yes	No	Yes	No	-
Kings	1000614	QUAIL LAKE COMMUNITY CHURCH	NC	3	125	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1000625	DINO MART 1	NC	3	25	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3/17/2020
Kings	1000627	ZONNEVELD DAIRY - CERINI	C	17	48	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	1000629	KINGS RIVER WINERY	NC	2	50	Yes	Yes	No	No	-

**Table E-3. Treatment of Water Systems with Nitrate-Impacted Wells (Shaded cells indicate past exceedance of treated source(s)), by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Population Served	Has a Treated Water Sourced Filed with DDW?	Has Possible Nitrate Treatment (As Mentioned in Treated Source Name*)	Has Nitrate Samples from Treated Sources filed with DDW?	Has Treated Sources with Nitrate Exceeding MCL (>10 mg/L as N)	Most Recent Exceedance for a Treated Source
Kings	1000647	ST. PETERS CATHOLIC CEMETERY	NC	10	64	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1009210	DE GROOT & SON DAIRY	NTNC	8	0	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1010001	BAKMAN WATER COMPANY	C	2609	16756	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	9/8/2020
Kings	1010007	CITY OF FRESNO	C	135693	542148	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	9/22/2020
Kings	1010023	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	C	1694	9780	Yes	No	Yes	No	-
Kings	1010025	CITY OF PARLIER	C	2638	15286	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	1010027	REEDLEY, CITY OF	C	6342	24194	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	10/4/2007
Kings	1010039	CARUTHERS COMM SERV DIST	C	696	2503	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	1010042	MALAGA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	C	462	5979	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	-
Kings	1010049	BIOLA COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST	C	300	1623	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	1010057	FRESNO CITY WASTEWATER PLANT	NTNC	18	190	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	1010339	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY FRESNO	C	159	41000	Yes	No	Yes	No	-
Kings	2000939	BONITA MINI MART - WATER	NTNC	3	25	No	-	-	-	-

**Table E-3. Treatment of Water Systems with Nitrate-Impacted Wells (Shaded cells indicate past exceedance of treated source(s)), by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Population Served	Has a Treated Water Sourced Filed with DDW?	Has Possible Nitrate Treatment (As Mentioned in Treated Source Name*)	Has Nitrate Samples from Treated Sources filed with DDW?	Has Treated Sources with Nitrate Exceeding MCL (>10 mg/L as N)	Most Recent Exceedance for a Treated Source
Kings	5400523	EL MONTE VILLAGE MHP	C	47	100	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5400545	NORSEMAN M.H.P.	C	31	70	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5400548	KINGS INN MOTEL	NC	225	6	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	5400553	DEL ORO TRAVER DISTRICT	C	200	660	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5400634	LOVELL HIGH SCHOOL	NTNC	1	60	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5400636	OROSI HIGH SCHOOL	NTNC	8	1200	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5400864	WELLSPRING ACADEMY	NTNC	13	300	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5400917	SHOP N GO	NC	1	25	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3/28/2016
Kings	5401003	EAST OROSI CSD	C	103	932	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	5401076	GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS CUTLER	NTNC	3	46	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	5401094	RJ'S TRAVEL CENTER	NC	1	103	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5402022	BRAVO FARMS TRAVER	NC	2	25	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	5402024	KINGS RIVER MARKET WATER SYSTEM	NC	2	100	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	-
Kings	5402043	MONSON MARKET	NC	2	30	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	5402047	GLEANINGS FOR THE HUNGRY	C	12	31	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	9/26/2019



**Table E-3. Treatment of Water Systems with Nitrate-Impacted Wells (Shaded cells indicate past exceedance of treated source(s)), by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Population Served	Has a Treated Water Sourced Filed with DDW?	Has Possible Nitrate Treatment (As Mentioned in Treated Source Name*)	Has Nitrate Samples from Treated Sources filed with DDW?	Has Treated Sources with Nitrate Exceeding MCL (>10 mg/L as N)	Most Recent Exceedance for a Treated Source
Kings	5402056	PEOPLE'S FOOD AND DELI INC.	NC	1	100	Yes	No	Yes	No	-
Kings	5403041	FAMILY TREE FARMS	NTNC	3	30	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2/19/2020
Kings	5403043	YETTEM WATER SYSTEM	C	66	350	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2/7/2013
Kings	5403045	IMMANUEL SCHOOL	NTNC	1	35	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5403046	VISALIA CITRUS PACKING GROUP-ORANGE COVE	NTNC	7	70	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	-
Kings	5403080	LEGACY PACKING	NTNC	1	125	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5403081	PETERS FRUIT FARMS, INC	NTNC	1	125	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5403152	MOUNTAIN VIEW COLD STORAGE	NTNC	6	140	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5403205	PENA'S DISPOSAL SERVICES	NTNC	4	86	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7/10/2020
Kings	5403210	HAPPY APPLES	NC	1	22	No	-	-	-	-
Kings	5403211	BOOTH RANCHES LLC	NTNC	3	150	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	-
Kings	5403215	FRESH SELECT, LLC	NTNC	1	30	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	5410001	CUTLER PUD	C	1218	6200	Yes	No	No	No	-
Kings	5410002	DINUBA, CITY OF	C	6036	26305	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	-
Kings	5410008	OROSI PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	C	1578	8770	Yes	No	No	No	-

**Table E-3. Treatment of Water Systems with Nitrate-Impacted Wells (Shaded cells indicate past exceedance of treated source(s)), by MZ Area and DDW Number.**

Area of KWAMZ	DDW Number	System Name	PWS Type	Number of Connections	Population Served	Has a Treated Water Sourced Filed with DDW?	Has Possible Nitrate Treatment (As Mentioned in Treated Source Name*)	Has Nitrate Samples from Treated Sources filed with DDW?	Has Treated Sources with Nitrate Exceeding MCL (>10 mg/L as N)	Most Recent Exceedance for a Treated Source
Tulare Lake	1600006	DELTA VIEW SCHOOL	NTNC	2	2	No	-	-	-	-
Tulare Lake	1600032	KINGS REST MOTEL	NC	2	30	No	-	-	-	-
Tulare Lake	1600267	41 CAFE	NC	2	26	No	-	-	-	-
Tulare Lake	1600604	WARMERDAM PACKING LP	NC	5	150	No	-	-	-	-

**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
CA1000180	ALTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	34	384	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43180			X		384	0	0
CA1000181	ALVINA SCHOOL	8	240	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000530	AMERICAN AVENUE LANDFILL	2	29	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000204	AMERICAN UNION SCHOOL	33	250	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	Uranium; 1,2,3 TCP	3/21/2016; 3/21/2018			X		250	0	0
CA1610001	ARMONA COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST	1236	4143	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1600023	AZCAL MANAGEMENT CO	21	73	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010001	BAKMAN WATER COMPANY	2505	16756	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000465	BATTH DEHYDRATOR	3	155	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000004	BELMONT WATER CORPORATION	40	264	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43272			X		264	0	0
CA1010049	BIOLA COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST	300	1623	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA2000939	BONITA MINI MART - WATER	3	25	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA5403211	BOOTH RANCHES LLC	3	150	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	Nitrate; 1,2,3 TCP	4/25/2017; 3/19/2018		X			150	0	150
CA5402022	BRAVO FARMS TRAVER	2	25	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000182	BURREL UNION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	8	178	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000547	CAL PRODUCE SALES CORP - INACTIVE	1	80	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0

<sup>15</sup> If a Public Water System has nitrate sample records in the Division of Drinking Water's chemical database, but does not appear in a system search on SDWIS DWW (as of January 2021), the Compliance Status is marked with "-". This indicates that this PWS is potentially inactive, is a state small water system, or a local state small water system. Further research is needed to determine the status of these systems.

**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
CA1010339	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY FRESNO	159	41000	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000238	CAMDEN TRAILER PARK	25	75	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000449	CAMPOS BROTHERS LABOR CAMP	1	50	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000461	CARGILL MEAT SOLUTIONS CORPORATION	4	1100	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010039	CARUTHERS COMM SERV DIST	696	2503	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	Arsenic, 1,2,3 TCP	1/15/2009; 1/28/2019			X		2503	0	0
CA1000104	CENTERVILLE SCHOOL	3	280	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000217	CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL WEST	10	1127	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1600008	CENTRAL UNION ELEMENTARY	10	320	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	Arsenic	43713			X		320	0	0
CA1000207	CENTRAL WEST ARTS CENTER	7	56	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1600293	CHAMPIONS- SAMUEL'S HOUSE	3	50	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000442	CHERRY AUCTION	8	7000	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010003	CITY OF CLOVIS	32571	114650	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010006	CITY OF FOWLER	1784	6600	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43327			X		6600	0	0
CA1010007	CITY OF FRESNO	135693	541990	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010023	CITY OF ORANGE COVE	1694	9780	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010025	CITY OF PARLIER	2638	15286	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43228			X		15286	0	0
CA1010029	CITY OF SANGER	6881	25664	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0

**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
CA1000315	CLAY JOINT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	5	275	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1610004	CORCORAN, CITY OF	3383	21835	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5410001	CUTLER PUD	1218	6200	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1009210	DE GROOT & SON DAIRY	8	0	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA5400553	DEL ORO TRAVER DISTRICT	200	660	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	Consumer Confidence Rule; MCL	1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	43938			X	X	660	0	0
CA1000057	DEL ORO WATER CO - METROPOLITAN DISTRICT	29	96	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010035	DEL REY COMMUNITY SERV DIST	328	1500	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43238			X		1500	0	0
CA5403023	DELFT COLONY WATER	99	454	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000625	DINO MART 1	3	25	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA5410002	DINUBA, CITY OF	6036	26305	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000430	DYCORA TRANSITIONAL LIVING	1	125	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5401003	EAST OROSI CSD	103	932	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	Reporting; Distribution System (Lead and Copper); MCL	Nitrate	10/26/2020; 8/9/2019; 11/9/2015	X			X	932	932	0
CA1000221	EASTON AUTHORITY JPA	23	1000	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000018	EASTON ESTATES WATER COMPANY	107	302	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000416	EASTON PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH	3	450	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL; Monitoring	1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane;	4/9/2019; 1/24/2020			X	X	450	0	0

**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
							1,2,3 TCP; Revised Total Coliform Rule								
CA5400523	EL MONTE VILLAGE MHP	47	100	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL							100	0	0
CA1000277	ELM COURT	12	64	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000112	FAIRMONT SCHOOL	6	483	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA5403084	FAIRWAY MUTUAL WATER CO	45	126	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5403126	FAMILY EDUCATION CENTER	5	50	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5403041	FAMILY TREE FARMS	3	30	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	Nitrate; 1,2,3 TCP	10/23/2020; 5/21/2018		X			30	0	30
CA1000021	FCSA #05/WILDWOOD ISLAND	149	417	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000039	FCSA #10/CUMORAH KNOLLS	47	132	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000554	FCSA #10A/MANSIONETTE ESTATES	29	81	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000023	FCSA #14/BELMONT MANOR	41	115	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43181			X		115	0	0
CA1000471	FCSA #39 A&B	141	395	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000551	FCSA #43/RAISIN CITY	68	190	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000550	FCSA #44D/MONTE VERDI	124	344	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010055	FCSA #47/QUAIL LAKE ESTATES	709	1982	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010017	FCWWD #41/SHAVER LAKE	960	2037	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0

**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
CA1000078	FCWWD #42/ALLUVIAL & FANCHER	104	291	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000199	FOWLER CARE CENTER	1	46	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000479	FRANZIA WINERY-SANGER	2	39	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	Distribution System (Lead and Copper); MCL (1,2,3 TCP)	Lead & Copper Rule; 1,2,3 TCP	10/1/2020; 1/31/2020			X	X	39	0	0
CA5403215	FRESH SELECT, LLC	1	30	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	Nitrate	43385	X				30	30	0
CA1010057	FRESNO CITY WASTEWATER PLANT	18	190	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000413	GARDEN APARTMENTS	10	35	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000407	GEORGE COX WATER SYSTEM	20	40	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	Monitoring; MCL	Lead & Copper Rule; Coliform; 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	11/5/2020; 4/2/2019; 9/8/2016			X	X	40	0	0
CA1000608	GERAWAN FRUIT PACKING	1	298	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA5402047	GLEANINGS FOR THE HUNGRY	12	31	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	Nitrate; Perchlorate	5/3/2016; 5/3/2016		X			31	0	31
CA5401076	GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS CUTLER	3	46	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP; Nitrate	4/13/2018; 11/6/2018		X			46	0	46
CA5400620	GRAND VIEW SCHOOL	9	400	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000185	GREAT WESTERN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	1	411	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000229	GREEN ACRES MOBILE HOME ESTATE	113	350	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1600009	HANFORD CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	14	175	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0

**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
CA1610003	HANFORD, CITY OF	17296	17626	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5403210	HAPPY APPLES	1	22	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000343	HARDING & LEGGETT-PACKING FACILITY	1	85	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1600507	HARDWICK WATER COMPANY	20	69	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000186	HELM SCHOOL	11	146	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000206	HOUGHTON-KEARNEY SCHOOL	4	310	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43180			X		310	0	0
CA5403045	IMMANUEL SCHOOL	1	35	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000187	INDIANOLA SCHOOL	10	511	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43258			X		511	0	0
CA1600017	ISLAND UNION SCHOOL	17	416	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000570	JUVENILE JUSTICE CAMPUS	15	400	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010018	KERMAN, CITY OF	3762	14349	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000513	KINGDOM HALL OF JEHOVAH S WITNESSES	2	100	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	Monitoring	Total Coliform	44043			X		100	0	0
CA1000316	KINGS CANYON HIGH SCHOOL	2	120	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP; Coliform	3/22/2018; 4/29/2019			X		120	0	0
CA5400548	KINGS INN MOTEL	225	6	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000295	KINGS PARK APARTMENTS	40	120	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5400624	KINGS RIVER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	9	550	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0



**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
CA5400536	KINGS RIVER ESTATES MUTUAL WATER COMPANY	35	106	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1600015	KINGS RIVER HARDWICK SCHOOL	7	908	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5402024	KINGS RIVER MARKET WATER SYSTEM	2	100	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000629	KINGS RIVER WINERY	2	50	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010019	KINGSBURG, CITY OF	3874	12002	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43238			X		12002	0	0
CA1600013	LAKESIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	5	388	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000053	LANARE COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST	155	660	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010020	LATON COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	470	1824	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000189	LATON HIGH SCHOOL	2	210	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5403080	LEGACY PACKING	1	125	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43165			X		125	0	0
CA1610005	LEMOORE, CITY OF	7028	26093	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	TTHM; Total Haloacetic Acids	9/2/2020; 11/7/2014			X		26093	0	0
CA1000445	LINDA VISTA FARMS	17	63	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	Uranium	43634			X		63	0	0
CA5400537	LINDYS LANDING	177	49	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000469	LION RAISINS EMPLOYEE LABOR CAMP	1	35	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000486	LION RAISINS PACKING COMPANY	3	80	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43739			X		80	0	0
CA5410017	LONDON COMMUNITY SERV DIST	320	2138	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43272			X		2138	0	0

**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
CA1000576	LONE STAR DEHYDRATOR	2	175	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	Monitoring	Lead & Copper Rule	44140				X	175	0	0
CA1000190	LONE STAR SCHOOL	6	436	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5400634	LOVELL HIGH SCHOOL	1	60	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000105	MADISON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	8	900	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010042	MALAGA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	462	5979	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000598	MANHEIM CENTRAL CALIFORNIA	2	85	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000324	MANNING GARDENS CARE CENTER INC	1	176	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000515	MICHELSÉN PACKAGING	1	40	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	Monitoring	Total Coliform	43507				X	40	0	0
CA1000192	MONROE SCHOOL	3	200	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5402043	MONSON MARKET	2	30	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	Nitrate	44127	X				30	30	0
CA5403212	MONSON WATER SYSTEM	32	140	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5403152	MOUNTAIN VIEW COLD STORAGE	6	140	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	Monitoring	Lead & Copper	43070				X	140	0	0
CA1000193	NAVELENCIA SCHOOL	1	330	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000259	NEW HORIZONS MOBILE/RV PARK	77	82	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5400545	NORSEMAN M.H.P.	31	70	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA5400636	OROSI HIGH SCHOOL	8	1200	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	Nitrate	43082	X				1200	1200	0
CA5410008	OROSI PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	1578	8770	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0

**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
CA1000194	PACIFIC UNION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	1	490	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1009039	PAPPAS & CO (MENDOTA)	12	25	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	TTHM; Total Haloacetic Acids	11/7/2014; 1/8/2020			X		25	0	0
CA5403205	PENA'S DISPOSAL SERVICES	4	86	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	Nitrate	42627	X				86	86	0
CA5402056	PEOPLE'S FOOD AND DELI INC.	1	100	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA5403081	PETERS FRUIT FARMS, INC	1	125	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP; Nitrate	3/5/2018; 5/7/2019		X			125	0	125
CA1010026	PINEDALE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	2119	16735	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1600011	PIONEER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	6	750	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000614	QUAIL LAKE COMMUNITY CHURCH	3	125	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	Total Coliform	43677			X		125	0	0
CA1009120	RAU DAIRY	43	105	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000505	RAY & LARRY MOLES (HENDERSON RD)	1	56	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000452	RAY MOLES FARMS (MARKS AVE)	1	90	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010027	REEDLEY, CITY OF	6342	24194	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000483	RIVER RANCH RAISINS	1	40	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000426	RIVERBEND MOBILE HOME & RV PARK	46	400	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010028	RIVERDALE PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	932	3145	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000196	RIVERVIEW SCHOOL	8	469	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43220			X		469	0	0
CA5401094	RJ'S TRAVEL CENTER	1	103	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0

**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
CA1000459	ROAD RUNNER FOOD AND FUEL	1	300	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000332	ROCHA S DAIRY	11	0	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000208	ROOSEVELT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	12	370	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000200	RUBYS VALLEY CARE HOME	1	75	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1010034	SAN JOAQUIN, CITY OF	730	4060	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000367	SELMA HIGH SCHOOL	21	2225	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000244	SHADY LAKES MOBILE HOME PARK	60	160	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000592	SHANT BHAVAN PUNJABI FUNERAL HOME	1	250	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	Monitoring	Total Coliform	43328				X	250	0	0
CA1000247	SHERWOOD FOREST MHP	48	75	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5400917	SHOP N GO	1	25	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000647	ST. PETERS CATHOLIC CEMETERY	10	64	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1610006	STRATFORD PUD	364	1301	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5400824	SULTANA CSD	249	775	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000591	SUMNER PECK RANCH WINERY & FRUIT STAND	2	100	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000201	SUN EMPIRE SCHOOL	10	780	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000366	SUNNYSIDE CONVALESCENT HOSP	1	275	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1600002	SUNSET VISTA ESTATES MHP	108	400	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0

**Table E-4. Compliance Status for all Public Water Systems in the Kings Water Alliance Management Zone with Violation and Chemical Exceedance Information, by System Name (as of January 2021)**

PWS ID	PWS Name	Number of Connections (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Population Served (source: HR2W or SDWIS DWW)	Compliance Status <sup>15</sup>	Compliance Status Source	Violation Type (SDWIS DWW)	Violation Chemical(s) (SDWIS DWW)	Most Recent Date of Violation (SDWIS DWW)	MCL Exceedance			Non-MCL Violation	Total Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to any Violation	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate Only	Population Served by Currently Out-of-Compliance System due to Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant
									Nitrate	Nitrate PLUS Co-Contaminant	Other Contaminant				
CA1000378	SUNSET WEST COMMUNITY LLC	159	350	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA5403213	TEEN CHALLENGE	13	130	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000198	TERRY SCHOOL	25	236	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000485	TESSENDERLO KERLEY INC	2	70	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000511	THE WORSHIP CENTER EASTON	1	80	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	Monitoring	Total Coliform	43949				X	80	0	0
CA1000299	THREE PALMS MOBILEHOME PARK	105	300	Out of Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)	MCL	1,2,3 TCP	43180					300	0	0
CA5403046	VISALIA CITRUS PACKING GROUP-ORANGE COVE	7	70	Out of Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)	MCL	Nitrate	42507	X				70	70	0
CA1000285	WASHINGTON COLONY SCHOOL	12	550	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA5400864	WELLSPRING ACADEMY	13	300	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA5400526	WESPAK, INC.	6	53	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA2000608	WILDWOOD MOBILE HOME PK INC	68	100	In Compliance	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000298	WOODWARD BLUFFS MHP	172	300	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000512	YERGAT PACKING COMPANY	2	150	-	SDWIS DWW (Jan 2020)								0	0	0
CA5403043	YETTEM WATER SYSTEM	66	350	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000369	ZONNEVELD DAIRY - CEDAR	15	70	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
CA1000627	ZONNEVELD DAIRY - CERINI	17	48	In Compliance	HR2W Data Portal (Nov 2020)								0	0	0
<b>Total:</b>												<b>74,387</b>	<b>2,348</b>	<b>382</b>	